

The Order being read for the second reading of Bill No. 332 (Letter Q-11 of the Senate), intituled: "An Act for the relief of Georges-Etienne Cartier";

Mr. Henderson, seconded by Mr. Weir, moved,—That the said Bill be now read the second time.

And a debate arising thereon;

Mr. Knowles, seconded by Mr. Nicholson, moved in amendment thereto,—That Bill No. 332 be not now read a second time, but that it be read a second time this day six months hence.

And the question being proposed;

The hour for Private and Public Bills having expired.

By unanimous consent, the House reverted to "Motions".

On motion of Mr. Weir, seconded by Mr. McCubbin, it was ordered,—That the name of Mr. Shaw be substituted for that of Mr. Patterson; and That the name of Mr. Robichaud be substituted for that of Mr. Michaud, and That the name of Mr. Tucker be substituted for that of Mr. Studer; and That the name of Mr. Gardiner be substituted for that of Mr. Weselak; and That the name of Mr. Richardson be substituted for that of Mr. Demers; and That the name of Mr. Lafontaine be substituted for that of Mr. Fontaine; and

That the name of Mr. Bennett be substituted for that of Mr. Weaver; and That the name of Mr. Hanna be substituted for that of Mr. Decore; and That the name of Mr. Goode be substituted for that of Mr. Harrison; and That the name of Mr. Bryson be substituted for that of Mr. Jones, on the Standing Committee on Agriculture and Colonization.

Debate was resumed on the proposed motion of Mr. Harris,—That Mr. Speaker do now leave the Chair for the House to resolve itself again into Committee of Ways and Means.

And the debate continuing;

The Minister of Finance having risen to speak;

Mr. Knowles raised a point of order to the effect that our standing orders permit a right of reply only on a substantive motion and a motion that Mr. Speaker leave the Chair does not qualify as such.

MR. SPEAKER: That was my understanding of it, although I would not be prepared to make it as clear as the honourable gentleman seems to make it. That is my understanding of it, but I would not want to be in the position of making a snap ruling on the matter. I feel secure enough in my opinion, however, so as to not allow the Minister to speak today.

And the Debate continuing; at 9.45 o'clock p.m., Mr. Speaker interrupted the proceedings pursuant to Standing Order 58(6);

And the question being put on the said proposed motion, it was agreed to, on division.