

early part of
no sweeping
ainty.

uncertainty,
er from the

pe of Rome,
together the
it was deci-
of God, and
f Jesus, and
least, unau-

icult to dis-
ion is to be
ts, as known

orth century,
er of these
oked by the
hand.* The
Cordova, in
represented
ation of the
forgeries by
y, which has
ver, did not
eir decisions,
books which
ncil of Nice,
s, expressly
preamble, in
s, this is our

e fourth cen-
stian assem-
not called or
Council of
eneral Coun-
oughout the
books given
Council of

Chalcedon, agree with that of Romanists? *It does not.* It leaves out all the Apocryphal books which the Church of Rome, in the 16th Century, thrust into the Old Testament; and agrees in every respect with the Canon of Scripture as now received by the Protestant and Greek Churches, save that the book of Revelation is omitted. This omission does not, however, imply that they regarded it as uncanonical, but only that they deemed it too mysterious to be read in the regular lessons in Christian assemblies. Of the decrees of the Council of Carthage, we need not speak, as they were not confirmed by any General Council.

No Council attempted to settle the Canon of Scripture authoritatively until some 50 bishops, assembled at Trent in the 16th Century, had the audacity to call themselves a General Council, and to essay to do so. The question of the Canon was left to settle itself, by the ordinary laws of literary and historical evidence, *without the decree* of any Council.

The entire statement, therefore, of Father Damen, in all its parts, is an impudent fabrication, unredeemed by a single element of truth.