Churth Whiserver.

A Journal advocating the interests of the United Church of England and Ireland in the Dominion of Canada.

"THIS PROTESTANT KINGDOM."—BILL OF RIGHTS, 1688.

Vol. I.—No. 41.

MONTREAL, THURSDAY, 19TH NOVEMBER, 1868

\$2 \mathref{H} an.—Single copies, 5 cents.

Diocesan Spuod.

SPECIAL MEETING-NOMINATION OF BISHOP AND METROPOLITAN.

Wednesday, Nov. 11, 1868. Yesterday, in accordance with the circular of Josiah Withers the Dean of Montreal, the Diocesan Synod met for the purpose of electing a successor, to the late Metropolitan. The number of delegates from all parts of the Province was very large. the utmost interest being naturally felt in the result of the election to so important an office. At 11 o'clock public service was 'held in the Cathedral. The prayers were read by the Rev. Mr. Slack, Rural Dean of Bedford, the first lesson by Ven. Archdeacon Leach. The concluding prayers were read by Rev. Canon Loosemore

The Rev Canon Balch, D.D., then preached from 2 Timothy xi 15 :- 'Study to show thysslf approved unto God." After a few introductory remarks on the general principles involved in the text, he applied it to the conduct and teaching of ministers themselves and showed how their energies ought to be directed, He dwelt upon the Diocesan Missions, giving the statistics of the Diocese of Montreal with its 45,000 adherents and seventy-five ministers. He directed their attention to the gradual withdrawal of the contributions of the Society for the Propagation of the Gospel, in order that the Church here may help herself the more, now that she is past the stage of infancy. More than \$12,000 a year must, therefore, soon be withdrawn, and it would be necessary to be prepared for that as well as to provide for their present deficiency. He was of opinion that a special sum of \$5,000 this year should be raised to be applied to next year's operations, and so on yearly, that there might always be a year's funds in hand for the following year. In this he had the full concurrence of the late Bishop. He had already made a beginning in raising that sum, and trusted the whole amount might be raised by January. To meet the deficiency, and the withdrawal of the Propagation Society's grant, it would be necessary to raise the sum of \$25,-000 annually from the Diocese, but this sum could be raised by the exertions of the Secretary and the Bishop and with these it could be obtained with less trouble and less inconvenience to congregations than the present amount. He entered upon a summary of the duties devo'ving upon all in entering upon the work they had to perforin, and exhorted them to do it

At the conclusion of the sermon a collection

then dispensed by the Lord Bishop of Toronto. assisted by the Lord Bishop of Quebec. THE ELECTION.

The Synod met at three o'clock, Dean Bethune in the Chair. There was a large meeting of the delegates. At the request of the Dean, the Rev. Canon Balch read the prayer appointed for the occasion. Mr. M. H. Sanborn read over the list of the delegates, those present answering to their

LIST OF CLERGY AND LAY DELEGATES.

Names marked thus * were absent.

CLERGY. Very Rev. Dean Beth- Rev.C. Bancroft, jr., B.A. une, D. D. Rev A. O. Taylor Ven.Arch. Leach, D.C.L., Rev. E. S. Jenkyns LL.D.

Rev. Canon Loosemore, Rev. J. B. Davidson, Rev. Canon Balch, D.D. M.A Rev. E. Wood, M.A. Rev. H Montgomery Rev. R. W. Norman, Rev.I.Constantine, M.A. Rev. J. Smith M.A. Rev. C. A. Daniel. Rev. L C. Wurtele Rev. Canon Bancroft, Rev D. Lindsay Rev. T. W. Mussen, B. Rev. J. P. Dumoulin. *Rev. A. T. Whitten Rev. Canon Bond, M.A. Rev. C F Thorndyke Rural Dean. *Rev. Can. Townsend, Rev. Jas. Carmichael. M.A Rev. W. B. Curran, B.A. Rev C Lancaster Rev. M. S. Baldwin, Rev. H. J. Evans Rev. E G. Sutton M.A. Rev. J. Ellegood, M.A. Rev. J. Fulton, M.A.

Rev. W. Wright, M.D. Rev. E. DuVernet, M.A. Rev. J. A. McLeod, M.A. Rural Dean Rev. J. D. Borthwick. . Rev. W. T. Early Rev. T.M. Bartlett, M.A. Rev. A. D. Lockhart Rev. W. C. Merrick, M.A. Rev. W. Brethour, M.A. Rev. T. A. Young, M.A. Rev B. P Lewis, BA Rev. J. Merrick. Rev. Ed Rov Rev. H. F. Darnell Rev. A. Balfour. Rev. Wm. J. Dart. Rev. P. W. Smith Rev. T. Godden, B.A. Rev W. R. Brown *R.v. S. S. Strong

Rev. Alex Shand. Rev. W. M. Scaborn, Rev. G o. C. Robinson Rev. J. Allen. Rev. F. S. Neve Rev. Canon Anderson. Rev. J. Johnstone Rev. O. Fortin, B.A. Rev. J. Codd Rev. F. Robinson, M.A. Rev. F. Rollit Rev. G. Slack, M.A., Rev J Seaman Rural Dean. Rev F G C.Braithwaite

Rev. E F. Fessenden. M.A. Rev Mr. Prime Rev. J. C. Davidson. Rev. J. Godden Rev. J. Gribble Rev. A. Fortin Rev R. Lonsdell, M A Rural Dean *Rev. C. J. Kaapche Rev. W. Jones Rev. T W. Fyles Rev. R Lindsay, M A Rev. Jas. Pyke

*Rev. J. Braithwaite *Rev. J. Jones ·Rev. T. Johnson Strach n Bethune QCR W. Shepherd

W. Meade Pattison Asa We tover M. H. Gault Capt. Hk rs, R.E. *T R Roberts E. Lbsher E. P. Hannaford DT.RNe · W. S Holsapple Charles Garth *George Mitchell H. M. Whitney · Moses Corey T H. Schneider Chas. Smallwood, M John C Corey D.C.L., L.L D. E. A. Dver John Empson, C.E. *Thos Newton I J Gibb Thos. R. Johnson Benjamin Seaton Hezekiah Arnold *Geo Devereux Van C Dorwin Frederick K ngston · Walter Crocker H L Kobinson Thomas Hawkins

Thoma White

W. H. Tapson

W. Hobbs

·W G Parmelee

Hon. A B Føster

Hon, L S. Hunting don

E. E. Shelton Cuthbert Fornerer Henry Roebuck M W. Bailey Benjamin Dixon Russell Wood, jr. Jas Moir Fee *Andrew Grant ·John Smith *R W. Spencely G. F. C. Smith *Henry Pangman *Andrew Ewan John Moody Thos Simpson Robert Leach John White Jas Hutton Wm Turner *C E Dunn Phillip DeGruchy *E Carter, Q.C. Frank Bond J. M Drake, M D M H Sanborn

O Meigs

Derick

Curry

M'Ginnis

rt Mackay

Kerr

Revlard

rt Foster

Coulter

Moore

. Beswick

n Hooker

Barrett

Roy

Roy

erick Cole

Lindsay

cis Dowse

Kennedy

. Rubidge

Hayden

J. J. C. Abbott

Chambers

Colburt

on Smith

ph Wyman

Campbell

ard Young

DeLisle

Booth

e Purvis

Macdonald

uel Cottingham

as Hunte

is Clarkson

in Richardson

cis Cookman

rd McClean

Combe

Cunningham

H W Austin

Morrison, M.D.

Campbell, C.B.

John M Standish George Carden N S Brown B Anderson A. Young R. Thistle n Jno. Hamilton James Reuter Ritchie Ballard L H Davidson, M T. Pearce B. Small B.C.L. Arthur Lyon, M. D. Geor. e Catton Hon Thos. Wood nes Hodgir.s Edwin Pridham · W. C Baker Thomas Owens James Oborne Benjamin Truax *F C. Gilmour Josiah Payne *J G Whitcomb rge Rogers Oliver Warr n uel Chambers

*Wm. Hill J S. Faulkiner H. S. Foster Samuel Dawson *Dr. Prime Fredk, Mackenzie Walter Drake Stephen Chartier G H Henshaw

Reuben Taylor Names marked thus

The DEAN then said : L

REV. BRETHREN AND BRETHREN,-The occasion for this special meeting of the Synod of this diocese is the election of a successor to our late much beloved and deeply lamented Bishop and Metropolitan. That the duty in the discharge of which we are now assembled is one of the very greatest importance and solemnity, I need hardly tell you; but it is one also of very heavy responsibility, and, as we shall have the benefit of the suggestion of the most hit person as the object of our choice by the whole House of our Right Rev. Fathers in God, this should be a great assistance as well as an alleviation of our responsibility. But be this as it may, we should all fervently pray, after the example of those who elected one to fill the first vacancy that occurred in the ranks of the holy Apostles, "Thou Lord who knowest the hearts of all men, show us

whether of these thou hast chosen." Next in importance to the duty which I have thus briefly endeavoured to impress upon you, is that of good order and decorum in the discharge of our high function. The constitution of our Synod and the canon for the election of a Bishop have only provided, in this respect, that the votes shall be taken by ballot, and arrangements for carrying out the previsions of the canon in such a way as to prevent confusion and for the preservation of o der. For this purpose I took for my guidance the admirable arrangements made at the last election of a Biscop of Toronto; and I sought the further assistance and valuable aid of our Cathedral Chapter. The result has been the adoption of the order of proceeding, a printed copy of which is now, I believe, in the hands of every

one who is entitled to vote. I shall not detain you any longer, but conclude with the words of my venerable friend the late Bishop of Toronto, on a similar occasion, and ask you, "after fervent invocation of the Holy Spirit, to enter upon and discharge the duty before you in such a manner as in your inward souls and consciences you think will most advance the extension of God's king- o'clock. dorsand the growth of His grace amongst us."

A discussion was raised by Rural Dean SLACK as to the proper occupant of the chair, his opinion being that the Archdeacon was the proper dignitary to do so. He would not press the matter, but he would ask that the words "arranged by the Dean and Chapter" be expunged from the orders of the day

The DEAN held that he was the proper dignitary to fill the chair, but called the Chancellor to state the law on the subject The CHANCELLOR cited the law, which

was conclusive that the Dean was the proper occupant of the chair. Rev. Mr. DARNELL moved, recorded by Mr. LONSDELL, that the orders as a whole be

Rural Dean SLACK moved, seconded by the Rev. Mr. LINDSAY, that the se ond line be expunged. Hon. Mr. HUNTINGDON thought that if

the word "arranged" were made "suggested" it would remove the objection. He pointed out that there were objections to the motion for receiving the orders en bloc and greed that they should be taken seriatim.

Rev. Mr. LINDSAY had moved for expunging the words "arranged," etc , as he felt afraid of its being a precedent. Mr. ROEBUCK was not prepared to give the Dean and Chapter the power of initiating legislation. If it was so and the Bishops named

The amendment was lost

order and passed seriatim. The amendment | tended to adjourn in an hour till May next. | taken down and the amendment was carried.

The main motion was then put and carried. The Scrutineers appointed were the Rev. J. Ellegoode, Rev T W Fyles and Major Campbell for the clerical vote. The Chancellor, Capt Acres and Rev. W. B. Curran for the lay vote. Committees to examine the lists :-Clerical list—Rev. Canon Bond, Rev. R. Lonsdell, Rural Dean, Rev. R. W. Newman, Hon. L. S. Huntingdon, Mr. J. C. Spence. Lay list was made.

—Dr. Smallwood, Mr. W. H. Kerr, Mr. E. E. Rev. Ca Shelton, Rev. Canon Anderson, Rev J. B. Davidson

The SECRETARY of the House of Bishops delivered a message from their Lordships containing the nomination.

Canon BALCH read the nomination of those whom they had selected. They believed that the name should be that of one in Episcopal orders. They therefore sent down the following names :- The Bishop of Fredericton, the Bishop of Nova Scotia, the Bishop of Huron, the Bishop of Ontario, the Bishop of Quebec, the Bishop of Toronto.

A little before six the Committee on the lists of delegates brought in their report and the Synod adjourned till this morning at 10 o'clock.

THURSDAY, Nov. 12, 1868.

Yesterday morning the proceedings of the Synod were resumed. The clergy, in accordance with the order of proceedings, were seated on the right, and the laity on the left, of the Chair. The proceedings were opened with prayer by Rev. Canon Balch. The roll of delegates was then called, and the minutes of yesterday read and approved. The first part of the forenoon's proceedings was taken up with hearing appeals in respect to the report of the Committee on lists, which were finished a few minutes before twelve.

Mr. J. M. FERRIS raised the point that the House of Bishops being only entitled to send down a list, from whom the Synod were to choose a Bishop, had no power to express in that message any opinion or determination in addition. The resolution sent down was that only a clergyman holding Episcopal orders should be chosen as Bishop of Montreal. It was a most unfortunate thing for the House of Bishops itself, as, if the Synod declined to elect any of those whose names were ant down, they would be obliged to change that resolu-

The DEAN declared the question out of

Mr. FERRIS appealed to the House, and was House of Bishops, but this could not be withthought the best way would be to ask a Conference, and ask the Bishops to withdraw the resolution, substituting another with merely the names. He would, however, content himself with moving that this be not held as a preedent as there was not time for a conference.

The resolution is as follows. That by the Canon regulating the manner in which a Bishop of the Diocese of Montreal and Metropolitan is to be elected, it is provided that the House of Bishops shall lay before this Synod two or more names from which to select, and should no cho ce be made by this Synod that the House of Bishops shall again lay before this Synod other names and so on until a choice shall have been made. That the resolution passed by the House of Bishops and laid before this Synod yesterday is prefaced by a pream-

That this Synod while receiving the said resolution with all the respect due to the ouse of Bishops, declares nevertheless that the Canon restricts that venerable body to the sole duty of signifying names to this Synod from which to elect the Bishop of this Diocese and Metropolitan without any declaration of any other determination or of any opinion

That this Synod, therefore, objects to the form of the resolution of the House of Bishops now before this Synod, and will not hold the same as a precedent for the future in so far as it conveys to this Synod any other intimation than the names of persons whom this Synod may select as Bishop and Metropolitan according to the strict provision of the Canon in that behalf. The Hon, Mr. HUNTINGDON seconded the

motion, which was carried unanimously. The DEAN said had he known the objection he would not have held Mr. Ferris out of

The DEAN then called on the members of the Synod to engage in silent prayer for a few minutes, at the conclusion of which, the balloting began, the clergy voting on the one side of the House and the laity on the other. At one o'clock the ballotting was concluded, and the scrutineers retired with the ballot boxes and the Synod adjourned till two

AFTERNOON SESSION.

At the opening of the Session, the Scrutineers returned the following as the state of

Bishop of Federicton..... 2 1 Do of Nova Scotia.... 0 Do of Quebec 33 9 Do of Ontario 4 Do of Huron 0 0 Do of Torosto..... 0 2 Lost votes 0 3 Noes..... 33 43

the result being a rejection of all the names sent down by the House of Bishops. A message to this effect being sent to their Lordships, another list was sent down, from which the Bishop of Huron dissented in a note to the Dean which he requested to be read to the Synod. The voting on this resulted as follows:

	C. L.
Bishop of Grahamstown	0
Do of British Columbia 2:	2 114
Do of Newfoundland :	2 1
Noes 4-	4 41
_	
C	2 5.1

gislation. If it was so and the Bishops named the candidates they might as well go home. This being the result, a message was sent to their Lordships accordingly. The House of ballot, Hon. L. S. HUNTINGDON in amend-Re". Mr. DAVIDSON moved, seconded by mit any additional names, and that they in this nomination.

The amendment was 1034.

The amendment was 1034.

The peas and nays were demanded to be

The message was received with hisses, and cries of "shame," and considerable confusion. Rev. Canon BANCROFT moved that a special meeting be called to separate the office of the Bishop of Montreal from that of the

Mr. T. R. JOHNSON said the Bishops were bound to send down names until an election

Metropolitan. The motion was ruled out of

Rev. Canon BANCROFT said the House of Bishops had sent down the names of all the Bishops of British North America but one, and they should send down that one also. (Loud

Mr ROEBUCK moved that a conference be asked with their Lordships, to ascertain if some better understanding could not be come to. The motion was carried, and the Dean appointed a committee to confer with their

Lordships.

About half-past six the Committee returned and announced that their Lordships would be prepared to send down other names immediately after prayers in the morning. The The Synod then adjourned.

FRIDAY. Nov. 13, 1868.

ELECTION OF MATE POLITAN The Synod met yesterday muruing and was organized in the usual manner, the nam-s of the members called, and the minutes of the last meeting read and approved of.

The SECRETARY of the House of Bishops brought in a message from their Lordshi, s. Rev. J. C. DAVIDSON moved the following resolution, seconded by Mr H. L. Ribitson, that upon every nomination and first ballot a second ballot shall take place upon the one candidate receiving the largest tumber of votes In such nemination, that in opportunity may be given for the reconciliation of different judg.

ments and an early harmonious settlement, The motionwas los' : yeas, 63; usys, 94 The message was then read as follows : Toat in accordance with a surgestion made to the Conference with the Diocesan Synod yesterday evening, the House of Bishops submit to the Diocesan Synod of Montreal the names of the Lord Bishop of Newfoundland, the Lord Bishop of Huron, the Lord Bishop of Ontario, the Lord Bishop of Quebec and the Lord Bishop of Toronto, with the underst-ud-

ing that if a Bishop of this Province should be elected he shall hold office only until a canou can be passed leaving the election of Metropolitan in the hands of the House of Bishops, and sustained. He continued that it was the duty of the Synod to preserve harmony with the without restriction in the Synod of that Diocese. Hon L. S. HUNTINGDON submitted that

out the law being observed on both sides. He | these names having been already sent down and rejected, that it is not in order to send them down again. It was a point which the Dean himself must decide. The DEAN thought the House of Bishops

had a right to send down the same names. Hon. L. S. HUNTINGDON seeing that this point had been ruled out said, that it had been decided yesterday that the surplusage in the message was not admisible. The House of Bish ops had no right to send down any conditions as to the circums ances in which the ballot was to be taken, and ther fore the message could not be received. His application in writing was that it is not in order for this Synod to receive the message just presented from the House of Bishops, the pomination being coupled with couditions and extraneous matters not authorized by the Canon.

The DEAN agreed with Mr. Huctingdon, that the message was not admissible, and the Synod accordingly refused to receive it, a message to that effect being returned.

The buzz and excitement that prevailed was hu hed as the Secretary of the House of Fi hops returned with the message in reply. The names ent down were the same as these already sent with the exception of the Bishop of Quebec. The Secretary explained that the reason the name of the Bishop of Quebec was left out was that he would on no account accept the effice. The other Bishops would only accept conditionally. (Cries of no conditions.)

There was some confusion at this point as to the steps to be taken, but it was ultimately decided to take a recess for half an hour before balioting.

On resuming, the ballot was proceeded with and the Scrutineers reported the following as the state of the votes :

ishop o	cf British Columbia,36
eb	Нигов, 6
do	Newfoun land, 0
do	Ontario 1
do	Torouto, 1
ost Vo	tes,
0e8	

The Bishop of British Columbia had a majority of the Clerical votes, but only 14 Lay votes, 28 being necessary. Hon. L S. HUNTINGDON moved that there

be no further votes on these names, which was carried. It was then moved and carried that the

Synod adjourn till three o'clock.

AFTERNOON SESSION. The Synod met again at turee o'clock.

Mr. L H. DAVIDSON, in support of a proposition for reconsideration of the last vote, cited authorities, but was ruled out of order After waiting for some time a message was

received from the House of Bishops nominating the Lord Bishop of British Columbia, the Coadjutor Bishop of Newfoundland and the Dean of received with cries of Goulburn and a movement to elect the Desn of Norwich by acclamation. Order being restored and a short time devoted to silent prayer, the ballot was proceeded with, resulting as follows:

Bishop o	of	Bri	ıi	sl	a	(K	d	u	n	a	b	İs	١,									5
Coadjute	r	Bis	h	0	p	(þ		ľ	Į,	9	w	f	0	u	u	d	1	B	n	d		0
Deau cf	N	WID	i	cl	'n,																	ċ	38
Lost vot	es.							٠					,										0
Nays,																							24
-																							_

Bishops then sent down a message, with the ment that no further ballot should be taken on

Rev. GEORGE SLACK moved that a con-

ference be requested between the Clergy, represented by six of their number and the laity represented by an equal number, to see if no understanding could be come to whereby a unauimous vote might be reached. Motion ruled out of order.

The result of the last ballot having been sent to the House of Bishops, a message was returned that as they were unable to agree upon any other names to be submitted for election until further enquiry, they would adjourn till the month of May next.

The message was received with considerable manifestation of feeling, approbation and disapprobation being both loudly expressed.

THE DEAN said that nothing further remained to de done. The Synod could either adjourn sine die, or adjourn to meet at ten Cleck to-morrow (this) morning.

A number of the representatives from the country said they had already wait d longer than was convenient for them, and a feeling was expressed to have an evening session.

Mr. J. M. FERRIS urged that they should wait till another day. He could quite understand the desire of representatives from the coun'ry to get home, but it would be for the best interests of the Diccese, under the prinful circumstances in which it was placed, that they should remain. He moved that the Synod do adjourn till ten o'clock to-morrow (this)

Rev. Canon BANCROFT moved that the discussion continue until the usual hour of adjournment-six c'clock.

Mr. F. McKENZIE moved that there should be an evening session.

The resolution of Dr. Bancroft was carried. Mr. FEBRIS said it became the members of Synon under existing eircumstances to consider their position with the decorum and calmness which the position demanded. As the best thing to be done now he would move that a Committee by appointed to consider the whole

matter and report in the morning at ten o'clock. It was here suggested and generally approved of that the Committee report at an evening sit-ting to be held at eight o'clock, and Mr. Ferris altered his motion accordingly; the Committee to consist of ten members, five of the Clergy

and five of the Laity. Hon, Mr. BUNTINGDON said there were serious questions to be settled before the Synod could adjourn sine die, and grave doubts existed as to the power of summoning the Synod again in that event. These and other matters must be taken into consideration.

Before the vote was taken the DEAN said it would be impossible for him to be present in the evening, and as he had at so long he was anxious to see it out. It was, therefore, resolved, the motion having been sgreed to, that the Committee report at ten in the morning, and the Dean appointed the following as the members : The Archdescon and four rural deans for the clergy, and Messes. Ferris, Huntingdon, Hamilton, Small wood and Hutton for the laity, and requested country members to defer leav. ing till the business was concluded.

The Synod then adjourned till ten this morn-

SATURDAY, Nov. 14, 1868.

The Synoi m t yesterday at ten o'clock, the Veneratle the Dean presiding. The usual prayers were read, the roll of members called, and the minutes of the previous day's proceedings read and approved of.

There was some little delay, the committee not being prepared with their report, but shortly before eleven o'clock the members entered the Court

The Venerable ARCHDEACON LEACH said. In presenting the report I may mention, in the first place, that the Committee invited the Chancellor to be present at its deliberations and have tad the benefit of his experience, and, in the second place, that the Hon. John Hamilton dissents generally from the contents of the report Although the name of the Hon. Mr. Huntingdon dees not appear attached to the report itself, yet he was present at the deliberations and approves of its contents. The report is in the hand-writing of the mover, and I propose he shall read the report himself. Mr J. M. FERRIS read the report which is

in the following terms:-The Committee appoint d by the order of reference of this date to consider the state of the Synod has the honour to report.

That the Synod of this Diocese as embled on the t nth of November, instalt, for the purpose of choosing a Bishop and Metropolitan.

Tuat, by the terms of the Cacou regulating the election it is the duty of the House of Bishops to send down to the Synod two or more names, from which the Synod is to s lect one for Bishop and Metropolitan, and in case a selection should not be made from those names the House of Bishops should again send down other names until a choice should be m de.

That the House of Bishops, in terms of the canon, set down on the same day the names of the Bishops of Nova Scotia, of Fredericton, of Haren, of Toronto, of Outagio, and of Quebec, accompanied by a declaration of their determination that the lute rests of the Church required that the person to be elected to the Metropolitan See of Montreal should be of the Episcopal

That your Synod did not choose any one of the names so s at down to it, but intimated to the House of Bishops that the declaration of any Norwich (Dr. Goulburn.) The message was determination, or of any opinion of theirs accompanying any names submitted was not in acco dance with law

That the House of Bishops, on the 11th inst. sent down the names of the Bishops of Newf undland, of Grahamstown, and of British Columbia, and the Synod having voted there-

upon, a choice again failed. That the House of Bishops ther after transmitted a message to your Synod, declaring that they were not prepared to submit, without delay and enquiry, any further names than those already sent down, and that they would adjourn or one hour, and renew their nominations in the month of May next.

That a conference having been requested by your Synod, the House of Bishops consented thereto, and the report of the result was made to your Synod to the effect that the nominations