

inces." He said, "I will look into that." He took the matter up with the Board of Grain Commissioners and informed me about a week afterwards. He said, "There is a man from the north already on that board." I said, "That is all right; it will make the committee look a little fairer." I find that the man they put on is a Reward grower and not a Garnet wheat grower at all. If you make a decision on this and decide to go back to this tribunal, you certainly cannot defend it before the country, if that tribunal or jury—because that is what it would be—were subject to challenge. Just imagine if it were subject to challenge; not one single man would be permitted to sit on it. We have the same question presented a third time with the addition of only one man. Now, Mr. Chairman, I hope I have not started a controversy. I have been accused of working with the Conservatives rather than otherwise. I have tried to work with them.

Mr. MYERS: Will you allow me: as an Easterner I am not interested in wheat at all, but I am interested in the Agriculture of the country as a general subject, so I am only going to take a moment. Evidently there is a question as to whether Garnet wheat should be included in number 2. Now, you do not want to refer the question to the Grain Standards Board.

Hon. Mr. MOTHERWELL: I certainly do not.

Mr. MYERS: Then to what body do you want to send it.

Hon. Mr. MOTHERWELL: I want the government to take the responsibility, the way they do with anything else; and I want the government to do it just the way they did with all the other standard grades.

Mr. MYERS: You mean, this committee here.

Hon. Mr. MOTHERWELL: Right.

Mr. MYERS: I suggest that this is a matter for the western wheat growers themselves, and the western wheat growers in this committee. This committee has been working on this thing for three years now, let's get busy and get it settled.

Hon. Mr. MOTHERWELL: You are perfectly right, that is the crux of the thing. The real trouble is that there are two ministers dealing with Agriculture. Here is one on this side. I happen to be with Mr. Weir again as I was two years ago; as I was on the marketing bill. You have two heads in the Department of Agriculture, that is the trouble; the one head is leaning one way, and the other is going the other way. How are the rest of us to decide.

The CHAIRMAN: Order, now; one at a time, please.

Hon. Mr. MOTHERWELL: I must go. I have to thank you. Mr. Myers has touched upon a very very important question; he says, how can a committee composed largely of eastern members deal with a western problem when we are ourselves divided on it. The government has taken the responsibility for all the other big standard grades; why should they not with this.

Mr. VALLANCE: I think, in order that those who were not in the House prior to 1930 may know something about, when we revised the Grain Act last, I might recall that we sat for weeks and weeks on it. I think there were only two Eastern members on that committee. At that time the government did assume that responsibility. I am right with you, Mr. Motherwell; this is where these classes should be settled, not by the Grain Standards Board at all.

Hon. Mr. WEIR: There is a liberal caucus, I believe, this morning; and I will promise that I will not take over five minutes to say what I have to say, because I know members are anxious to get to their meeting. The question before us is this: I believe in what Mr. Myers said, that it might be advisable to have set up a sub-committee comprised of western members.

The question before us is this: does the presence of Garnet in it discriminate against No. 2 wheat? What test is available to us by which to decide