

And on the proposed motion of Mr. Shaw, in amendment to the said proposed amendment: That all words after the word "advisers" be struck out and the following be substituted therefor:

"should have given assurance to this House that legislation would be submitted during the present session, whereby the following results would be achieved, as a contribution towards meeting the crisis in living costs, and towards stabilizing the Canadian economy:

- (a) Exemption from income tax on incomes of married persons of \$2,400 and less, and of single persons of \$1,500; these to be progressively increased to \$3,000 and \$2,000 as production increases in relation to demand.
- (b) Elimination of the sales tax, and substantial reduction in excise, processing, and all other hidden and nuisance taxes.
- (c) Substantial increases in family allowances; increases in old age pensions, together with elimination of the means test and a reduction in the pensionable age.
- (d) Adequate provision for all disabled adults.
- (e) Selective subsidies, especially on food products and necessities of living."

Mr. SPEAKER: Shortly before the House rose last evening, the Honourable Member for Red Deer, Mr. Shaw, moved what purported to be an amendment to the amendment made by Mr. Bracken.

Mr. Bracken's amendment to the motion for an Address in Reply to the Speech from the Throne was in the following terms: That the following be added to the motion:

"We respectfully submit to Your Excellency that Your Excellency's advisers do not possess the confidence of the Canadian people."

The proposed sub-amendment is as follows:

That all words after the word "advisers" be struck out, and that the following be substituted therefor:

"should have given assurance to this House that legislation would be submitted during the present session, whereby the following results would be achieved, as a contribution towards meeting the crisis in living costs, and towards stabilizing the Canadian economy:

- (a) Exemption from income tax on incomes of married persons of \$2,400 and less, and of single persons of \$1,500; these to be progressively increased to \$3,000 and \$2,000 as production increases in relation to demand.
- (b) Elimination of the sales tax, and substantial reduction in excise, processing, and all other hidden and nuisance taxes.
- (c) Substantial increases in family allowances; increases in old age pensions, together with elimination of the means test and a reduction in the pensionable age.
- (d) Adequate provision for all disabled adults.
- (e) Selective subsidies, especially on food products and necessities of living."

It is of course clear that the amendment of Mr. Bracken is a motion of "no confidence", and is wholly confined to this subject.