THE GOSPEL TRIBUNE,

FOR ALLIANCE AND INTERCOMMUNION

THROUGHOUT

Evangeliral Cheistendom.

Volume II.]

JUNE, 1855.

[Number 2.

"ONE IS YOUR MASTER, even CHRIST: AND ALL YE ARE BRETHREN."

AN UTTERANCE—clear, full, and explicit, on the moral and religious bearing of the Maine Law, having been conceived as demanded of this Journal: and having found the recent Report of the Rev. Mr. Mulkins admirably adapted in style, argument, and tone, to meet the requirement as specified, it was determined to reproduce the Report in the "Gospel Tribune," with the endorsement now given. And as the whole of this number is required for the purpose, another is now in press entirely filled with correspondence and religious intelligence. It will appear in a few days.

REPORT.

1. Introductory Remarks.

For some time past the subject of the legal prohi-For some time past the subject of the legal prolification of the traffic in intoxicating liquors has been earnestly discussed in this Province. Indeed, since the following the vast evils arising from the traffic, the first experiment in the State of Maine for the supthe first enactment in the State of Maine for the suppression of the traffic, public attention has been strongly called to it in this country. It was a piece of Legislation so novel, so perfectly unique, that it could scarcely fail to attract the consideration of prohibition of all distillation of ardent spirits from grain; thoughtful men, or to engage the attention of other Legislators. The evils of intemperance are so open own country. 48.—The restriction of distillation to the prohibition of all distillation of their ramifications so infinite, that all good men, and the confining the wholesale and retail dealing in necessarily, desire to see them lessened, yea wholly extinguished. It is nearly fifteen years since the doctrine of legal prohibition was first mooted and Whatever merit or demerit may be due to the State became law. This was an experiment so singular British Origin, and the conception of British Statesand so important, it was hailed with such general men.

admiration by the friends of sobriety, and was so

3. Importance of the Question. vehemently denounced by those interested in the Traffic, that it became evident, that it would be watched with intense interest by all parties. On the one hand with the sleepless eyes of interested vigilance, and on the other by the watchful eyes of energetic philanthrophy. That experiment has now been in operation four years and upwards, and, if it has if its effects have been baneful, the world should be apprized of the result, that all illusions on the subject as far as may be done, should be dispelled.

perance. Sir Robert Peel was chairman of that committee, which drew up a most able report, and in concluding their valuable labours, recommended and unani-

discussed in the public press in the United States, which first carried the suggestion of "absolute probut it was not until 1851 that public sentiment on hibition," into effect, it is undeniably true that the that subject assumed a statutory embodiment, and principle, and even its initiation in practice, are of

Since 1851, when the "absolute prohibition" suggested nearly twenty years before in the British House of Commons became the law of the State of Maine, the same question has been canvassed throughout the United States, and the British Colonies. Seven other States, beside Maine, and one British Province, have passed severe enactments for the prohibition of the been successful, it is time that others should know it; traffic; while eight other states, and two other British Colonies have had Bills for the suppression of the evil before their respective Legislatures. The quest as far as may be done, should be dispelled.

2. The Principle of Prohibition of British Origin.

Although it is true that the doctrine of Prohibition Canada passed the second reading of a Bill for the of late years was revived in the United States; and suppression of the traffic by a great majority, and all although the State of Maine was the first to embody parties seemed to vie with each other in desiring the the principle in a Statute, yet the doctrine was by no destruction of the evil. It was natural that a quesmeans new; it was agitated in generations past in tion of such vast proportions, likely to affect Society England, and so late as 1834 the question was brought to its very centre; a question which would interfere before the British House of Commons, when a com-with the daily avocations of at least 10,000 families in mittee was appointed to examine and report on intem- the Province, and which could be looked on only as an