

Dominion-Provincial Relations

occasion, to state what the position was with reference to a vote, and I dealt with only one vote. If I had dealt with the other vote it would have been infinitely worse than the figures I gave.

Mr. Speaker: Order. It is a well recognized rule of the house that a member is not to reflect on the conduct of another member in voting. The remarks of the hon. member for Laurier were in pretty general terms and not related to any specific member. He did relate them to a class, namely those from the province of Quebec, and I think he is getting very close to the line of what would be considered a breach of the privileges. But I consider that perhaps the matter might not be pursued in view of the generality of the statement. Is the house ready for the question?

Mr. Egan Chambers (Parliamentary Secretary to the Minister of National Defence): Mr. Speaker, I will be a good deal briefer than the hon. member for Laurier (Mr. Chevrier) but I do not believe we should have the vote until someone has replied to his statement. I have listened with great care to the amendments to this bill offered by the opposition and the effect of each and every one of them is the same. This government has, after a great deal of discussion—

Some hon. Members: Ten o'clock.

Mr. Speaker: I hear that it is ten o'clock.

Mr. Chambers: May I ask unanimous consent to reply very briefly to the hon. member for Laurier?

Mr. Chevrier: As far as I am concerned, you may have it.

Mr. Speaker: Is the house prepared to dispose of this matter tonight?

Some hon. Members: Yes.

Some hon. Members: No.

Mr. Argue: Mr. Speaker—

Mr. Lambert: Don't you talk about long sessions.

Mr. Argue: Who is threatening whom now?

Mr. Speaker: Ten o'clock.

Mr. Chambers: On a point of order, Mr. Speaker—

Some hon. Members: Ten o'clock.

Mr. Chambers: On a point of order—

Mr. Speaker: The hon. member will please resume his seat. It having been called ten o'clock, there is no right to raise a point of order.

BUSINESS OF THE HOUSE

Mr. Chevrier: May I inquire of the house leader what the business is for tomorrow?

Mr. Churchill: The business for tomorrow will be the third reading of the bill we were debating today, followed by the estimates of the Department of Agriculture and, if they are completed, the estimates of the Department of Fisheries. On Monday the intention is to commence with item No. 12 on today's order paper which is a motion in my name to set up a select committee to deal with research and other matters. The second item would be item No. 1 on today's order paper, Bill No. C-57, to amend the Trans-Canada Highway Act. Item No. 3 for consideration on Monday or Tuesday would be No. 14 on the order paper, the resolution in the name of the Minister of Northern Affairs and National Resources dealing with the Yukon Act. Item No. 4 would be the resolution in the name of the same minister dealing with the Northwest Territories Act. If these are completed, item No. 5 would be No. 16 on today's order paper, the resolution in the name of the Minister of Transport dealing with amendments to the Railway Act.

Mr. Chevrier: I am sorry, but I could not understand the last part of the business announced by the house leader. Did the last part refer to the business for Monday?

Mr. Churchill: I was talking about Monday when I named five items. They might carry over to Tuesday, but I have not announced anything for the balance of the week. We will see what happens on Monday.

It being fifteen minutes after ten o'clock the house adjourned, without question put, pursuant to standing order.