

ACTIVELY ASKED FOR

RECEIVING VISITS TO THE POLICE

London, April 22.—Hitherto the London police have tackled the militant suffragettes with confidence in their ability to overpower any resistance. This confidence about to receive a rude shock?

The Japanese have taught the world that strength of muscle alone cannot always ensure victory when two humans come to grips, and the Suffragettes are taking the lesson to heart by preparing themselves for future onslaughts upon the forces of law and order which have so far successfully barred their entrance to the House of Commons. Jiu jitsu is the means by which they hope to show how the weaker sex can overcome by scientific skill the brute force of mere man.

Following is the Hansard report of the last part of Hon. Geo. E. Foster's speech on the budget:

Then, Sir, every individual tax payer in this country has other burdens to do, other taxes to pay, and other burdens to shoulder. In the first place he has to keep himself and his family, to run his business, to borrow what is necessary for it, to pay interest and pay the principal as well. That is his second burden. Then he has to pay the Provincial current taxes. That is his third burden. Then he has to pay a tax to every corporation which makes the means of living and the facilities of life better for him, he gets a higher scale of living but he pays for it in one way or another. In addition to that he has to pay the loan burden of municipalities and provincial governments, and to a certain extent, the corporations. Then the Minister of Finance saying: Canada is good for it; comes upon the poor tax payer who has all these burdens and adds to them from \$48 per head \$11 per head in his short regime. Let us get rid of the idea that the country is good for it, an indefinite something which owns nothing which pays nothing, but let us remember that every bit of this burden falls ultimately upon the individual taxpayer and the individual tax payer that the country is good for it is drained and drained in many cases he is drained to the point of weakness. One thing has helped us and saved us to a large extent and will help us to a large extent in the future, the development and product of our arable lands. The Finance Minister spoke this afternoon of 70,000 immigrants from the United States going up into our new lands. These men with those who come from other countries, are the people who with dollar wages to my mind never to return, who are raising their productive harvest in the great west and putting it into and vivifying every artery of industry and of commerce in the country as its greatest hope, and these men are coming to Canada owing to the policy pursued by the Liberal-Conservative Government long before this Government came into office, blazing the path, opening the country, and affording means of transportation for our Northwest, that great last west of free or fairly cheap lands, that is the salvation of our country.

Free Trader and Economist. He was a doughy free trader in the days of opposition; he was a red-hot free trader in the days of opposition; he was dead against the Protection Policy and the principle of protection when he was in opposition; it was his creed and his guiding doctrine in those days that the protection was surplus. But the hon. gentleman came into office and WHERE ARE HIS FREE TRADE PRINCIPLES NOW? Well, Sir, the Minister of Finance taken right; where is his HATED OF BOUNTIES?—bounties on iron, bounties on steel, bounties on coal and the necessities of life, bounties on wine, bounties on oil, bounties on beet root sugar, bounties on everything that he is without doubt the champion of. He is without doubt the champion of the swallow of his principles and policy. My right hon. friend has a perfect right to be prouder than ever of his Finance Minister and no doubt a fellow feeling man them wondrous kind. Well, Sir, the Minister of Finance is champion in another respect; he is the champion taxer of Canada.

Champion Taxer. Of all the Finance Ministers that have ever been, NO ONE HAS GONE SO DEEP INTO THE POCKETS, NO ONE HAS PILED UP THE ALTARS SO HIGH with the hard earnings of the people. My right hon. friend must be proud of him in that respect. If I remember well, once on a time in the city of Ottawa in 1893 he helped up the flag inviting all sundry to march up to the citadel and to put out the men who believed in protection, who overtaxed the people and who were extravagant in their expenditure of government. Well, Sir, my friend the Minister of Finance takes some rank as SCALPING THE THRIFTY DEPOSITORS of this country of a part of their interest upon the necessities of life, commodities, the vaulted surplus of his which he must have. He cannot get it by changing two million dollars from current account to the necessities of life, commodities, \$3,000,000 or \$4,000,000 by doing the depositors in the savings banks of one-half of one per cent. and paying the balance to the necessities of life, commodities, ONE PER CENT. LESS THAN HE PAYS TO THE FOREIGN MONEY LENDERS. No Minister of Finance in the history of Canada has ever so FAIRLY AND SQUARELY SCALPED THE FOREIGN LENDER AND THE FOREIGN BROKER, AND NO ONE HAS EVER MADE SO PLEASANT FOR THE MIDDLE MAN GENTLEMAN (Mr. Fielding). I have read the story of the commissions and the brokerage charges that have been paid to the necessities of life, commodities, no other Finance Minister of Canada has ever paid as high rates for the money in Great Britain as has the present Minister of Finance. In that respect also has a record.

Champion Spendthrift. He is also—he will not think I am applying it to him in other than the technical sense—he is also the champion spendthrift. He is also the champion spendthrift in that he has spent more than any other Canadian Finance Minister. Coming in on the declaration of 1908, he applied as his annual expenditure, he applied as his annual expenditure of \$125,000,000, and no other Canadian Finance Minister has ever accomplished such a feat. He has spent more than \$41,000,000 a year and ending in last year just past with \$25,000,000. I am sure my right hon. friend is not proud of the Finance Minister as a spender—the Quebec bridge, the Newmarket canal, St. Joseph's, and in coming near home, go anywhere through this country, and the list is so large of ABSOLUTELY USELESS AND WASTEFUL EXPENDITURES, GONE INTO WITHOUT THOUGHT OF THE CONSEQUENCES, until the whole bill was sent in and then it was paid. And the quality of the expenditures—why, Sir, there is scarcely a thing upon which the money can be spent which is not today brought within the category of Dominion expenditures, and upon which money is not spent. They have GONE SO FAR AS TO ADVERTISE FOR APPLICATIONS FOR EXPENDITURES. My right hon. friend remembers the doughy economist in the days of his opposition crusade—Sir Wm. Masloek the present movement, who was in Ontario his right hand in the matter of strict and rigid economy. My right hon. friend will remember that he made him at one time Minister of Public Works, and he had not warmed the seat of the office temporarily for more than a few hours before he actually issued a circular inviting all members of Parliament and unsuccessful candidates to just advise him if there were any expenditures in their constituencies which they would like to have carried out, as he would be glad to know what they were.

Champion Swallower. There is another emblem which the Minister of Finance has. He has promulgated more interesting and misleading fiction as a basis for public expenditures in this country than any Finance Minister I know of. Witness the Grand Trunk Pacific. How certain he was that it would cost MORE THAN \$15,000,000 all told to the people of this country. How certain he was that the outlay would not be more than \$5,000,000 as an advance to the Minister of Railways but the outlay at \$14,000,000 ON THE EASTERN DIVISION, less terminals, less Quebec bridge, less a lot of other things, and before it is through with \$18,000,000 WILL BE NEARER THE FIGURE, \$51,000,000

Why She Became A Suffragette. Lady Constance Lytton, who was recently released from Holloway jail after serving a term of imprisonment for taking part in a suffragette raid on the House of Commons explained for the course of an address delivered last week to the members of the Women's Social and Political Union how she became a suffragette. She said that until she became a suffragette she had missed her opportunities in life, and although she had tried she had never succeeded in anything. She had never cared for books, and never cared for the society of intellectual people. Some three or four years ago she had as a great hobby the study of criminals and prisons, and she realized that she could very easily joining her taste for the latter by joining the cause.

As I said the taxation of this country taking it all through, is for a new and a poor country tremendously heavy and this government ought not to carry beyond a reasonable point and add to that already heavy burden. We seldom think of the amount of borrowing that is going on in Canada, and the enormous amount of money that is coming into the country. Sometimes we look at that side of the movement only and forget that every dollar that comes into this country, with its yearly accretion has ultimately to go out of this country. The moment that a million pounds finds its way into Canada and is invested in any way, that moment begins the return stream, of interest first and finally of principal. I have urged on the Prime Minister such a re-organization of our statistical system as would enable us to obtain from the official publications, statistical information of a practical kind which would be of use to us in our discussions of the subjects of this kind, yet to obtain the figures which I am about to give to the House I have had to avail myself of a very different and little production published by Mr. E. R. Wood of the Dominion Securities Company in Toronto. I find from this that in the years, in Canada, 1906-7 and 8 the total of bonds issued by governments, municipalities and corporations was as follows:

Table with 2 columns: Issued by, Amount. Governments, \$107,580,000. Municipalities, \$2,500,000. Corporations, 301,700,000. Total, \$511,780,000.

There were bonds issued for use in Canada, of these bonds \$198,000,000 were issued in 1908. This is a vast sum but it is but a small portion of the money which has been brought into this country. Of Dominion Government bonds, provincial government bonds and railway bonds, there were running on December 31, 1908, about \$802,000,000. All this goes to show the immense amount of money that is coming into this country, and it teaches us as well that beginning immediately and continuing, there is to be an immense amount of money that is coming out of this country. The issues of Dominion Government bonds unfortunately are not for what we might call constructive investments. They go to build public buildings, wharves, Newmarket canal, St. Joseph Wharfs, they go to build Quebec bridges which fall down into the water, not allowing the clean the crooks, and they are not productive they are of use in the development of the country. The main point which I wish to drive home is that while these expenditures are being made on the ground that the country is good for it, we must remember that it is the country's burden. Our country has within it such resources and such powers that livelihood for the public who inhabit it is made easy, and poverty cannot be imminent or widespread. But at the same time we must not overburden the individual.

My right hon. friend is proud of his Minister of Finance; he said so in Halifax. He is proud of his Minister of Public Works; he told us the other night he is now "P.P." prouder of Pugsley than ever. I suppose that now after his exposition he will be still prouder of his Finance Minister. Far be it from me to pluck a single feather from the cap of the Minister of Finance, but at the same time there are considerations that we might indulge in a quiet way in order to prevent the Minister of Finance from becoming too much elated by the pride that his Prime Minister has in his performance. Suppose that good naturedly I should go over a number of these as the ending of my address.

Champion Swallower. In the first place the Prime Minister should be proud of the Minister of Finance because in all the history of Canada there has been no Finance Minister who has so COMPLETELY SWALLOWED ALL HIS PRINCIPLES, FINISCALLY, FINANCIALLY, AND OTHERWISE, AS HAS THE HON. GENTLEMAN. (Mr. Fielding). It is not true that the old Finance Minister we have living stood true to his fiscal colors. In the dark times

London, April 22.—Hitherto the London police have tackled the militant suffragettes with confidence in their ability to overpower any resistance. This confidence about to receive a rude shock?

Following is the Hansard report of the last part of Hon. Geo. E. Foster's speech on the budget:

Then, Sir, every individual tax payer in this country has other burdens to do, other taxes to pay, and other burdens to shoulder. In the first place he has to keep himself and his family, to run his business, to borrow what is necessary for it, to pay interest and pay the principal as well. That is his second burden. Then he has to pay the Provincial current taxes. That is his third burden. Then he has to pay a tax to every corporation which makes the means of living and the facilities of life better for him, he gets a higher scale of living but he pays for it in one way or another. In addition to that he has to pay the loan burden of municipalities and provincial governments, and to a certain extent, the corporations. Then the Minister of Finance saying: Canada is good for it; comes upon the poor tax payer who has all these burdens and adds to them from \$48 per head \$11 per head in his short regime. Let us get rid of the idea that the country is good for it, an indefinite something which owns nothing which pays nothing, but let us remember that every bit of this burden falls ultimately upon the individual taxpayer and the individual tax payer that the country is good for it is drained and drained in many cases he is drained to the point of weakness. One thing has helped us and saved us to a large extent and will help us to a large extent in the future, the development and product of our arable lands. The Finance Minister spoke this afternoon of 70,000 immigrants from the United States going up into our new lands. These men with those who come from other countries, are the people who with dollar wages to my mind never to return, who are raising their productive harvest in the great west and putting it into and vivifying every artery of industry and of commerce in the country as its greatest hope, and these men are coming to Canada owing to the policy pursued by the Liberal-Conservative Government long before this Government came into office, blazing the path, opening the country, and affording means of transportation for our Northwest, that great last west of free or fairly cheap lands, that is the salvation of our country.

Free Trader and Economist. He was a doughy free trader in the days of opposition; he was a red-hot free trader in the days of opposition; he was dead against the Protection Policy and the principle of protection when he was in opposition; it was his creed and his guiding doctrine in those days that the protection was surplus. But the hon. gentleman came into office and WHERE ARE HIS FREE TRADE PRINCIPLES NOW? Well, Sir, the Minister of Finance taken right; where is his HATED OF BOUNTIES?—bounties on iron, bounties on steel, bounties on coal and the necessities of life, bounties on wine, bounties on oil, bounties on beet root sugar, bounties on everything that he is without doubt the champion of. He is without doubt the champion of the swallow of his principles and policy. My right hon. friend has a perfect right to be prouder than ever of his Finance Minister and no doubt a fellow feeling man them wondrous kind. Well, Sir, the Minister of Finance is champion in another respect; he is the champion taxer of Canada.

Champion Taxer. Of all the Finance Ministers that have ever been, NO ONE HAS GONE SO DEEP INTO THE POCKETS, NO ONE HAS PILED UP THE ALTARS SO HIGH with the hard earnings of the people. My right hon. friend must be proud of him in that respect. If I remember well, once on a time in the city of Ottawa in 1893 he helped up the flag inviting all sundry to march up to the citadel and to put out the men who believed in protection, who overtaxed the people and who were extravagant in their expenditure of government. Well, Sir, my friend the Minister of Finance takes some rank as SCALPING THE THRIFTY DEPOSITORS of this country of a part of their interest upon the necessities of life, commodities, the vaulted surplus of his which he must have. He cannot get it by changing two million dollars from current account to the necessities of life, commodities, \$3,000,000 or \$4,000,000 by doing the depositors in the savings banks of one-half of one per cent. and paying the balance to the necessities of life, commodities, ONE PER CENT. LESS THAN HE PAYS TO THE FOREIGN MONEY LENDERS. No Minister of Finance in the history of Canada has ever so FAIRLY AND SQUARELY SCALPED THE FOREIGN LENDER AND THE FOREIGN BROKER, AND NO ONE HAS EVER MADE SO PLEASANT FOR THE MIDDLE MAN GENTLEMAN (Mr. Fielding). I have read the story of the commissions and the brokerage charges that have been paid to the necessities of life, commodities, no other Finance Minister of Canada has ever paid as high rates for the money in Great Britain as has the present Minister of Finance. In that respect also has a record.

Champion Spendthrift. He is also—he will not think I am applying it to him in other than the technical sense—he is also the champion spendthrift. He is also the champion spendthrift in that he has spent more than any other Canadian Finance Minister. Coming in on the declaration of 1908, he applied as his annual expenditure, he applied as his annual expenditure of \$125,000,000, and no other Canadian Finance Minister has ever accomplished such a feat. He has spent more than \$41,000,000 a year and ending in last year just past with \$25,000,000. I am sure my right hon. friend is not proud of the Finance Minister as a spender—the Quebec bridge, the Newmarket canal, St. Joseph's, and in coming near home, go anywhere through this country, and the list is so large of ABSOLUTELY USELESS AND WASTEFUL EXPENDITURES, GONE INTO WITHOUT THOUGHT OF THE CONSEQUENCES, until the whole bill was sent in and then it was paid. And the quality of the expenditures—why, Sir, there is scarcely a thing upon which the money can be spent which is not today brought within the category of Dominion expenditures, and upon which money is not spent. They have GONE SO FAR AS TO ADVERTISE FOR APPLICATIONS FOR EXPENDITURES. My right hon. friend remembers the doughy economist in the days of his opposition crusade—Sir Wm. Masloek the present movement, who was in Ontario his right hand in the matter of strict and rigid economy. My right hon. friend will remember that he made him at one time Minister of Public Works, and he had not warmed the seat of the office temporarily for more than a few hours before he actually issued a circular inviting all members of Parliament and unsuccessful candidates to just advise him if there were any expenditures in their constituencies which they would like to have carried out, as he would be glad to know what they were.

Champion Swallower. There is another emblem which the Minister of Finance has. He has promulgated more interesting and misleading fiction as a basis for public expenditures in this country than any Finance Minister I know of. Witness the Grand Trunk Pacific. How certain he was that it would cost MORE THAN \$15,000,000 all told to the people of this country. How certain he was that the outlay would not be more than \$5,000,000 as an advance to the Minister of Railways but the outlay at \$14,000,000 ON THE EASTERN DIVISION, less terminals, less Quebec bridge, less a lot of other things, and before it is through with \$18,000,000 WILL BE NEARER THE FIGURE, \$51,000,000

London, April 22.—The suit of Hutchins vs. Macdonald, which has been before Judge Dryden in the Supreme Court for the past two days in the plaintiff, Mrs. Hutchins sued the defendant Macdonald, proprietor of the Halifax hotel for the damages inflicted by being struck and thrown from his bicycle.

Special to The Standard. Halifax, April 22.—The suit of Hutchins vs. Macdonald, which has been before Judge Dryden in the Supreme Court for the past two days in the plaintiff, Mrs. Hutchins sued the defendant Macdonald, proprietor of the Halifax hotel for the damages inflicted by being struck and thrown from his bicycle.

Special to The Standard. Charlottetown, P. E. I., April 22.—The election of the Hon. F. E. Macdonald, Liberal, and John McLean, Conservative, which has been in progress at St. John's for several days, came to an abrupt conclusion tonight. Judge Fitzgerald, having dismissed both petitioners with costs.

Special to The Standard. The election of the Hon. F. E. Macdonald, Liberal, and John McLean, Conservative, which has been in progress at St. John's for several days, came to an abrupt conclusion tonight. Judge Fitzgerald, having dismissed both petitioners with costs.

Special to The Standard. Ottawa, April 22.—In the Senate today, Senator David resumed the debate on Hon. Mr. Scott's motion for senate reform. He agreed with Hon. Mr. Scott that if certain desired reforms in the constitution were not made in reason, the whole senate would one day stand in danger of being swept away. Independence of mind in the senate was desired. On this point, Hon. Mr. Scott's resolution was weak. It proposed that the third of the senators had been appointed for seven years. They would desire a second term, and therefore, would have a tendency to act in a way to win the favor of the party which would be likely to control their reappointment. It proposed that two-thirds of the senate should be elected by popular vote.

Where is it Weak. In the opinion of Senator David this would result in the men who would be too much inclined to give their vote to popular clamor of the moment. In the opinion of Senator David the change in the status of senate which was most desirable, was one he had previously proposed, namely that half of the senators be appointed by the provincial governments, and the other appointed as at present. He offered an amendment to that effect.

The debate was adjourned by Senator Edwards.

Special to The Standard. Ottawa, April 22.—In the Senate today, Senator David resumed the debate on Hon. Mr. Scott's motion for senate reform. He agreed with Hon. Mr. Scott that if certain desired reforms in the constitution were not made in reason, the whole senate would one day stand in danger of being swept away. Independence of mind in the senate was desired. On this point, Hon. Mr. Scott's resolution was weak. It proposed that the third of the senators had been appointed for seven years. They would desire a second term, and therefore, would have a tendency to act in a way to win the favor of the party which would be likely to control their reappointment. It proposed that two-thirds of the senate should be elected by popular vote.

Where is it Weak. In the opinion of Senator David this would result in the men who would be too much inclined to give their vote to popular clamor of the moment. In the opinion of Senator David the change in the status of senate which was most desirable, was one he had previously proposed, namely that half of the senators be appointed by the provincial governments, and the other appointed as at present. He offered an amendment to that effect.

The debate was adjourned by Senator Edwards.

Special to The Standard. Ottawa, April 22.—In the Senate today, Senator David resumed the debate on Hon. Mr. Scott's motion for senate reform. He agreed with Hon. Mr. Scott that if certain desired reforms in the constitution were not made in reason, the whole senate would one day stand in danger of being swept away. Independence of mind in the senate was desired. On this point, Hon. Mr. Scott's resolution was weak. It proposed that the third of the senators had been appointed for seven years. They would desire a second term, and therefore, would have a tendency to act in a way to win the favor of the party which would be likely to control their reappointment. It proposed that two-thirds of the senate should be elected by popular vote.

Where is it Weak. In the opinion of Senator David this would result in the men who would be too much inclined to give their vote to popular clamor of the moment. In the opinion of Senator David the change in the status of senate which was most desirable, was one he had previously proposed, namely that half of the senators be appointed by the provincial governments, and the other appointed as at present. He offered an amendment to that effect.

The debate was adjourned by Senator Edwards.

Special to The Standard. Ottawa, April 22.—In the Senate today, Senator David resumed the debate on Hon. Mr. Scott's motion for senate reform. He agreed with Hon. Mr. Scott that if certain desired reforms in the constitution were not made in reason, the whole senate would one day stand in danger of being swept away. Independence of mind in the senate was desired. On this point, Hon. Mr. Scott's resolution was weak. It proposed that the third of the senators had been appointed for seven years. They would desire a second term, and therefore, would have a tendency to act in a way to win the favor of the party which would be likely to control their reappointment. It proposed that two-thirds of the senate should be elected by popular vote.

Special to The Standard. Ottawa, April 22.—In the Senate today, Senator David resumed the debate on Hon. Mr. Scott's motion for senate reform. He agreed with Hon. Mr. Scott that if certain desired reforms in the constitution were not made in reason, the whole senate would one day stand in danger of being swept away. Independence of mind in the senate was desired. On this point, Hon. Mr. Scott's resolution was weak. It proposed that the third of the senators had been appointed for seven years. They would desire a second term, and therefore, would have a tendency to act in a way to win the favor of the party which would be likely to control their reappointment. It proposed that two-thirds of the senate should be elected by popular vote.

Where is it Weak. In the opinion of Senator David this would result in the men who would be too much inclined to give their vote to popular clamor of the moment. In the opinion of Senator David the change in the status of senate which was most desirable, was one he had previously proposed, namely that half of the senators be appointed by the provincial governments, and the other appointed as at present. He offered an amendment to that effect.

The debate was adjourned by Senator Edwards.

Special to The Standard. Ottawa, April 22.—In the Senate today, Senator David resumed the debate on Hon. Mr. Scott's motion for senate reform. He agreed with Hon. Mr. Scott that if certain desired reforms in the constitution were not made in reason, the whole senate would one day stand in danger of being swept away. Independence of mind in the senate was desired. On this point, Hon. Mr. Scott's resolution was weak. It proposed that the third of the senators had been appointed for seven years. They would desire a second term, and therefore, would have a tendency to act in a way to win the favor of the party which would be likely to control their reappointment. It proposed that two-thirds of the senate should be elected by popular vote.

Where is it Weak. In the opinion of Senator David this would result in the men who would be too much inclined to give their vote to popular clamor of the moment. In the opinion of Senator David the change in the status of senate which was most desirable, was one he had previously proposed, namely that half of the senators be appointed by the provincial governments, and the other appointed as at present. He offered an amendment to that effect.

The debate was adjourned by Senator Edwards.

Special to The Standard. Ottawa, April 22.—In the Senate today, Senator David resumed the debate on Hon. Mr. Scott's motion for senate reform. He agreed with Hon. Mr. Scott that if certain desired reforms in the constitution were not made in reason, the whole senate would one day stand in danger of being swept away. Independence of mind in the senate was desired. On this point, Hon. Mr. Scott's resolution was weak. It proposed that the third of the senators had been appointed for seven years. They would desire a second term, and therefore, would have a tendency to act in a way to win the favor of the party which would be likely to control their reappointment. It proposed that two-thirds of the senate should be elected by popular vote.

Special to The Standard. Ottawa, April 22.—In the Senate today, Senator David resumed the debate on Hon. Mr. Scott's motion for senate reform. He agreed with Hon. Mr. Scott that if certain desired reforms in the constitution were not made in reason, the whole senate would one day stand in danger of being swept away. Independence of mind in the senate was desired. On this point, Hon. Mr. Scott's resolution was weak. It proposed that the third of the senators had been appointed for seven years. They would desire a second term, and therefore, would have a tendency to act in a way to win the favor of the party which would be likely to control their reappointment. It proposed that two-thirds of the senate should be elected by popular vote.

Where is it Weak. In the opinion of Senator David this would result in the men who would be too much inclined to give their vote to popular clamor of the moment. In the opinion of Senator David the change in the status of senate which was most desirable, was one he had previously proposed, namely that half of the senators be appointed by the provincial governments, and the other appointed as at present. He offered an amendment to that effect.

The debate was adjourned by Senator Edwards.

Special to The Standard. Ottawa, April 22.—In the Senate today, Senator David resumed the debate on Hon. Mr. Scott's motion for senate reform. He agreed with Hon. Mr. Scott that if certain desired reforms in the constitution were not made in reason, the whole senate would one day stand in danger of being swept away. Independence of mind in the senate was desired. On this point, Hon. Mr. Scott's resolution was weak. It proposed that the third of the senators had been appointed for seven years. They would desire a second term, and therefore, would have a tendency to act in a way to win the favor of the party which would be likely to control their reappointment. It proposed that two-thirds of the senate should be elected by popular vote.

Where is it Weak. In the opinion of Senator David this would result in the men who would be too much inclined to give their vote to popular clamor of the moment. In the opinion of Senator David the change in the status of senate which was most desirable, was one he had previously proposed, namely that half of the senators be appointed by the provincial governments, and the other appointed as at present. He offered an amendment to that effect.

The debate was adjourned by Senator Edwards.

Special to The Standard. Ottawa, April 22.—In the Senate today, Senator David resumed the debate on Hon. Mr. Scott's motion for senate reform. He agreed with Hon. Mr. Scott that if certain desired reforms in the constitution were not made in reason, the whole senate would one day stand in danger of being swept away. Independence of mind in the senate was desired. On this point, Hon. Mr. Scott's resolution was weak. It proposed that the third of the senators had been appointed for seven years. They would desire a second term, and therefore, would have a tendency to act in a way to win the favor of the party which would be likely to control their reappointment. It proposed that two-thirds of the senate should be elected by popular vote.

Special to The Standard. Ottawa, April 22.—In the Senate today, Senator David resumed the debate on Hon. Mr. Scott's motion for senate reform. He agreed with Hon. Mr. Scott that if certain desired reforms in the constitution were not made in reason, the whole senate would one day stand in danger of being swept away. Independence of mind in the senate was desired. On this point, Hon. Mr. Scott's resolution was weak. It proposed that the third of the senators had been appointed for seven years. They would desire a second term, and therefore, would have a tendency to act in a way to win the favor of the party which would be likely to control their reappointment. It proposed that two-thirds of the senate should be elected by popular vote.

Where is it Weak. In the opinion of Senator David this would result in the men who would be too much inclined to give their vote to popular clamor of the moment. In the opinion of Senator David the change in the status of senate which was most desirable, was one he had previously proposed, namely that half of the senators be appointed by the provincial governments, and the other appointed as at present. He offered an amendment to that effect.

The debate was adjourned by Senator Edwards.

Special to The Standard. Ottawa, April 22.—In the Senate today, Senator David resumed the debate on Hon. Mr. Scott's motion for senate reform. He agreed with Hon. Mr. Scott that if certain desired reforms in the constitution were not made in reason, the whole senate would one day stand in danger of being swept away. Independence of mind in the senate was desired. On this point, Hon. Mr. Scott's resolution was weak. It proposed that the third of the senators had been appointed for seven years. They would desire a second term, and therefore, would have a tendency to act in a way to win the favor of the party which would be likely to control their reappointment. It proposed that two-thirds of the senate should be elected by popular vote.

Where is it Weak. In the opinion of Senator David this would result in the men who would be too much inclined to give their vote to popular clamor of the moment. In the opinion of Senator David the change in the status of senate which was most desirable, was one he had previously proposed, namely that half of the senators be appointed by the provincial governments, and the other appointed as at present. He offered an amendment to that effect.

The debate was adjourned by Senator Edwards.

Special to The Standard. Ottawa, April 22.—In the Senate today, Senator David resumed the debate on Hon. Mr. Scott's motion for senate reform. He agreed with Hon. Mr. Scott that if certain desired reforms in the constitution were not made in reason, the whole senate would one day stand in danger of being swept away. Independence of mind in the senate was desired. On this point, Hon. Mr. Scott's resolution was weak. It proposed that the third of the senators had been appointed for seven years. They would desire a second term, and therefore, would have a tendency to act in a way to win the favor of the party which would be likely to control their reappointment. It proposed that two-thirds of the senate should be elected by popular vote.

INTERESTING NEWS OF THOSE WHO HAVE ENTERAINED US

Edmond Courtains, the Nickel's baritone, joined the staff of the Nickel, Bangor, Me., on Monday.

The Cycling Brunettes, seen here in vaudeville at the York, have just finished another season as the feature with the Murray and Mackey Repertoire Co., and are open for vaudeville, offering the greatest of all bicycle acts. Sig Wächter is handling the act.

The Nickel, Halifax, is featuring the Sillber's Operatic Duo, who do grand opera, concert numbers, etc., in a Holmes and Buchanan.

Charles H. Waldron has leased for a long term of years the well known Palace Theatre in Boston to the Automatic Vaudeville Co. of New York. About May 1, the property, which has been a burlesque house for many years, will undergo extensive alterations and be opened later by the new lessees as a vaudeville and moving picture house.

Walter Davidson, manager of a prosperous picture and vaudeville house in Moncton, was in town this week. He says his new theatre will have the finest stage equipment east of Montreal.

Keith's Theatre, Portland, Me., will close the season of vaudeville, Monday, April 19. Stock was put on, headed by Sidney Toler and Marie Parry in the leads.

Richard Golden seen here in "Old Jed Prouty" who abruptly ended his engagement in "The Dollar Princess" made his first engagement in London England, a fortnight ago, with complete success. The vehicle he chose was "A Case for Divorce."

Honolulu will soon be deluged with houses for moving pictures. The new Empire Theatre is now almost up to its first story. The San Francisco Theatre opened March 20, an open air show on the site of the old merry-go-round. The Royal Art, and Gem are running to capacity, and the Park Theatre, the open air theatre, cannot accommodate the crowds.

Holmes and Buchanan today finish their third week at Keith's Bijou Dream, Boston, using "An Evening With the Old Folks," which they originated at the Nickel here. It went big. DelVitt Cairns sang at the Bijou Theatre Dream two weeks.

Barnum and Bailey's Circus began its season before an immense and delighted audience at the Coliseum in Chicago on Thursday, April 1. The programme is full of life and interest, with an unusual amount of fun and two thrillers, a leap of two automobiles and a dive by a man from a forty foot perch down into a wooden chute and thence via an atmosphere to his feet. It is a admittedly the best Chicago has seen for many seasons.

A bad attack of influenza has kept Harry Lauder idle all last week in London. He is now back and his beautiful horse is lately bought in Scotland.

Henrietta Crossman went to New York last week submitting there a play which was dowered by the talents of the star. She brought with her all of the famous Crossman armament of charm, the face that which there is none more piquant on the American stage; the voice that runs up the ladder until it reaches the middle of the air; her eyes, which burst forth clear to the top; the crisp enunciation that permits us to lose no word nor shade of meaning; the vixen quality of delivery that makes her cutbacks of temper so convincing; the irregular border line between pathos and humor that makes us feel the tears that are close behind her laughter. Miss Crossman has played in St. John.

Louis Le Baron heard here last winter, who was one of the most prominent members of the last opera company at the Castle Square, has been engaged by John Craig to join his forces when he puts an Aramaic girl in a few weeks. He will give her the character which Marie Cahill and Paula Edwards have played here.

In the Song Writers' Contest at the American Theatre, N. Y., last week the winners of the new "Two" and "Three" competed for the final prizes. "Ene Meene Mine Joe," by Linda Bloodgood, and "How Would You Like to Be an Actor?" by Schreimer and Willis, seemed to be the favorites, although "Shame, Shame, Shame" was also a contender.

SENATE TALKS BUSY DAY IN ABOUT REFORM POLICE COURT OF THAT BODY YESTERDAY

Special to The Standard. Ottawa, April 22.—In the Senate today, Senator David resumed the debate on Hon. Mr. Scott's motion for senate reform. He agreed with Hon. Mr. Scott that if certain desired reforms in the constitution were not made in reason, the whole senate would one day stand in danger of being swept away. Independence of mind in the senate was desired. On this point, Hon. Mr. Scott's resolution was weak. It proposed that the third of the senators had been appointed for seven years. They would desire a second term, and therefore, would have a tendency to act in a way to win the favor of the party which would be likely to control their reappointment. It proposed that two-thirds of the senate should be elected by popular vote.

In the police court yesterday Bernard Barry, James Quinlan, Hugh McConnell and Joseph Murray were each fined \$4 or ten days for getting drunk.

Percy McLaughlin, of Sussex, was fined \$2 or ten days for drunkenness and \$8 or two months for using profane language about the I. C. R. de. Frederick Taylor and Michael Rowe were charged with fighting on Water street. They were remanded for further evidence.

John Connors, who was found drunk on Water street, objected to a fine of \$8 or two months. William Daley, a coachman, was charged with violating the rules for coachmen in vogue at the Union depot. Officer Smith gave evidence and the case was postponed till Saturday morning.

John Kee, the Chinaman arrested for lifting the gates at Union depot and passing through, appeared. His Honor imposed a fine of \$20 or two months and allowed it to stand. R. T. Worden appealed to speak on behalf of Michael Cosgrove, who is held for stealing three oranges from the shed at Sand Point. Mr. Worden said Cosgrove had worked for him and had proved a most honest and worthy employee.

276 tons, for Stamford, Conn., 308,463 ft. deals, etc.

A Judicious Lawyer. (The Green Bag.) Several years ago the late Sir Francis Lockwood got a prisoner off by printing an alibi. Some time afterward the judge went to him and said: "Well, Lockwood, that was a very good alibi."

"Yes, my lord," was the answer; "I had three offered me and I think I selected the best."

Exports. Per schr. 725 tons for Warham, Mass., 113,396 ft. spruce planks, etc., 300,000 shingles, 6,178 ft. pine boards. Per schr. Abbie and Eva Hooper.

Annual Meeting. The Annual Meeting of the Shareholders of The Saint John Real Estate Company was held in the office of the company, Canada Life Building, on Wednesday, May 12, at 4 p. m. LEONARD P. D. TILLEY, 23-4-14 Managing Director. Estate Chas. R. Reed. All persons having claims against the late Chas. R. Reed, of this estate, are required to file the same, duly attested, with the undersigned, on or before the 15th inst. H. H. PICKETT, Executor. Dated April 20th, 1909.

"INDIANS AGAINST WHITES" AT THE "NICKEL" Thrilling Photo-Play of Pioneer Life on Plains. "The Story of Psy