

northern races, and we should be tender of their poverty, we should be zealous for their settlement under satisfactory conditions, and we should nurture them with care and consideration into a stalwart Canadianism.

Again, we should not by exaggerated alarm over every temporary ebullition of feeling in Quebec rush into frantic denunciation of the French-Canadians, and so tend to drive them into a solid mass of dissentients, and set up the mischievous anti-national idea that there is room for only English-speaking people in this free country. We want more of Canadian and less of French-Canadian, or even of English or Irish Canadian. We do not blame the Scotchman if he still loves Scotland, or the Englishman if he still loves England, and why should we make it a reproach to the Frenchman if he still loves France? Speech is as free in Quebec as in Ontario. We would quarrel if the man of Quebec sought to make us into French-Canadians, and so long as he is a loyal subject of Canada cannot we afford to be patient with his inherited sympathies and so win him to greater community of feeling and interest with ourselves and to fuller partnership in all the ambitions and concerns of this new commonwealth? He has as much right here as we have, and by reproach, insult and