

“are not fashioned to keep ahead—as we are bound to
“do—of the provisions of the German Naval laws.”

(*Liverpool Daily Post*, July 30, 1912.)

“Toby, M.P. in *Punch* on July 31, 1912, said of
“Churchill’s speech:

“House felt it was assisting at development of a
“critical turn in history of Empire.”

“The Borden Cabinet has not only a great chance of
“solidly establishing its prestige in Canada, but also of
“paving the way to a far nearer approach to Imperial
“direction of Empire questions.”

(*The Review of Reviews*, July, 1912.)

“It is impossible to mistake the genuine concern
“for British naval supremacy, and the determination to
“maintain it at any cost, which has recently found
“public expression throughout the Dominion.”

(*Commentator*, July 31, 1912.)

“Let each Dominion bear its share of the Imperial
“burden and take its share of the responsibility.”

(*The Empire Review*, August, 1912.)

“Mr. Borden and his Ministers have brought to this
“country an offer of help which has been gratefully ac-
“knowledged. The day has gon by when the great
“Overseas communities of British people failed to
“recognize their own direct responsibilities for the
“maintenance and integrity of the British Empire.”

(*Sussex Daily News*, August 1, 1912.)

“In the question raised by the visitors, Mr. Borden
“and his colleagues, there was no division of opinion
“amongst them, they were all of one mind.”

(*Sir Rufus Isaacs at dinner—National Liberal Club.*)

“How, we shall be asked, can there be one navy
“under one control and one direction if none of the self-