

which the plaintiff does not admit, the company are under obligation to pay royalties to the plaintiff. The prayer is for an injunction restraining the defendants from manufacturing modified starch according to the plaintiff's processes and special personal confidential methods, or, in the alternative, for royalties. This cannot be read as meaning anything else than a charge of infringing the patents (coupled indeed with the aggravation that special personal confidential methods were also used) and a claim for an injunction. On this pleading the defendants may deny the validity of the patents under and according to the process of which the defendants are said to be manufacturing—the defendants may also counterclaim to get rid of the patent as against them. (2) As to the secret processes, there is much said, but the matter does not arise on the notice of appeal. Particulars may be given of the defences, etc., on these patents. Costs to the defendant company in any event. Casey Wood, for the plaintiff. D. L. McCarthy, K.C., for the defendant company.

---

RE ONTARIO BANK—RIDDELL, J.—DEC. 29.

*Bank—Winding-up—Contributories.*]—An appeal by Collins and others from the order of George Kappelé, an Official Referee, upon a reference for the winding-up of the bank, placing the names of the appellants on the list of contributories. The learned Judge agreed with the conclusions of the Referee, and dismissed the appeal with costs. C. A. Moss, for the appellants. J. Bicknell, K.C., for the liquidator.