

The Catholic Weekly Review.

Vol. IV

Toronto, Saturday, Jan. 24, 1891.

No. 51

CONTENTS.

NOTES.....	795
DANGEROUS LITERATURE..... M. F. Egan	796
OUR CHRISTIAN CIVILIZATION..... Archbishop Ryan	796
THE PORT BROWNING..... Bro. Azaruz	797
THE CHRISTIAN FAMILY.....	798
EDITORIAL—	
The English Speaking Cardinals.....	800
Mr. Gladstone and Cardinal Manning.....	800
The Capuchins.....	800
Catholics and the Liquor Trade.....	800
Morality by Act of Parliament.....	801
The Balfour Relief Fund.....	801
The Church and the French Republic.....	801
Mr. Gladstone and Parnell.....	802
STORY—The Organist's Vigil.....	802
BOOK REVIEWS.....	799
MEN AND THINGS.....	803
GENERAL CATHOLIC NEWS.....	804
C. M. B. A. NEWS.....	805

Notes.

PUBLISHERS' NOTICE.

THE REVIEW, within recent weeks, has sent out to all subscribers in arrears their accounts for subscription. It is requested that these reminders be promptly responded to. By discharging their indebtedness over-due subscribers will not only greatly facilitate the Review in the management of its business, but will reap the benefit themselves in the enlargement and improvement of the paper which the prompt payment of these sums—small in the individual case, but amounting to thousands in the aggregate—would enable us to undertake.

THE Cardinal Newman Memorial Committee have resolved to drop the third object of the fund—a scholarship for the study of Newman's works—and substitute a fund for a Memorial Church at Birmingham Oratory.

THE report of an outrage on the Most Rev. Dr. Healy, Coadjutor-Bishop of Clonfert, has caused much indignation amongst Catholics in Ireland. It appears that his Lordship preached against secret societies in the parish of Mullagh, and that whilst he was sleeping in the parish priest's house that night a shot was fired into the dining-room. A picture was injured, but no other damage done.

THE Very Rev. Canon O'Mahony, Administrator of the Cork Cathedral, addressing a meeting in Cork a few days ago, said there was something which was known to the Irish Parliamentary party, but which was not yet made public, that was a greater reason than anything that had yet come to light why Mr. Parnell should be deposed from the leadership of the Irish party. It would, he said, horrify the public more than the proceedings in the Divorce Court, and if the Parnell propaganda continued he would take upon himself to make the matter public.

THE Canon, who by the way was Mr. Parnell's proposer when he first stood for Parliament, but who is now strongly resisting his attempts at dictatorship, in a letter to a liberal candidate further says that the delirium which had seized a large portion of the Irish people is cooling down. Mr. Parnell's trust, the Canon says, was in mobs. Some of his supporters were honest, but brainless enthusiasts, Tories, publicans, and physical force men. His main hope is that the arrogance and insolence of Mr. Parnell, whom he describes as a "despot"

may not permanently alienate the good will of the English Liberals.

ABSDURD stories about the Holy Father have been more than commonly abundant of late. One day the Queen Regent of Spain is represented as sending the Pope a walking stick from the pommel of which, on his leaning upon it, a shower of gold fell. The Queen of Spain, it is very likely, is far too well-bred a woman to treat the Pope like a school boy to be "tipped," and the story might have been left to the sensational Paris paper which originated it and not telegraphed gravely to the four corners of creation.

WE referred in a previous issue to Professor Huxley's cynical description of Cardinal Manning's dictum that every man has a right in this world to bread or to work, as "a very mischievous error." In regard to this the Cardinal said, some days ago in reply to an interviewer, that he had not the patience to read Professor Huxley's letters to the *Times*, in face of the actual starvation and misery under his eyes. Professor Huxley, who thinks it mischievous that a man should have a right, in a rich community, to either work or food, has no reluctance, however, to accept a yearly State pension for himself—for what services has never yet been discovered.

IMMORAL is a mild word by which to describe the course pursued by the out and out Parnellite papers—of which, however, there are now but two or three in all Ireland—in their endeavours to re-invest the "uncrowned King" with the sceptre of leadership. Their persuasions and arguments can only be described as debasing, and are throughout of a sort to secure public condonation or extenuation of Mr. Parnell's intrigues and delinquencies, by undermining the strong regard in which the Irish people have always held social purity, and by making them to think lightly and pleasantly of moral offences which hitherto they had held in detestation.

THE *Irish Catholic* of Dublin does well to point out that pictorial as well as literary garbage is now being pushed before the eyes of the people in the interest of the Parnell leadership. "Last week," it says, "*United Ireland*—the edition brought out by Mr. Parnell's pirates—gave to its readers a cartoon which no right-minded man or woman could regard otherwise than as a scandalous representation. It exhibits the convicted co-respondent as a white and stainless figure, standing on a pedestal, smiling serenely, while some wicked pressmen are making vain endeavours to soil him with the dirty stuff of calumny. Such a representation of such a man, under all the circumstances of the present time, is really a greater outrage on the feelings of decent people than any of the music-hall posters against which so vigorous a protest was raised not long ago. It is a cruel and a pitiable thing that such shameful work should be carried on, in the desecrated name of patriotism, amongst our pure-minded and honest people. It constitutes a peril to the interests of our nation and the honour of our race." We do not know to what extent this paper now circulates in Canada; but a few years ago, and in some circles, we believe it was read somewhat widely. Such a paper as it has since become should not get inside the door of any Christian home.