

in their failure to give any assurance of an equitable solution of the problems of fringe benefits or any directions as to the implementation of the Freedman Report, do not provide an adequate solution of the current impasse."

And debate continuing;

Mr. Langlois (Mégantic), seconded by Mr. Gauthier, proposed to move in amendment thereto,—That all the words after "That" be struck out and the following substituted therefor:

"the government is neglecting to undertake the necessary measures so that at all times the railway employees and all Canadian citizens may have incomes according to the national productivity of this country by using the facilities of the Bank of Canada as may be necessary."

RULING BY MR. DEPUTY SPEAKER

MR. DEPUTY SPEAKER: I would like to refer the House to citation 203, subsection 1 of *Beauchesne's* fourth edition, page 171 which reads as follows: "203 (1) It is an imperative rule that every amendment must be relevant to the question on which the amendment is proposed."

This may be read as also applying to a sub-amendment, in relation to the amendment. The reference made in the sub-amendment to national productivity and the use of the Bank of Canada is not at all relevant to the amendment now before the House.

May I also refer the House to citation 202 subsection 3 at page 169 of *Beauchesne's* fourth edition. It reads as follows: "Since the purpose of a sub-amendment is to alter the amendment, it should not enlarge upon the scope of the amendment but it should deal with matters that are not covered by the amendment; if it is intended to bring up matters foreign to the amendment, the member should wait until the amendment is disposed of and move a new amendment."

This is so provided, of course, that it is relevant to the bill.

I suggest to the honourable Member for Mégantic (Mr. Langlois) that the sub-amendment which he moved is not relevant to the bill and brings out matters which are not included in the amendment now before the House. I therefore declare the sub-amendment out of order.

Debate was resumed on the motion of Mr. Pearson, seconded by Mr. Nicholson,—That Bill C-230, An Act to provide for the resumption of operations of railways and for the settlement of the existing dispute with respect to terms and conditions of employment between Railway Companies and their employees, be now read a second time.

And on the motion of Mr. Diefenbaker, seconded by Mr. Starr, in amendment thereto,—That all the words after "That" be struck out and the following substituted therefor:

"this House declines to proceed with the second reading of a Bill, the provisions of which, in their wide departure from the terms of the report of Mr. Justice Munroe, Chairman of the Conciliation Board, and in their failure to give any assurance of an equitable solution of the problems of fringe benefits or any directions as to the implementation of the Freedman Report, do not provide an adequate solution of the current impasse."