

2. On what date was the application either approved or refused?
3. What were the reasons set forth by the government for its refusal or approval of said project?
4. If the project was accepted, what was the amount granted by the government to finance it?
5. How many jobs were created by the implementation of this project?

Mr. Mark MacGuigan (Parliamentary Secretary to Minister of Manpower and Immigration): 1. January 12, 1973.

2. Rejected on February 19, 1973.
3. The department received a far greater number of applications than funds were available for. All applications were carefully assessed and many difficult decisions not to approve had to be made.
4. Not applicable.
5. Not applicable.

LIP—APPLICATION FOR GRANT—PROJECT NO. H-6340

Question No. 1,313—Mr. Allard:

1. On what date did the government receive an application for a grant under LIP concerning project No. H-6340?
2. On what date was the application either approved or refused?
3. What were the reasons set forth by the government for its refusal or approval of said project?
4. If the project was accepted, what was the amount granted by the government to finance it?
5. How many jobs were created by the implementation of this project?

Mr. Mark MacGuigan (Parliamentary Secretary to Minister of Manpower and Immigration): 1. January 12, 1973.

2. Rejected on February 15, 1973.
3. The department received a far greater number of applications than funds were available for. All applications were carefully assessed and many difficult decisions not to approve had to be made.
4. Not applicable.
5. Not applicable.

LIP—APPLICATION FOR GRANT—PROJECT H-6320

Question No. 1,314—Mr. Allard:

1. On what date did the government receive an application for a grant under LIP concerning project No. H-6320?
2. On what date was the application either approved or refused?
3. What were the reasons set forth by the government for its refusal or approval of said project?
4. If the project was accepted, what was the amount granted by the government to finance it?
5. How many jobs were created by the implementation of this project?

Order Paper Questions

Mr. Mark MacGuigan (Parliamentary Secretary to Minister of Manpower and Immigration): 1. January 12, 1973.

2. Rejected on February 19, 1973.
3. The department received a far greater number of applications than funds were available for. All applications were carefully assessed and many difficult decisions not to approve had to be made.
4. Not applicable.
5. Not applicable.

LIP—APPLICATION FOR GRANT—PROJECT No. H-6314

Question No. 1,315—Mr. Allard:

1. On what date did the government receive an application for a grant under LIP concerning project No. H-6314?
2. On what date was the application either approved or refused?
3. What were the reasons set forth by the government for its refusal or approval of said project?
4. If the project was accepted, what was the amount granted by the government to finance it?
5. How many jobs were created by the implementation of this project?

Mr. Mark MacGuigan (Parliamentary Secretary to Minister of Manpower and Immigration): 1. January 12, 1973.

2. Rejected on February 22, 1973.
3. The department received a far greater number of applications than funds were available for. All applications were carefully assessed and many difficult decisions not to approve had to be made.
4. Not applicable.
5. Not applicable.

LIP—APPLICATION FOR GRANT—PROJECT No. H-6515

Question No. 1,316—Mr. Allard:

1. On what date did the government receive an application for a grant under LIP concerning project No. H-6515?
2. On what date was the application either approved or refused?
3. What were the reasons set forth by the government for its refusal or approval of said project?
4. If the project was accepted, what was the amount granted by the government to finance it?
5. How many jobs were created by the implementation of this project?

Mr. Mark MacGuigan (Parliamentary Secretary to Minister of Manpower and Immigration): 1. January 17, 1973.

2. Rejected on February 22, 1973.
3. The department received a far greater number of applications than funds were available for. All applications were carefully assessed and many difficult decisions not to approve had to be made.