akes Reply

Letter to His Honor enant Governor Dismissal.

I Subjects Brought the Lleutenant overnor.

emlin yesterday gave to ply to the letter of the nor dismissing him. the reply Mr. Semlin

His Honor has taken giving publicity to his smissing his advisers. I spass on your space and ask only that it "C. A. SEMLIN."

toria B.C., Feb. 28, 1900. le T. R. McInnes, Esq., or of British Columbia honor in replying to ter of the 27th in which you have no longer conned seem to me to be mere tmental administration Honor.
h 1, Your Fonor claims

given you the benefit of nce the 9th of March last, e to the act of 1899 to sub-Your Honor, enacts that ll consist of a provincial ley-general, chief commis-and works, minister of fin-ulture, minister of mines

the council.

ositions were filled. It is inster held and performed to positions, but I am not Honor ever intimated that

further refers to the asby me in September last, doubt that when the house that I could depend upon he members to support my think, sir, that the fact of uliar combination, a major-for the first time recorded roof that I did not overrate the government in its rela slatice assembly. slative assembly.

o the charges set forth by
paragraph 8, I beg to quote
from a letter written by the
Cotton, chief commissioner
orks, on the subject:

to paragraph 3, respecting the facts are very simple, ent of the trail from Hope y, for which \$1,000 was apne legislature, was ordered commissioner to be carried

the \$10,000 to the improv intendent for the dis ded that the mun uver authorities did not ry out their work with the that was anticipated, and the at the completion of the work ent exceeded the time in riation was available, tem, for the cost of constru court at Rossland, included a sum in excess of the amount by the legislature, because journment of the latter, the found that the growth of busi-and made it judicious to erect ent had previously intended, important that the matter be delayed for twelve months. session of the legislature. 's assumption that the said s had been allowed to lapse, Cotton was unable to properly and administer the two departand administer the two departs
ich he had been in charge, the
more substantial building than
he department and the reports.
ic works engineer will show
see matters had both prompt
e attention at the hands of the

ace to the facts that these are not again submitted to His-are not again submitted to His-ay say that, as the matter en-ded on the manner in which the he Revenue Act should be con-as on a similar case in 1898 Hisaken a certain position, notr to unnecessary trouble to at way would be to ask the legis-rote the amounts at the next hough such delay caused inconthe department and some in-e public interests. But even aought to be preferable to asknor to reverse the decision d arrived in regard to the sim tich occurred just previous to of Mr. Turner, and on which orney-general, Mr. Eberts, took tly contrary to that held by

rence to the special warrant improvement to the Provincial Honor insists that this could oreseen, as the urgent necessity rk had been pointed out to you fore. What stronger admission Honor declined to approve? As ge of shifting from "fire protec-anitary neecssity," I beg to say mount asked was for both these purposes, and the fact that "sanitation" and Mr. Cotton n" did not make the amount

less necessary. y to the charges set forth by in paragraph 5, I beg to quote g from a letter written by the exander Henderson: or states (paragraph 5). that

Tarte's Policy
On Harbors

The Company of The Compa

And the father was a proper was a plane of the first section of the firs