

an statement of  
from the mem-  
of Appeals, in  
urred, a state-  
w the honor to

s section of the  
om the several  
of Appeals, in  
urred, the de-  
Holt, they now

Honorable Pené  
Stuart, John  
e first three be-  
present in the  
in Mr. Holt's  
ok place on the  
to the matters  
ommittee, is of

October 1st.

D,

court has been  
Appellant in  
mark, and feels  
t. The style  
ed in address-

an three notes  
ery well in a  
a newspaper  
cannot be tole-

MR. HOLT.—“The Appellant's attorneys beg to state, that they certainly never thought, in drawing their case, that they were exposing themselves to a charge of treating the Court with disrespect. They have desired always to treat this Court respectfully, and must regret that anything in their printed case should have given rise to a doubt on that point in the mind of the Court. They are very willing to admit that the style in which the case in question is written may be faulty, but they would observe that the notes of exclamation alluded to, were inserted merely in the same way that *emphasis* or an inflexion of the voice is used in an oral address to the Court.”

The cause was then argued. During the argument, Mr. Chief Justice Rolland, addressing Mr. Holt, emphatically stated, “that the first count of the Plaintiff's declaration *was a lie*,” and informed Mr. Holt “that he need not refer to any English authorities to support it.”

SAME DAY.

After the rising of the Court, Mr. Holt being desirous of shewing his willingness to meet the views so expressed by the Court in the case of Malone and Tate, and of removing from one or two other *factums* which had been fyled, in cases in which he had been concerned, such parts or expressions as might be deemed offensive by the Court, desired Mr. Beaudry, Clerk of the Court, to request the Judges, in his (Mr. Holt's) name, permission to look at the factums which he had fyled, that he might correct them, where corrections should be necessary. Mr. Beaudry went into the Judges' Chambers, and in a few minutes returned with their answer—“never mind, we will overlook them,” or words to that effect.

MONDAY, 6th October.

PRESENT :—Mr. Chief Justice ROLLAND,  
Mr. Justice PANET,  
“ “ AYLWIN,

The Clerk of the Court called the case of—

MCPIERSON—Appellant,  
and  
DINNING—Respondent.

Messrs. HOLT and IRVINE for the Appellant.  
G. O. STUART, Esq., for the Respondent.

The Judges confer together, Chief Justice Rolland and Mr. Justice Aylwin exchanging remarks in a low tone.

Mr. JUSTICE AYLWIN—“Mr. Holt, the Court has observed that, in several cases in which you are concerned, the manner in which your cases are drawn up is highly objectionable. This