

The Toronto World

A Morning Newspaper published every day in the year.

Telephone-private exchange connecting all departments—Main 222.

SUBSCRIPTION-RATES IN ADVANCE. One year, Sunday included, \$5.00. Six months, Sunday included, \$3.00. Three months, Sunday included, \$1.50. One month, Sunday included, \$0.50. One year, without Sunday, \$4.00. Six months, without Sunday, \$2.50. Three months, without Sunday, \$1.25. One month, without Sunday, \$0.40.

These rates include postage all over Canada, United States and Great Britain. They also include free delivery in any part of Toronto or suburbs. Local agents in almost every town and village of Ontario will include free delivery at the above rates.

Special terms to agents and wholesale rates to newsdealers on application. Address: THE TORONTO WORLD, Toronto, Canada.

HAMILTON OFFICE—Royal Hotel, North James and Merrick streets. Telephone 965. Walter Harvey, Agent.

LONDON (ENG.) OFFICE OF THE TORONTO WORLD—8 Hart Street, Oxford Street, W.C. London, England.

Joseph F. Clougher, representative. Advertisements in this newspaper are also received through any responsible advertising agency in the United States, etc.

The World can be had obtained at the following News Stands:

CHICAGO, ILL.—P.O. News Co., 217 Dearborn street.

DETROIT, MICH.—Wolverine News Co. and all news stands.

HALIFAX—Halifax Hotel news stand.

LOS ANGELES, CAL.—Amos news stand.

MONTREAL—Vander Hotel and all news stands.

NEW YORK—St. Denis Hotel.

OTTAWA—Despatch and Agency Co.; all hotels and news stands.

QUEBEC—Quebec News Co.

ST. JOHN, N.B.—Raymond & Doherty.

WINNIPEG—T. Eaton Co.; T. A. McIntosh; John McDonald; Hotel Empire news stand.

All railway news stands and trains.

A welcome visitor when on your vacation is a copy of the Daily and Sunday World. Mailed to any address in Canada, United States or Great Britain for ten cents a week.

Orders taken by all newsdealers and postmasters or may be left at The World, 55 Yonge St., Toronto.

ENTERPRISE COMMENTED.

The Toronto Globe shows commendable newspaper enterprise in sending Mr. Acland to Winnipeg as its western editorial representative.

This newspaper, in many respects, possesses that which in time should make it a national daily. The spirit that prompts it to send Mr. Acland westward, will, if carefully nurtured and intelligently guided, place it in a class by itself—a class few publications can break into without equally strong financial means. But the Toronto Globe, with the federal government behind it, with a political party liberal in its proposed principles and liberal with its money to its organ, and with a progressive directorate having at its call the resources of many corporations, should go far as a business organization. We may continue to differ with it on matters of public policy, and with its directors, but no one will say that it is not a great newspaper.

The Globe has made a wise choice in Mr. Acland. He is a cultured gentleman, whose views will ever be those of a man of refinement and discernment. He goes west fully equipped for his important task, and with the confident knowledge of his friends that he will do no dishonor to the paper with which he is connected, but much credit to the profession of letters.

The Toronto World salutes The Toronto Globe and Mr. Acland and wishes both adequate success.

IN THE PUBLIC INTEREST.

The delay in promptly getting accurate information of the wreck at Axilda, Sudbury, before an anxious public emphasizes the immediate need of appointing a government inspecting officer, whose first duty it should be to be on the spot as soon as possible after the railway accident. Wherever a railway accident occurs on a Canadian railway, the first body notified should be the railway commission, and its first duty, in turn, should be to hasten an inspecting officer to the scene. The railway companies, and especially those with telegraphic attachments, should be brought up with a sharp turn to appreciate the fact that the death of nearly a score of passengers is a matter requiring the widest and speediest publication in the interest of the public. This information should be gathered with speed and with speed despatched to the railway commission, and at the same time to the newspapers of Canada. This is a fair size-up of public opinion in this matter.

WATFORD'S WORDSWORTH.

Watford, the prettiest village of the Western Ontario plains, dominates a spot, not unknown to local fame, but until now unscanned by metropolitan eyes. His name, in the language of the good folk of his particular balliwick, is Dad Williams, but, with a winning modesty, he merges his rugged personality in the Co. part of Harris & Co., the publishers of that compendium of household rural knowledge, yclept Watford Guide-Advocate.

Dad Williams is a remote Gadsby, or Billie Glynn, or the Khan. Like his city prototypes, he writes things now and again that won't scan, but they, nevertheless, see the light of printer's ink. Take, for example, the following, dedicated to "Pippins," much sought after in Watford:

He sat down be-cider
As they went to press.
She slipped on the peellings
And the evaporator.

Purely bucolic, and somewhat strain-

ed, but bearing the marks of native talent untutored. The pun on the last word is atrocious, as all puns should be, but it fetches the public.

Then, what a picture of domestic safety is brought to mind by

"True to the core,"
The maiden said,
And swung the peeling
Around her head.
It hit and turned the letter Q.
He called next day,
But 'twas skidoo.

Disfigured by a taint of slang in the concluding foot, but otherwise a rural gem. The word "skidoo" is an Americanism, and is accounted for by the fact that Dad once wrote copy for the Brooklyn Eagle.

But Watford's Wordsworth rises to his true dignity when he treats of the Country Fair. Here he is at home, in fact is secretary-treasurer of his own show. You fairly see the variegated scene as you read:

Yards of tidles and miles of lace,
Horses that can trot and some that
Mangles as long as a giraffe's neck,
Beets by the bushel and onions by the
peck,
Apples rosy and peaches rare,
For beauty and flavor beyond compare;
Jams and jellies to suit all tastes,
Pretty girls in new shirt waists,
Farmers' boys with beribboned whips,
And saucy fakers that give you lip.

It is all there, and just to read it makes you homesick.

But for the once, enough of Poet Dad, as fine a man as ever walked, in spite of his lack of verse-making.

FOREIGN COLONIAL EXPERIMENTS

Before the United States and Germany developed an overseas earth hunger no more severe critics of British colonial methods could be found than the armchair experts of these countries. It is easy to theorize where there is no personal experience and the practice is common enough in everyday life with regard to matters less likely to cause international antagonism. But a different note is sounded when these same individuals are set face to face with the practical difficulties of a similar problem that dismissed with all the dogmatism of ignorance. Then they begin to realize something of the apprehensiveness that which British administrators have passed, and how the teaching of actual contact with races and peoples of different or inferior type has hardened into a service tradition.

Germany and the United States represented broadly the opposite poles of colonial criticism, and their first experiments in the administration of subject possessions are more than likely to fall because of differing reasons the policy which dictated them, however self-evident to its originators, ignored local conditions. The autocratic German, trained in a rigid official school, believed that the black tribes over whom he was placed, needed nothing but repressive rule—the liberty-loving republican felt equally assured that the gift of a free constitution would in some magical manner endow the Cubans and the Filipinos with the inherited qualities of generations of self-government. Both are finding out their mistake, and are learning to appreciate better the troubles and trials which afflict nations who assume part of the white man's burden. The United States especially is now confronted with the dilemma so many times presented to Britain, either to accept a heavier and more immediate responsibility or to leave the land it undertook to relieve a prey to hastening and graver ills.

There have recently appeared several severe indictments of British rule in India, mostly penned by American critics and conspicuous rather by assurance than amplitude of knowledge. In the administration of a dependency so vast it would be more than surprising were no occasion for animadversion to be found. No doubt in the history of Britain in India there is much to be regretted, and much to be deplored, but no impartial student can doubt that the benefits attending British rule since the passing of John Company have overwhelmingly outweighed its evils. This, too, has to be said on the part of Britain there has been a continuously quickened sense of the nature of the responsibility which has been assumed, and a growing determination to make the best interests of the native races the paramount consideration. While this sentiment prevails there will be no disposition to believe that the welfare of India would be served by the striking of the Union Jack.

PUBLIC AND PRIVATE OWNERSHIP

Public ownership and operation of franchise monopolies is not an end in itself, but means to an end. The arguments which justify its adoption are sound and reasonable in themselves, and are fortified by the experience as well of municipalities where it prevails as of municipalities whose public services have been allowed to pass into private hands. On this continent it is hardly necessary to set forth in detail the unsatisfactory nature of the conditions attending the operation of such services by private corporations. There is not a city or a town in the United States or Canada in that situation which is not engaged in an unending conflict with the holders of its franchises, or which has not suffered incalculable financial loss from stock manipulation and extortionate rates and charges. Public franchises, too, from their actual and potential value, have been largely sought, and the huge profits they afford have led to the direct and indirect bribing of legislatures, city

Grist for the Mill at Osgoode Hall

Divisional Court Appeals.

Lits of appeals entered for divisional court sittings, commencing Monday, Sept. 17, 1906:

Sept. 17, 1906: 1. O'Sullivan v. Donohoe; 2. Evans v. Standard Art; 3. Evenden v. Standard Art (cross appeal); 4. Lucas v. Pettit; 5. Gamble v. Empire L. Co.; 6. Gamble v. Empire L. Co.; 7. McGaw v. Dominion D. & C. S.; 8. Moon v. Mather; 9. Moir v. C.P.R.; 10. Gillard v. McKinnon; 11. Wilkinson v. Toronto Railway; 12. Fringle v. Morrisburg; 13. Avery v. Fortune; 14. Smith v. Smith; 15. Grant v. Carter; 16. Simpson v. Wright (cross appeal); 17. Cohen; 18. Cobb v. Belleville P. C. Co.; 19. Smith v. McIntosh; 20. Burtch v. C. P. R.; 21. Fleuty v. Or.

Sept. 18, 1906: 1. O'Sullivan v. Donohoe; 2. Evans v. Standard Art; 3. Evenden v. Standard Art (cross appeal); 4. Lucas v. Pettit; 5. Gamble v. Empire L. Co.; 6. Gamble v. Empire L. Co.; 7. McGaw v. Dominion D. & C. S.; 8. Moon v. Mather; 9. Moir v. C.P.R.; 10. Gillard v. McKinnon; 11. Wilkinson v. Toronto Railway; 12. Fringle v. Morrisburg; 13. Avery v. Fortune; 14. Smith v. Smith; 15. Grant v. Carter; 16. Simpson v. Wright (cross appeal); 17. Cohen; 18. Cobb v. Belleville P. C. Co.; 19. Smith v. McIntosh; 20. Burtch v. C. P. R.; 21. Fleuty v. Or.

Sept. 19, 1906: 1. O'Sullivan v. Donohoe; 2. Evans v. Standard Art; 3. Evenden v. Standard Art (cross appeal); 4. Lucas v. Pettit; 5. Gamble v. Empire L. Co.; 6. Gamble v. Empire L. Co.; 7. McGaw v. Dominion D. & C. S.; 8. Moon v. Mather; 9. Moir v. C.P.R.; 10. Gillard v. McKinnon; 11. Wilkinson v. Toronto Railway; 12. Fringle v. Morrisburg; 13. Avery v. Fortune; 14. Smith v. Smith; 15. Grant v. Carter; 16. Simpson v. Wright (cross appeal); 17. Cohen; 18. Cobb v. Belleville P. C. Co.; 19. Smith v. McIntosh; 20. Burtch v. C. P. R.; 21. Fleuty v. Or.

Sept. 20, 1906: 1. O'Sullivan v. Donohoe; 2. Evans v. Standard Art; 3. Evenden v. Standard Art (cross appeal); 4. Lucas v. Pettit; 5. Gamble v. Empire L. Co.; 6. Gamble v. Empire L. Co.; 7. McGaw v. Dominion D. & C. S.; 8. Moon v. Mather; 9. Moir v. C.P.R.; 10. Gillard v. McKinnon; 11. Wilkinson v. Toronto Railway; 12. Fringle v. Morrisburg; 13. Avery v. Fortune; 14. Smith v. Smith; 15. Grant v. Carter; 16. Simpson v. Wright (cross appeal); 17. Cohen; 18. Cobb v. Belleville P. C. Co.; 19. Smith v. McIntosh; 20. Burtch v. C. P. R.; 21. Fleuty v. Or.

Sept. 21, 1906: 1. O'Sullivan v. Donohoe; 2. Evans v. Standard Art; 3. Evenden v. Standard Art (cross appeal); 4. Lucas v. Pettit; 5. Gamble v. Empire L. Co.; 6. Gamble v. Empire L. Co.; 7. McGaw v. Dominion D. & C. S.; 8. Moon v. Mather; 9. Moir v. C.P.R.; 10. Gillard v. McKinnon; 11. Wilkinson v. Toronto Railway; 12. Fringle v. Morrisburg; 13. Avery v. Fortune; 14. Smith v. Smith; 15. Grant v. Carter; 16. Simpson v. Wright (cross appeal); 17. Cohen; 18. Cobb v. Belleville P. C. Co.; 19. Smith v. McIntosh; 20. Burtch v. C. P. R.; 21. Fleuty v. Or.

Sept. 22, 1906: 1. O'Sullivan v. Donohoe; 2. Evans v. Standard Art; 3. Evenden v. Standard Art (cross appeal); 4. Lucas v. Pettit; 5. Gamble v. Empire L. Co.; 6. Gamble v. Empire L. Co.; 7. McGaw v. Dominion D. & C. S.; 8. Moon v. Mather; 9. Moir v. C.P.R.; 10. Gillard v. McKinnon; 11. Wilkinson v. Toronto Railway; 12. Fringle v. Morrisburg; 13. Avery v. Fortune; 14. Smith v. Smith; 15. Grant v. Carter; 16. Simpson v. Wright (cross appeal); 17. Cohen; 18. Cobb v. Belleville P. C. Co.; 19. Smith v. McIntosh; 20. Burtch v. C. P. R.; 21. Fleuty v. Or.

Sept. 23, 1906: 1. O'Sullivan v. Donohoe; 2. Evans v. Standard Art; 3. Evenden v. Standard Art (cross appeal); 4. Lucas v. Pettit; 5. Gamble v. Empire L. Co.; 6. Gamble v. Empire L. Co.; 7. McGaw v. Dominion D. & C. S.; 8. Moon v. Mather; 9. Moir v. C.P.R.; 10. Gillard v. McKinnon; 11. Wilkinson v. Toronto Railway; 12. Fringle v. Morrisburg; 13. Avery v. Fortune; 14. Smith v. Smith; 15. Grant v. Carter; 16. Simpson v. Wright (cross appeal); 17. Cohen; 18. Cobb v. Belleville P. C. Co.; 19. Smith v. McIntosh; 20. Burtch v. C. P. R.; 21. Fleuty v. Or.

Sept. 24, 1906: 1. O'Sullivan v. Donohoe; 2. Evans v. Standard Art; 3. Evenden v. Standard Art (cross appeal); 4. Lucas v. Pettit; 5. Gamble v. Empire L. Co.; 6. Gamble v. Empire L. Co.; 7. McGaw v. Dominion D. & C. S.; 8. Moon v. Mather; 9. Moir v. C.P.R.; 10. Gillard v. McKinnon; 11. Wilkinson v. Toronto Railway; 12. Fringle v. Morrisburg; 13. Avery v. Fortune; 14. Smith v. Smith; 15. Grant v. Carter; 16. Simpson v. Wright (cross appeal); 17. Cohen; 18. Cobb v. Belleville P. C. Co.; 19. Smith v. McIntosh; 20. Burtch v. C. P. R.; 21. Fleuty v. Or.

Sept. 25, 1906: 1. O'Sullivan v. Donohoe; 2. Evans v. Standard Art; 3. Evenden v. Standard Art (cross appeal); 4. Lucas v. Pettit; 5. Gamble v. Empire L. Co.; 6. Gamble v. Empire L. Co.; 7. McGaw v. Dominion D. & C. S.; 8. Moon v. Mather; 9. Moir v. C.P.R.; 10. Gillard v. McKinnon; 11. Wilkinson v. Toronto Railway; 12. Fringle v. Morrisburg; 13. Avery v. Fortune; 14. Smith v. Smith; 15. Grant v. Carter; 16. Simpson v. Wright (cross appeal); 17. Cohen; 18. Cobb v. Belleville P. C. Co.; 19. Smith v. McIntosh; 20. Burtch v. C. P. R.; 21. Fleuty v. Or.

Sept. 26, 1906: 1. O'Sullivan v. Donohoe; 2. Evans v. Standard Art; 3. Evenden v. Standard Art (cross appeal); 4. Lucas v. Pettit; 5. Gamble v. Empire L. Co.; 6. Gamble v. Empire L. Co.; 7. McGaw v. Dominion D. & C. S.; 8. Moon v. Mather; 9. Moir v. C.P.R.; 10. Gillard v. McKinnon; 11. Wilkinson v. Toronto Railway; 12. Fringle v. Morrisburg; 13. Avery v. Fortune; 14. Smith v. Smith; 15. Grant v. Carter; 16. Simpson v. Wright (cross appeal); 17. Cohen; 18. Cobb v. Belleville P. C. Co.; 19. Smith v. McIntosh; 20. Burtch v. C. P. R.; 21. Fleuty v. Or.

Sept. 27, 1906: 1. O'Sullivan v. Donohoe; 2. Evans v. Standard Art; 3. Evenden v. Standard Art (cross appeal); 4. Lucas v. Pettit; 5. Gamble v. Empire L. Co.; 6. Gamble v. Empire L. Co.; 7. McGaw v. Dominion D. & C. S.; 8. Moon v. Mather; 9. Moir v. C.P.R.; 10. Gillard v. McKinnon; 11. Wilkinson v. Toronto Railway; 12. Fringle v. Morrisburg; 13. Avery v. Fortune; 14. Smith v. Smith; 15. Grant v. Carter; 16. Simpson v. Wright (cross appeal); 17. Cohen; 18. Cobb v. Belleville P. C. Co.; 19. Smith v. McIntosh; 20. Burtch v. C. P. R.; 21. Fleuty v. Or.

Sept. 28, 1906: 1. O'Sullivan v. Donohoe; 2. Evans v. Standard Art; 3. Evenden v. Standard Art (cross appeal); 4. Lucas v. Pettit; 5. Gamble v. Empire L. Co.; 6. Gamble v. Empire L. Co.; 7. McGaw v. Dominion D. & C. S.; 8. Moon v. Mather; 9. Moir v. C.P.R.; 10. Gillard v. McKinnon; 11. Wilkinson v. Toronto Railway; 12. Fringle v. Morrisburg; 13. Avery v. Fortune; 14. Smith v. Smith; 15. Grant v. Carter; 16. Simpson v. Wright (cross appeal); 17. Cohen; 18. Cobb v. Belleville P. C. Co.; 19. Smith v. McIntosh; 20. Burtch v. C. P. R.; 21. Fleuty v. Or.

Sept. 29, 1906: 1. O'Sullivan v. Donohoe; 2. Evans v. Standard Art; 3. Evenden v. Standard Art (cross appeal); 4. Lucas v. Pettit; 5. Gamble v. Empire L. Co.; 6. Gamble v. Empire L. Co.; 7. McGaw v. Dominion D. & C. S.; 8. Moon v. Mather; 9. Moir v. C.P.R.; 10. Gillard v. McKinnon; 11. Wilkinson v. Toronto Railway; 12. Fringle v. Morrisburg; 13. Avery v. Fortune; 14. Smith v. Smith; 15. Grant v. Carter; 16. Simpson v. Wright (cross appeal); 17. Cohen; 18. Cobb v. Belleville P. C. Co.; 19. Smith v. McIntosh; 20. Burtch v. C. P. R.; 21. Fleuty v. Or.

Sept. 30, 1906: 1. O'Sullivan v. Donohoe; 2. Evans v. Standard Art; 3. Evenden v. Standard Art (cross appeal); 4. Lucas v. Pettit; 5. Gamble v. Empire L. Co.; 6. Gamble v. Empire L. Co.; 7. McGaw v. Dominion D. & C. S.; 8. Moon v. Mather; 9. Moir v. C.P.R.; 10. Gillard v. McKinnon; 11. Wilkinson v. Toronto Railway; 12. Fringle v. Morrisburg; 13. Avery v. Fortune; 14. Smith v. Smith; 15. Grant v. Carter; 16. Simpson v. Wright (cross appeal); 17. Cohen; 18. Cobb v. Belleville P. C. Co.; 19. Smith v. McIntosh; 20. Burtch v. C. P. R.; 21. Fleuty v. Or.

Sept. 31, 1906: 1. O'Sullivan v. Donohoe; 2. Evans v. Standard Art; 3. Evenden v. Standard Art (cross appeal); 4. Lucas v. Pettit; 5. Gamble v. Empire L. Co.; 6. Gamble v. Empire L. Co.; 7. McGaw v. Dominion D. & C. S.; 8. Moon v. Mather; 9. Moir v. C.P.R.; 10. Gillard v. McKinnon; 11. Wilkinson v. Toronto Railway; 12. Fringle v. Morrisburg; 13. Avery v. Fortune; 14. Smith v. Smith; 15. Grant v. Carter; 16. Simpson v. Wright (cross appeal); 17. Cohen; 18. Cobb v. Belleville P. C. Co.; 19. Smith v. McIntosh; 20. Burtch v. C. P. R.; 21. Fleuty v. Or.

Sept. 32, 1906: 1. O'Sullivan v. Donohoe; 2. Evans v. Standard Art; 3. Evenden v. Standard Art (cross appeal); 4. Lucas v. Pettit; 5. Gamble v. Empire L. Co.; 6. Gamble v. Empire L. Co.; 7. McGaw v. Dominion D. & C. S.; 8. Moon v. Mather; 9. Moir v. C.P.R.; 10. Gillard v. McKinnon; 11. Wilkinson v. Toronto Railway; 12. Fringle v. Morrisburg; 13. Avery v. Fortune; 14. Smith v. Smith; 15. Grant v. Carter; 16. Simpson v. Wright (cross appeal); 17. Cohen; 18. Cobb v. Belleville P. C. Co.; 19. Smith v. McIntosh; 20. Burtch v. C. P. R.; 21. Fleuty v. Or.

Sept. 33, 1906: 1. O'Sullivan v. Donohoe; 2. Evans v. Standard Art; 3. Evenden v. Standard Art (cross appeal); 4. Lucas v. Pettit; 5. Gamble v. Empire L. Co.; 6. Gamble v. Empire L. Co.; 7. McGaw v. Dominion D. & C. S.; 8. Moon v. Mather; 9. Moir v. C.P.R.; 10. Gillard v. McKinnon; 11. Wilkinson v. Toronto Railway; 12. Fringle v. Morrisburg; 13. Avery v. Fortune; 14. Smith v. Smith; 15. Grant v. Carter; 16. Simpson v. Wright (cross appeal); 17. Cohen; 18. Cobb v. Belleville P. C. Co.; 19. Smith v. McIntosh; 20. Burtch v. C. P. R.; 21. Fleuty v. Or.

Sept. 34, 1906: 1. O'Sullivan v. Donohoe; 2. Evans v. Standard Art; 3. Evenden v. Standard Art (cross appeal); 4. Lucas v. Pettit; 5. Gamble v. Empire L. Co.; 6. Gamble v. Empire L. Co.; 7. McGaw v. Dominion D. & C. S.; 8. Moon v. Mather; 9. Moir v. C.P.R.; 10. Gillard v. McKinnon; 11. Wilkinson v. Toronto Railway; 12. Fringle v. Morrisburg; 13. Avery v. Fortune; 14. Smith v. Smith; 15. Grant v. Carter; 16. Simpson v. Wright (cross appeal); 17. Cohen; 18. Cobb v. Belleville P. C. Co.; 19. Smith v. McIntosh; 20. Burtch v. C. P. R.; 21. Fleuty v. Or.

Sept. 35, 1906: 1. O'Sullivan v. Donohoe; 2. Evans v. Standard Art; 3. Evenden v. Standard Art (cross appeal); 4. Lucas v. Pettit; 5. Gamble v. Empire L. Co.; 6. Gamble v. Empire L. Co.; 7. McGaw v. Dominion D. & C. S.; 8. Moon v. Mather; 9. Moir v. C.P.R.; 10. Gillard v. McKinnon; 11. Wilkinson v. Toronto Railway; 12. Fringle v. Morrisburg; 13. Avery v. Fortune; 14. Smith v. Smith; 15. Grant v. Carter; 16. Simpson v. Wright (cross appeal); 17. Cohen; 18. Cobb v. Belleville P. C. Co.; 19. Smith v. McIntosh; 20. Burtch v. C. P. R.; 21. Fleuty v. Or.

Sept. 36, 1906: 1. O'Sullivan v. Donohoe; 2. Evans v. Standard Art; 3. Evenden v. Standard Art (cross appeal); 4. Lucas v. Pettit; 5. Gamble v. Empire L. Co.; 6. Gamble v. Empire L. Co.; 7. McGaw v. Dominion D. & C. S.; 8. Moon v. Mather; 9. Moir v. C.P.R.; 10. Gillard v. McKinnon; 11. Wilkinson v. Toronto Railway; 12. Fringle v. Morrisburg; 13. Avery v. Fortune; 14. Smith v. Smith; 15. Grant v. Carter; 16. Simpson v. Wright (cross appeal); 17. Cohen; 18. Cobb v. Belleville P. C. Co.; 19. Smith v. McIntosh; 20. Burtch v. C. P. R.; 21. Fleuty v. Or.

Sept. 37, 1906: 1. O'Sullivan v. Donohoe; 2. Evans v. Standard Art; 3. Evenden v. Standard Art (cross appeal); 4. Lucas v. Pettit; 5. Gamble v. Empire L. Co.; 6. Gamble v. Empire L. Co.; 7. McGaw v. Dominion D. & C. S.; 8. Moon v. Mather; 9. Moir v. C.P.R.; 10. Gillard v. McKinnon; 11. Wilkinson v. Toronto Railway; 12. Fringle v. Morrisburg; 13. Avery v. Fortune; 14. Smith v. Smith; 15. Grant v. Carter; 16. Simpson v. Wright (cross appeal); 17. Cohen; 18. Cobb v. Belleville P. C. Co.; 19. Smith v. McIntosh; 20. Burtch v. C. P. R.; 21. Fleuty v. Or.

Sept. 38, 1906: 1. O'Sullivan v. Donohoe; 2. Evans v. Standard Art; 3. Evenden v. Standard Art (cross appeal); 4. Lucas v. Pettit; 5. Gamble v. Empire L. Co.; 6. Gamble v. Empire L. Co.; 7. McGaw v. Dominion D. & C. S.; 8. Moon v. Mather; 9. Moir v. C.P.R.; 10. Gillard v. McKinnon; 11. Wilkinson v. Toronto Railway; 12. Fringle v. Morrisburg; 13. Avery v. Fortune; 14. Smith v. Smith; 15. Grant v. Carter; 16. Simpson v. Wright (cross appeal); 17. Cohen; 18. Cobb v. Belleville P. C. Co.; 19. Smith v. McIntosh; 20. Burtch v. C. P. R.; 21. Fleuty v. Or.

Sept. 39, 1906: 1. O'Sullivan v. Donohoe; 2. Evans v. Standard Art; 3. Evenden v. Standard Art (cross appeal); 4. Lucas v. Pettit; 5. Gamble v. Empire L. Co.; 6. Gamble v. Empire L. Co.; 7. McGaw v. Dominion D. & C. S.; 8. Moon v. Mather; 9. Moir v. C.P.R.; 10. Gillard v. McKinnon; 11. Wilkinson v. Toronto Railway; 12. Fringle v. Morrisburg; 13. Avery v. Fortune; 14. Smith v. Smith; 15. Grant v. Carter; 16. Simpson v. Wright (cross appeal); 17. Cohen; 18. Cobb v. Belleville P. C. Co.; 19. Smith v. McIntosh; 20. Burtch v. C. P. R.; 21. Fleuty v. Or.

Sept. 40, 1906: 1. O'Sullivan v. Donohoe; 2. Evans v. Standard Art; 3. Evenden v. Standard Art (cross appeal); 4. Lucas v. Pettit; 5. Gamble v. Empire L. Co.; 6. Gamble v. Empire L. Co.; 7. McGaw v. Dominion D. & C. S.; 8. Moon v. Mather; 9. Moir v. C.P.R.; 10. Gillard v. McKinnon; 11. Wilkinson v. Toronto Railway; 12. Fringle v. Morrisburg; 13. Avery v. Fortune; 14. Smith v. Smith; 15. Grant v. Carter; 16. Simpson v. Wright (cross appeal); 17. Cohen; 18. Cobb v. Belleville P. C. Co.; 19. Smith v. McIntosh; 20. Burtch v. C. P. R.; 21. Fleuty v. Or.

Sept. 41, 1906: 1. O'Sullivan v. Donohoe; 2. Evans v. Standard Art; 3. Evenden v. Standard Art (cross appeal); 4. Lucas v. Pettit; 5. Gamble v. Empire L. Co.; 6. Gamble v. Empire L. Co.; 7. McGaw v. Dominion D. & C. S.; 8. Moon v. Mather; 9. Moir v. C.P.R.; 10. Gillard v. McKinnon; 11. Wilkinson v. Toronto Railway; 12. Fringle v. Morrisburg; 13. Avery v. Fortune; 14. Smith v. Smith; 15. Grant v. Carter; 16. Simpson v. Wright (cross appeal); 17. Cohen; 18. Cobb v. Belleville P. C. Co.; 19. Smith v. McIntosh; 20. Burtch v. C. P. R.; 21. Fleuty v. Or.

Sept. 42, 1906: 1. O'Sullivan v. Donohoe; 2. Evans v. Standard Art; 3. Evenden v. Standard Art (cross appeal); 4. Lucas v. Pettit; 5. Gamble v. Empire L. Co.; 6. Gamble v. Empire L. Co.; 7. McGaw v. Dominion D. & C. S.; 8. Moon v. Mather; 9. Moir v. C.P.R.; 10. Gillard v. McKinnon; 11. Wilkinson v. Toronto Railway; 12. Fringle v. Morrisburg; 13. Avery v. Fortune; 14. Smith v. Smith; 15. Grant v. Carter; 16. Simpson v. Wright (cross appeal); 17. Cohen; 18. Cobb v. Belleville P. C. Co.; 19. Smith v. McIntosh; 20. Burtch v. C. P. R.; 21. Fleuty v. Or.

Sept. 43, 1906: 1. O'Sullivan v. Donohoe; 2. Evans v. Standard Art; 3. Evenden v. Standard Art (cross appeal); 4. Lucas v. Pettit; 5. Gamble v. Empire L. Co.; 6. Gamble v. Empire L. Co.; 7. McGaw v. Dominion D. & C. S.; 8. Moon v. Mather; 9. Moir v. C.P.R.; 10. Gillard v. McKinnon; 11. Wilkinson v. Toronto Railway; 12. Fringle v. Morrisburg; 13. Avery v. Fortune; 14. Smith v. Smith; 15. Grant v. Carter; 16. Simpson v. Wright (cross appeal); 17. Cohen; 18. Cobb v. Belleville P. C. Co.; 19. Smith v. McIntosh; 20. Burtch v. C. P. R.; 21. Fleuty v. Or.

Sept. 44, 1906: 1. O'Sullivan v. Donohoe; 2. Evans v. Standard Art; 3. Evenden v. Standard Art (cross appeal); 4. Lucas v. Pettit; 5. Gamble v. Empire L. Co.; 6. Gamble v. Empire L. Co.; 7. McGaw v. Dominion D. & C. S.; 8. Moon v. Mather; 9. Moir v. C.P.R.; 10. Gillard v. McKinnon; 11. Wilkinson v. Toronto Railway; 12. Fringle v. Morrisburg; 13. Avery v. Fortune; 14. Smith v. Smith; 15. Grant v. Carter; 16. Simpson v. Wright (cross appeal); 17. Cohen; 18. Cobb v. Belleville P. C. Co.; 19. Smith v. McIntosh; 20. Burtch v. C. P. R.; 21. Fleuty v. Or.

Sept. 45, 1906: 1. O'Sullivan v. Donohoe; 2. Evans v. Standard Art; 3. Evenden v. Standard Art (cross appeal); 4. Lucas v. Pettit; 5. Gamble v. Empire L. Co.; 6. Gamble v. Empire L. Co.; 7. McGaw v. Dominion D. & C. S.; 8. Moon v. Mather; 9. Moir v. C.P.R.; 10. Gillard v. McKinnon; 11. Wilkinson v. Toronto Railway; 12. Fringle v. Morrisburg; 13. Avery v. Fortune; 14. Smith v. Smith; 15. Grant v. Carter; 16. Simpson v. Wright (cross appeal); 17. Cohen; 18. Cobb v. Belleville P. C. Co.; 19. Smith v. McIntosh; 20. Burtch v. C. P. R.; 21. Fleuty v. Or.

Sept. 46, 1906: 1. O'Sullivan v. Donohoe; 2. Evans v. Standard Art; 3. Evenden v. Standard Art (cross appeal); 4. Lucas v. Pettit; 5. Gamble v. Empire L. Co.; 6. Gamble v. Empire L. Co.; 7. McGaw v. Dominion D. & C. S.; 8. Moon v. Mather; 9. Moir v. C.P.R.; 10. Gillard v. McKinnon; 11. Wilkinson v. Toronto Railway; 12. Fringle v. Morrisburg; 13. Avery v. Fortune; 14. Smith v. Smith; 15. Grant v. Carter; 16. Simpson v. Wright (cross appeal); 17. Cohen; 18. Cobb v. Belleville P. C. Co.; 19. Smith v. McIntosh; 20. Burtch v. C. P. R.; 21. Fleuty v. Or.

Sept. 47, 1906: 1. O'Sullivan v. Donohoe; 2. Evans v. Standard Art; 3. Evenden v. Standard Art (cross appeal); 4. Lucas v. Pettit; 5. Gamble v. Empire L. Co.; 6. Gamble v. Empire L. Co.; 7. McGaw v. Dominion D. &