Philpott, Richard St. Laurent Thomas, (Ottawa East), Pickersgill, (Quebec East), Tucker, Pinard, Richard St. Laurent Valois, Viau, Pommer, (Saint-Maurice-(Témiscouata), Power Laflèche), Schneider, Villeneuve, Richardson, Shipley (Mrs.), (St. John's West), Weaver, Proudfoot. Roberge. Simmons, Weir. Prudham, Robertson, Sinclair, Weselak, Purdy, Robichaud, Smith (York North), White Quelch, Robinson Stick. (Waterloo South), Stuart (Charlotte), Ratelle. (Simcoe East), Winters, Reinke, Thatcher. Wylie-142.

NAYS

Messrs.

Aitken (Miss), Ellis, Jones. Pallett. Argue, Fairclough (Mrs.), Knight, Pearkes, Fleming, Balcer. Knowles, Poulin, Barnett, Fraser Lennard, Rea, Bell, (Peterborough), Macdonnell Regier. Blair, Fulton, (Greenwood), Robinson (Bruce). Brooks, Green, MacInnis, Rowe, Bryce, Hamilton MacLean, Small, Bryson, (Notre-Dame-McBain, Stanton, Cameron de-Grâce), McCullough Starr, (Nanaimo), Hamilton (Moose Mountain), Stewart (York West), Campbell, McGregor, (Winnipeg North), Michener, Cardiff. Harkness, Trainor, Castleden, Hees. Mitchell (London), Tustin, Herridge, Monteith, Charlton, Van Horne, Montgomery, Churchill, Hodgson, White Coldwell. Howe (Wellington-Murphy (Hastings-Diefenbaker, Huron), (Lambton West), Frontenac). Dinsdale, Nicholson, White (Middlesex-Johnson Drew. (Kindersley), Nowlan, East)-67. Dufresne,

The House resumed the adjourned Debate on the proposed motion of Mr. Howe (Port Arthur),—That Bill No. 298, An Act to establish the Northern Ontario Pipe Line Crown Corporation, be now read the second time.

And on the proposed motion of Mr. Diefenbaker, seconded by Mr. Drew, in amendment thereto,—That Bill 298 be not now read the second time, but that the subject matter thereof be referred to the Standing Committee on Railways, Canals and Telegraph Lines.

And on the proposed motion of Mr. Coldwell, seconded by Mr. Knowles, in amendment to the said proposed amendment,—That the amendment be amended by changing the period at the end thereof to a comma, and by adding thereafter the following words:

"so that consideration might be given to the advisability of recommending the constructing and operating of a trans-Canada natural gas pipe line under public ownership."

And the Debate continuing;