

*By Mr. Rhodes:*

Q. You have your doubts?—A. I do not care to have put in black and white—

Q. I don't want you to make any statement that is not true.—A. I am not here to give the character of people, it is horses.

Q. You maligned the character of people here freely in your evidence the other day when giving evidence about horses.—A. If you will give me evidence of that—

Q. The gentleman on the stand?—A. Who was it?

Q. With respect to the manner in which you spoke of the horses, swearing positively that they were 17 or 18 years of age?

The WITNESS: My lord, have I got to sit here and be accused of maligning the character of people when I say I did not.

Mr. RHODES: This witness has been very fresh upon the stand. He had no hesitation in coming here the other day and swearing positively that a horse was 17 or 18 years of age, when another witness says he is 10.

The WITNESS: That is not a man's character, I did not malign a man's character.

*By Mr. Rhodes:*

Q. If Harvey Taylor came upon the stand and said he know the Ingraham Bowlby horse, that he was only 12 years of age this spring, what would you say?

Mr. MCKENZIE: This thing is absolutely irregular.

The CHAIRMAN: I do not know that it is.

Mr. RHODES: You know perfectly well that this same thing we do every day in a court of law. We lay the foundation of bringing a witness in on the question of credibility. I will undertake that if I am here another Parliament every one of these men whose names have been mentioned will be brought here.

Mr. CARVELL: Bring them now, we will stay with you.

Mr. MCKENZIE: If these men had been on the stand and sworn and made this statement, then this question might be put.

Mr. RHODES: They do not need to go upon the stand at all. One witness must come on before another.

Hon. Mr. REID: That has been done right along.

Mr. CARVELL: I dissent from that. I have never asked one man his opinion about some man who is not to give evidence.

*By Mr. Rhodes:*

Q. I am asking if Harvey Taylor, of Aylesford, came upon the stand and swore he knew the Bowlby horse or mare, as the case may be, that it is only 12 years old this spring, what would you say?—A. I do not know any Harvey Taylor.

Q. Howard Taylor?—A. If he came upon the stand and swore that the mare—

Q. Was 12 years of age this spring?—A. I would have to tell him that he either was not telling the truth or erred in judgment.

Q. If Ingraham Bowlby came upon the stand, you would believe him?—A. He raised her, and knows her better than anybody else.

Q. Would you accept the statement of Ingraham Bowlby on this horse now?—A. I would suppose Ingraham Bowlby would know better than anybody else, he raised her.

Q. If he gave evidence that the horse was not 17 or 18 years old, you were mistaken?—A. If Ingraham Bowlby was here and made that statement himself?

Q. You would say you were mistaken?—A. Yes.

*By Mr. Boys:*

Q. Then you would say you were out five years in the case of that horse?

Question objected to by Mr. Carvell.

*By Mr. Boys:*

Q. Will you answer that question?—A. I don't think I have any right to, but if the Chairman says I should I will be compelled to do it.

Mr. S. SELFRIDGE.