

Paquette

you wish it. My idea is that it is better we should have the chief actors in this drama perform on the stage here in Montreal, and that is my reason for hearing the evidence of the leaders at once.

I understand that Mr. Paquette wishes to have a number of witnesses heard - at least I have a list of persons to call. I do not propose to take them at once. I will probably call them in support of some information which he said he would have. Everybody will have plenty of time to arrange for any witnesses they may wish to have heard. It is simply to keep the evidence clear, and to have all the information before us, so that we may know what the facts are as we proceed.

MR. SIGNAC Under those circumstances I have no objection to giving my evidence to-morrow.

MR. SIMPSON On behalf of one who is not here I would like permission to ask Mr. Paquette an explanation of one word which he used in his evidence. I may say at once that it is no interest of mine at all, but I think it is only fair to the person referred to the matter should be made clear.

Mr. Paquette has stated that one of the foremen at Hochelaga abused his help. This can be read in a great many ways, and, in as much as those proceedings will be read all through Canada I think it only fair that the matter should be made perfectly clear.

KENEHAN  
STENOGRAPHER  
MONTREAL

W. L. Mackenzie King Papers  
Volume C 36

PUBLIC ARCHIVES PUBLIQUES  
CANADA