

Senator HAYDEN: There is no suggestion of taking them out unless something in place of them is put in.

Senator MACDONALD: I have not had any great time to consider this, but I was suggesting that the wording of this bill with regard to the appointment of the president and vice-president should be similar to the wording in the present C.B.C. act.

The CHAIRMAN: In other words, in line 6, page 9, you would substitute the words "good behaviour" for the word "pleasure". Is that it?

Senator MACDONALD: Yes.

The CHAIRMAN: And you would thereby bring the President and Vice-President of the C.B.C. into line with the other members of the directorate, and with the Chairman and the Board of Broadcast Governors, who all hold office during good behaviour under section 3 of the bill?

Senator MACDONALD: Yes.

Senator CONNOLLY (*Ottawa West*): That would mean, then, that section 22(4) would come into play and these two executive officers could not be dismissed other than on an address of the Senate and House of Commons?

The CHAIRMAN: Yes. If we amend section 22(2) it would involve removing some words in subsection (4). You would take out the words "a director appointed to hold office during good behaviour". It would then read: "A director ceases to be a director of the Corporation upon attaining the age of 70 years and may be removed at any time by the Governor General on address of the Senate and House of Commons."

Senator CONNOLLY (*Ottawa West*): You would still have the joint address?

The CHAIRMAN: Yes.

Senator HAYDEN: It would be a rather involved procedure in order to get rid of an incumbent who is not carrying his load.

The CHAIRMAN: Yes.

Senator CONNOLLY (*Ottawa West*): I wonder if Senator Macdonald could take more time on this.

Senator MACDONALD: If I may interrupt, I would suggest that he could be removed for cause at any time by the Governor in Council.

Senator HAYDEN: This is a Crown company. It is really an agency or arm of the Government carrying on a business. I would hate to have to go through this procedure in my own business in order to get rid of some person who is not carrying his weight. There are two sides to this question.

Senator CONNOLLY (*Ottawa West*): What would be the position of a man who was dismissed when holding a seven-year appointment? He might feel he was unjustly dismissed and he might have an action in damages.

Senator MACDONALD: The point you mentioned previously—and I think the Chairman mentioned it too—is that it would be very difficult to get a man of any standing in the community, who has a position at the present time, to come down here and take a position during pleasure. In a case of that kind he could be dismissed without cause.

Senator CONNOLLY (*Ottawa West*): I don't know.

Senator MACDONALD: Oh, yes, during pleasure he can be dismissed without cause. I think it should be definitely provided in here that it has to be with cause.

Senator CONNOLLY (*Ottawa West*): If a person who has been appointed for seven years is dismissed, even if it reads "during pleasure", he might have a pretty good claim.