Ottawa

September 5, 1985

quickly to define its objectives for the forthcoming round. The GATT has served Canada well, and our nation's participation in further strengthening this international system of co-operation is a general insurance policy for the future. Broadly stated, Canada's objectives should include.

• A more ordered world trading environment: that is, sufficiently stable, predictable and transparent international trade relations to instill a degree of business confidence that will lead to job-creating investment

• More secure access to our major markets, particularly the U.S. market

• Improved opportunity for the further processing of our natural resources before export, by reducing foreign barriers to manufactured goods

• Improved access and trading conditions for agricultural and fishery products

• An improved framework of international rules which will encourage orderly adjustment in the Canadian economy.

Commissioners recommend that the Government of Canada, at the same time it undertakes an initiative at the multilateral level to eliminate trade barriers, open negotiations with the Government of the United States to reach agreement on a substantial reduction of barriers, tariff and non-tariff, between Canada and the United States. Such an agreement would have to stand within the terms of Article XXIV of the GATT, and it would provide for a reduction of barriers between the two countries, but would leave each country with freedom of action to maintain separate trading policies with other economic partners. We do not recommend a more intensive arrangement such as a common market or an economic union, where even closer integration would take place between these two economies.

• Commissioners recommend that Canada negotiate a legal arrangement with the United States which incorporates strong safeguards to limit spill-over from the arrangement and thus to protect substantive policies, such as those pertaining to culture and defence, which are functionally unrelated to trade in goods and services. Indeed, a policy that creates no linkage should be explicitly confirmed in order to avoid surprises if the Government of Canada, as we recommend, were to pursue a more aggressive policy of support for indigenous cultural expression as a concomitant of a bilateral trade initiative.