

defendant, a judgment creditor of the plaintiff's husband. The plaintiff claimed the debt due by the garnishee under an assignment from her husband made prior to the garnishee order.

The defendant contended that as there had been a marriage settlement between plaintiff and her husband, the plaintiff could not under s. 2 of the Married Women's Act, R.S.M., c. 95, take from her husband an assignment of debts due to him, but the plaintiff argued that s. 35 of the Act would apply.

Held, without deciding this latter point, that even if the wife did not acquire a good title at law under the assignment, the husband would in equity be treated as trustee for the wife of the money, and that the wife became equitably entitled thereto, and therefore took in priority to the garnishee order.

The husband was indebted to the wife under a judgment and no attempt was made at the trial to show that such judgment was fraudulent, or that the assignment in question was a fraudulent preference.

Appeal allowed with costs, and verdict entered in favour of the plaintiff on the issue.

Hough, Q.C., for the plaintiff.

Crawford, Q.C., for the defendant.

Flotsam and Jetsam.

THE following advertisement, copied from an evening journal published in the metropolis of the Dominion, would seem to indicate a want of enterprise on the part of the profession in that city :

"Wanted, a lawyer who can, without prejudice, conduct a lawsuit on its merits against the O. E. Ry. Co., G. McNeill, 61 Sussex street."

We understand that the advertiser and a trolley car had a fracas on the street the other day, and that the advertiser's head, though apparently that of one of Scotia's sons, was not quite hard enough to down the other fellow.

LONG-EARED IMPUDENCE.—That other less fortunate counties may be spared a pang of natural jealousy, we forbear to say wherein is located the most brilliant conveyancing star we have as yet observed in Ontario's orbit. His modesty is equal to his endowments. The record of this remarkable man appears in his advertisement : "Considering that for over forty years I have been unremittingly engaged in a most extensive conveyancing practice, and that to my own personal experience I have spared no expense by an extensive library and consultations with the best legal talent of Ontario, it will hardly be egotism on my part to say that I can offer to my patrons a service unsurpassed, if not unrivalled, in this county. I am prepared to execute work in every branch of the profession, and will always study the interest of my patrons as if they were my own." If the public knew how much the legal profession is indebted to the ignorance of such impudent quacks as these, they would not be so likely to waste their money on them.