

*Order Paper Questions*

## NUMBER OF PERSONS UNDER CONTRACT TO TAX REVIEW BOARD

Question No. 242—**Mr. McKenzie:**

1. What is the total number of persons under contract to the Tax Review Board and how many are retired public servants?
2. What was the total amount in (a) 1975 (b) 1976 paid to those under contract and how much of this was to retired public servants?

**Mr. Roger Young (Parliamentary Secretary to Minister of Justice):** In so far as the Tax Review Board is concerned: 1. Nil.

2. 1974-75, Nil. 1975-76, Nil.

## COURT MARTIAL APPEAL BOARD—PERSONNEL OBTAINED FROM PRIVATE EMPLOYMENT AGENCIES

Question No. 299—**Mr. McKenzie:**

1. Has the Court Martial Appeal Board of Canada obtained (a) part-time (b) full-time employees from private employment agencies in (i) British Columbia (ii) Alberta (iii) Saskatchewan (iv) Manitoba (v) Ontario (vi) Quebec (vii) New Brunswick (viii) Nova Scotia (ix) Prince Edward Island (x) Newfoundland and, if so, in each case, how many?
2. Are such employees paid from supplementary estimates and, if not, from what estimates are they paid?
3. By province, what was the (a) average hourly rate paid to employment agencies (b) average hourly rate paid to employees (c) total amount paid by the Court Martial Appeal Board of Canada in (i) 1975 (ii) 1976?

**Mr. Roger Young (Parliamentary Secretary to Minister of Justice):** 1. (a) Nil. (b) Nil.

2. Not applicable.
3. (a) Not applicable. (b) Not applicable. (c) Not applicable.

NOTE: Pursuant to an amendment to section 190 of the National Defence Act, S.C. 1959, c .5, assented to March 20, 1959, the Court Martial Appeal Court was established succeeding the Court Martial Appeal Board. Since its inception, no part-time or full-time employees from private employment agencies have been contracted to provide services to the Court Martial Appeal Court.

## NUMBER OF PERSONS UNDER CONTRACT TO IMMIGRATION APPEAL BOARD

Question No. 400—**Mr. McKenzie:**

1. What is the total number of persons under contract to the Immigration Appeal Board and how many are retired public servants?
2. What was the total amount in (a) 1975 (b) 1976 paid to those under contract and how much of this was to retired public servants?

**Hon. Bud Cullen (Minister of Manpower and Immigration):** 1. Nil

2. (a) 1975, \$602.75. None of this money went to retired public servants. (b) 1976, nil.

[Mr. Young.]

## POST OFFICE DEPARTMENT EXPENDITURES IN CONSTITUENCY OF NEW WESTMINSTER

Question No. 444—**Mr. Leggatt:**

In each fiscal year since 1970, what amount was spent in the Constituency of New Westminster by the Post Office Department and those agencies that report to it?

**Hon. J.-J. Blais (Postmaster General):** The information requested is not readily available; our expenditure report systems are not designed to provide the information by constituencies but rather on a postal district basis for management purposes.

## DEPARTMENT OF VETERANS AFFAIRS—EXPENDITURES IN CONSTITUENCY OF NEW WESTMINSTER

Question No. 454—**Mr. Leggatt:**

In each fiscal year since 1970, what amount was spent in the Constituency of New Westminster by the Department of Veterans Affairs and those agencies that report to it?

**Hon. Daniel J. MacDonald (Minister of Veterans Affairs):** Departmental accounting systems are not designed to provide this information by constituency.

**Mr. Ed. Lumley (Parliamentary Secretary to Minister of Finance):** I ask, Mr. Speaker, that the remaining questions be allowed to stand.

\* \* \*

[English]

## BUSINESS OF THE HOUSE

## PROPOSED LIMITATION ON COMMITTEE STAGE OF BILL C-11

**Hon. Jean Chrétien (Minister of Finance)** moved:

That in relation to Bill C-11, an Act to amend the statute law relating to income tax and to provide other authority for the raising of funds, three sitting days shall be allotted to the further consideration in committee of the whole stage of the said bill;

That, on the third of the said days, at 15 minutes before the expiry of the time provided for government business in such sitting, any proceeding before the committee shall be interrupted, if required, for the purpose of this order and, in turn, every question then necessary in order to dispose of the committee of the whole stage to the said bill, shall be put forthwith and successively, without further debate or amendment.

**Mr. Knowles (Winnipeg North Centre):** Mr. Speaker, before we proceed to debate this motion, I wonder if it could be made clear as to what it means with respect to time. Since the motion refers to three further sitting days, that sounds as though it means Tuesday, Wednesday and Friday. I draw attention, in particular, to the reference to the vote taking place at 15 minutes before the end of government business on the third day. In other words, is the proposal that any votes at the end of the committee of the whole stage take place at a quarter to four on Friday?

**Mr. Chrétien:** Mr. Speaker, depending on the length of the debate we are now proceeding with, if we have two or three hours today that could be considered the first day and the vote