APOLOGY DOUBTED

Note is taken of your apology (Nov. 11) and your present claim that it was neither your intention to misrepresent the culture and politics of Third World Students nor to imply that "all students who acted improperly (at Bipin Lakhani disciplinary meeting) were from the Third World". It is surprising to hear this when it appeared that the sentences as constructed and arranged express quite the opposite. Nevertheless, inconsistent treatment of a recent event has

forced me to wonder whether something other than late hours informed your unfortunate juxtaposition of sentences.

I am referring to an incident which took place last Tuesday and was reported in Excalibur (Nov.11) under the caption "Bearpit Confrontation". What would otherwise have been the peaceful screening of a film turned into a display of intolerance, lack of respect for the rights of others and plain bad manners on the part of some students. Witness the attempts at obstruction and provocation - students standing in front of the projector and screen; loud

chanting; use of the erstwhile, impartial York radio station to drown out sound.

Alas, how did your paper report this event? I dare say that your reporter was more concerned with vindicating the action of these students than with the disorderly and 'undemocratic' behaviour displayed by them

Certainly, this latest event deserved editorial comment. Certainly, in keeping with your treatment of the 'undemocratic' behaviour which erupted at the Bipin Lakham disciplinary meeting, you could have used your column to castigate these

Whilst I believe your apology is genuine, the fact that you have chosen to ignore the disruptive tactics employed at the bearpit, confuses me. What is the basis for this inconsistent treatment? Is it that your paper represents the interests of these students? Is it that

in supporting their viewpoints you are blind to their wrongdoings? Were Third World students unwittingly

being used as a scapegoat to support your position on the Bipin Lakhani issue?

> **Douglas Mendes** A Caribbean Student

JDL: JUST **BULLY BOYS**

It has become apparent that it is time for the majority of Jews on campus, through their representive, the Jewish Student Federation, to show that they are disgusted with the actions of the so-called "Jewish Defense League". This small group of bully boys who decided for the rest of us, Jew and Gentile alike, what is and what is not anti-Jewish, through this action of censorship, is reminiscent of the brown shirts and the JDL should know better. As for the JSF, they should issue an immediate statement condemning the whole sorry business.

Berel Wetstein

REAL ISSUES

If the question of Zionism were as cut and dry as certain people (both pre and con) have stated, then a solution to its indigenous problems would be simple. But the manifest situation is quite different than the theorists believe.

For one thing, the whole issue has been clouded (mainly by the antizionist camp). A principle source of this confusion is the lack of distinction that is drawn between anti-zionism and anti-semitism. As any ultra-orthodox Jew will attest, these two concepts are not the same. Zionism is a national and political philosophy, while (anti) semitism deals with the historical and religious study of people from the area of the world commonly referred to as the Middle East. Coloquially, this has come to mean Jews. Equating these two ideologies is what has led to much of the turmoil in the world (and even here at York) today. -

Secondly, the Jewish Defense League, which has been increasingly active on campus this year, is in many respects, a highly detrimental force. They are widely perceived as the mouthpiece of the entire Jewish community. Again, such is not the case. The JDL presents no more than a single side of the issues, and an often-distorted one at that. They are no more the voice of the Jewish community than Ed Asner is an official spokesman for the El Salvadoreans.

With all of the trouble brewing this year, the potential for violence and harm to personal well-being exists to a far greater degree than before Maybe a little clear-headed understanding of the real issues will stem this rising tide of blinkered hatred. If something isn't done -fastsomebody is going to land up getting hurt. After that, who knows what could happen?

Larry Till

LETTERS TO THE EDITORS should be sent to Room 111, Central Square, Ross Building. All letters MUST be typed, and should not exceed 300 words (we want to provide space for everyone).

EXCALIBUR NEEDS writers, copy editors,

proofreaders and energetic people with time to spare. Easy-tolearn skills taught on the spot. Get your experience now. Call 667-3201 or visit Michael and Paula in Room 111 Central Square, Ross Building. Run, do not walk, to the nearest opportunity.



Dave Pierce of The British Columbia Institute of Technology, Burnaby, B.C., our first winner, will soon be sitting in the driver's seat of North America's favourite sporty car, and knowing it's all his. On this second drawing, December 15, 1982, it could be you. Imagine phoning the folks back home to say "Hello Again. Guess what! I've won a Ford Mustang!" Enter today!

And watch for the 3rd draw, February 15, 1983.

Contest Rules

1. To enter and qualify, correctly answer the quiz printed on the official entry form and mail to: The Long Distance "Hello Again" Sweepstakes, Box 1405 Station "A", Toronto, Ontario M5W 2E8. Contest will commence September 1, 1982. Mail each entry in a separate envelope bearing sufficient postage.

2. There will be a total of three prizes awarded. Each prize will consist of a 1983 Ford Mustang "GL" 2-door automobile (approximate retail value \$9,122.00 each). Prizes must be accepted as awarded, no substitutions.

Selections will be made from among all entries received by the independent contest judging organization on October 21 and December 15, 1982 and February 15, 1983. Entries not selected in the October 21 or December 15, 1982 draws will automatically be entered for the final draw, February 15, 1983. One car will be awarded in each draw.

4. Selected entrants must first correctly answer a timelimited, arithmetical, skill-testing question in order to win.

5. Sweepstakes is open only to students who are registered full or part-time at any accredited Canadian university, College or post-secondary Institution. Complete contest rules available in the Grab-It envelope at selected campus bookstores or by sending a self-addressed, stamped envelope to TransCanada

Telephone System, 410 Laurier Ave. W., Room 950, Box 2410, Station "D" Ottawa, Ontario, KIP 6H5. 6. Quebec residents: All taxes eligible under la Loi sur les loteries, les courses, les concours publicitaires et les appareils d'amusements have been paid. A complaint respecting the administration of this contest may be submitted to the Régie des loteries et courses du Québec.

The Long Distance "Hello Again" Musical Quiz.

Long Distance keeps friendships up-to-date, romances alive, memories vivid. Use your imagination and come up with the names of three songs that remind you of calling Long Distance. Enter their names in the spaces provided. Good luck!

NameAddress	n the spaces provided. Good luck! Song No. 1
City/Town Postal Code Prov Postal Code Tel. No. (your own or where you can be reached)	Song No. 2
TT	Song No. 3

Long Distance

TransCanada Telephone System