

into comp. small failures of which $\frac{3}{4}$ of 1890. - worth in no. & liabilities were aggregated less than in 1889. - with final quarter of 1890 came other effects of starting disclosures in London. , seen more perfect in aggreg. liabilities (the no of disclosures not being comp. large)

1891 presents record of increased failures (excl last quarter)
(the last $\frac{1}{4}$ of 1891 affords most always largest totals .

In 1890 losses in last $\frac{1}{4}$ were + 7% of yrs total loss
in 1888 " " " " " 29%

Note (1) the ratio of wh. tot no of business failures bears to total no of in business & (2) to average liability of each insolvent.
Last only approximate estimates because loss always given in one stat, not in classes - so add or less ^{large} _{small} ^{rarely} failing lowers average loss - abnormally.

The propr. of failures to no. in business is an item of inform indispensable for any correct comparison of the rate of disasters from yr to yr. - the no in business always increases so that the no of failures does not necessarily increase the propr. of f. has decreased

no of failures	no in business	propr. fail to no in bus.	liability	
			total	average
1890 12,373 10,907	1,140,590	1 in 102	\$189,836,964	15,473 17,406
1889 10,807 10,842	1,051,140	1 " 97	148,784,337	13,406 13,672
1888 15,882 10,679	1,046,662	1 " 98	123,829,972	12,672 11,595
1887 16,166 9,634	994,281	1 " 103	167,560,944	11,595 17,392
1886 9,834	969,841	1 " 98	114,644,119	11,651

Contracting this period with one before & after most fav.

In 1878 - 1 failure in 64. Total loss. 238,383,132 average of 22.369 each

Increased in 1883 - fell nearly 84 - excludet yrs 83-85 (83-1683)
loss and to 226,343.427. - average 20,632.

3rd period of official figures beginning last $\frac{1}{4}$ of 1890 extending thro' 91