lative authority.

of communi-cating a legis-kind can be communicated by any other instrument than letters patent under your Majesty's great seal of Great Britain, publicly read and notified to the people, to the end that the acts done by virtue of them may have a just claim to their obedience: for otherwise they may alledge that they are faithful and loval subjects to your Majesty, and ready to pay obedience to every thing that your Majesty's self shall ordain, and likewise to every thing that shall be ordained by your Majesty's governour by virtue of powers properly communicated to him by your Majesty; that consequently they will obey him in every thing he shall do by virtue of the powers conveyed to him in your Majesty's commission which has been publicly read to them: but that in the things not warranted by the said commission, but said to be done in pursuance of certain private instructions that have not been made known to them, and which they are therefore uncertain whether he has received or not, they cannot presume that he acts by your Majesty's authority, and therefore are not bound to obey him. For this reason we humbly apprehend, that the private instruction before-mentioned cannot have legally conveyed to your Majesty's governour and council the legislative authority mentioned in it, small and narrow as it is.

The legislative authority mentioned in this too small to warrant the of the laws of England.

But secondly, if a private instruction should be deemed to be a legal method of communicating a legislative authority. instruction is yet the power conveyed to the governour and council of this province by the instruction above-mentioned is much too introduction confined an authority to warrant the general introduction of the English laws; particularly of the criminal laws, which all affect either life, or limb, or liberty; and the process of arrests of the body in civil suits for debt and trespass; and the power of committing persons to prison for contempts of court committed in the presence of your Majesty's judges; and that of granting attachments of the body for disobedience or resistance to the orders of your Majesty's superiour courts of judicature, when such acts of disobedience or resistance are committed out of court; which all immediately affect the personal liberty of of your Majesty's subjects in this province.

> These are the reasons upon which, we conceive, the legality of the introduction of the laws of England into this province by the provincial ordinances above-mentioned may be called in question.

> But these reasons have no relation to the other high instruments of government by which these laws may be supposed to have been introduced here, namely, the articles of capitulation in 1760, the 4th article of the definitive treaty of peace, and your