how many interviews took place upon the subject. However, if it is necessary to determine that point, there are three witnesses, and I will venture to call them credible, and I may mention another, Mr. Charles Ogden, against your Lordship's memory, more or less retentive, and a diary more or less accurate. IV. Your Lordship has been the aggressor in this personal contest,

and you have clearly failed to substantiate your charge.

The charge complained of is so gross that your Lordship has given occasion to the editor of a New York Church newspaper " to blush for his Angle-Saxon relations," and to an English Church paper "to ascribe such personalities as your Lordship has indulged in to the backwood's character of Canada."

It is an Englishman, and not one educated in the country, who has given rise to these taunts, and, having been subjected to such, "Canadian Institutions," can searcely thank your Lordship for your interference.

For these reasons, which I have as briefly as possible stated, I require, on General Evans' behalf, the unequivocal withdrawal of all of your Lordship's charges against him, and that you will desist from any reiteration of them.

> I have the honour to be, Your obedient Servant,

> > ADAM CROOKS.

To the Right Reverend Francis Fulford, D. D.,

Lord Bishop of Montreal and Metropolitan.

ith much ent of the nderstand-Hellmuth. ay last to orrectness red to find e different in perfect u had an ns on the nly one, it d the pro-, and di

matters it

nop in his

ad done it

ng advant-

pposed to

fails with

o his ear;

n to this

icipate it,

otain some

n, and to

forth by

so-called

en to this

inference.

I would

d then the

ontinental

position of

But your

or when it

oring and

n connec-

oining in