

and Kinrade (1909), 18 O.L.R. 363. While the coroner is limited to his own municipality in holding the inquest, the process of his court is intended by sec. 35 to run throughout the Province.

No objection was taken to the style of this proceeding, though the application is apparently made in the criminal cause now pending against Barnes.

*Motion dismissed with costs.*

---

SCOTT V. GARDNER—MIDDLETON, J.—FEB. 3.

*Partnership—Dissolution—Accounting—Master's Report—Judgment on Further Directions—Interest—Costs—Absence of Special Circumstances.*]—Motion by the plaintiff for judgment on further directions in a partnership action. The motion was heard in the Weekly Court, Toronto. MIDDLETON, J., in a written judgment, said that judgment should be entered in favour of the creditor-partner against the debtor-partners for the amount found due by the Master's report (as varied on appeal) and interest at 5 per cent. from the date of dissolution; and there should be no order as to costs. No circumstances were shewn by the report which would justify any departure from the ordinary rule in partnership cases; and nothing was disclosed upon the appeal from the report which would justify any special order. The claim for interest at 12 per cent. upon a sum advanced failed because that advance lost its individuality when absorbed in the general accounting; and, after that, the only claim was for the balance found due by the Master on such accounting. A. H. Foster, for the plaintiff. Peter White, K.C., for the defendants.