

CONTENTS—EDITORIAL ITEMS.

THE
Canada Law Journal.

Toronto, March, 1876. Part 2.

CONTENTS.

EDITORIALS :

Changes in Chancery Staff	73
Rules of Supreme Court—Agent's Books	73
Judges' Notes	73
Law Society Examinations	73
Marriage Legalizing Issue	74
Our Law Reports	74
Election of Benchers	75
Slandering the Judges	76
Unanimity of Jury Verdicts	77
Supreme Court Rules	80

SELECTIONS :

The Betting Question	86
----------------------------	----

CANADA REPORTS :

ONTARIO.

COMMON LAW CHAMBERS.

Fagan v. Wilson	90
<i>Transmission of depositions—Certified copies.</i>	

DIGEST OF ENGLISH LAW REPORTS :

For August, September, and October, 1875	91
--	----

ORDERS OF THE COURT OF APPEAL	99
-------------------------------------	----

LAW SOCIETY OF UPPER CANADA	100
-----------------------------------	-----

SOME changes are about to be made in the staff in the west wing at Osgoode Hall. It is said that Mr. Grant is to be made Registrar of the Court of Appeal, Mr. Holmstead taking his place as Registrar of the Court of Chancery, and that Mr. R. P. Stephens will be made Referee in Chambers, the business of the Accountant's office being transferred to his department.

We publish on a subsequent page the Rules of the Supreme Court. They have evidently been prepared with great care, and seem to be very complete. One of them provides that an "agent's book" is to be kept, in which all persons practicing in the Supreme Court may enter the name of an agent on whom papers

may be served, &c. We notice that an enterprising firm takes advantage of this to advise the profession that they are both able and willing to act as such agents. We have no reason to say that they are not the former; that they are the latter is obvious : nevertheless many will choose other firms in preference.

SHORT-HAND reporters are so commonly engaged in important trials in England that the Judges' notes are not so frequently in requisition there as they are here. But as with us some of the judges there are more liberal than others with their notes. The other day Vice-Chancellor Hall allowed both parties leave to apply for copies, though of course at their own expense. Mr. Justice Quain on the other hand, refused to entrust his notes to either parties, but produced them to the court for the purposes of an appeal. He had possibly some good reason, perhaps his notes may have shown something not intended for the public, or were not "presentable," or he may have been suffering from an attack of dyspepsia, or he might have feared that the person asking to see them intended to mutilate them; but however this may have been, the profession doubtless would make their own observations less complimentary to him than to his brother in the Equity Division.

THE following is the result of the recent examinations held at Osgoode Hall :

CALLS TO THE BAR.

E. D. Armour.	H. C. Gwyn.
R. G. Cox.	A. R. Lewis.
J. R. Metcalf.	J. W. Frost.

ATTORNEYS ADMITTED.

E. G. Patterson, (without oral.)	
R. Pearson.	E. D. Armour.
J. Leitch.	A. E. Smythe.
R. G. Cox.	H. Archibald.
T. C. Johnstone.	J. C. Hegler.
E. P. Clement.	G. A. Cooke.
W. M. Hall.	D. Lennox.