

*Northern Ontario Pipe Line Corporation*

Blair	MacLean
Brooks	McBain
Bryce	McCullough (Moose Mountain)
Cameron (Nanaimo)	McGregor
Casselman	Michener
Castleden	Mitchell (London)
Charlton	Monteith
Churchill	Murphy (Lambton West)
Coldwell	Nesbitt
Diefenbaker	Nicholson
Dinsdale	Nickle
Drew	Nowlan
Dufresne	Pallett
Ellis	Parkes
Fairclough, Mrs.	Perron
Fleming	Poulin
Fraser (Peterborough)	Rea
Fulton	Robinson (Bruce)
Green	Rowe
Hamilton (Notre Dame de Grace)	Small
Hamilton (York West)	Stanton
Harkness	Starr
Hees	Stewart (Winnipeg North)
Herridge	Tustin
Hodgson	White (Hastings- Frontenac)
Johnson (Kindersley)	White (Middlesex East)
Jones	Zaplitny—61.
Knight	
Knowles	
Lennard	

**Mr. Martin:** I was paired with the hon. member for Cape Breton South (Mr. Gillis). Had I voted, I would have voted for the motion.

**Mr. Speaker:** I declare the motion carried.

**NORTHERN ONTARIO PIPE LINE CORPORATION**

CONSTITUTION OF CROWN COMPANY  
TO CONSTRUCT PIPE LINE, MAKE  
SHORT-TERM LOANS, ETC.

The house resumed, from Wednesday, May 30, consideration in committee of Bill No. 298, to establish the Northern Ontario Pipe Line Crown Corporation—Mr. Howe (Port Arthur)—Mr. Applewhaite in the chair.

On clause 4—*Body corporate.*

**Mr. St. Laurent (Quebec East):** Mr. Chairman, pursuant to the notice given yesterday in accordance with the provisions of standing order 33 I move:

That at this sitting of the committee of the whole house on Bill No. 298, an act to establish the Northern Ontario Pipe Line Crown Corporation, the further consideration of clauses 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, the title of the said bill, and any amendments proposed thereto, shall be the first business of this committee and shall not further be postponed.

**Some hon. Members:** Shame!

**Some hon. Members:** Hear, hear.

**Mr. Rowe:** Why not call it one o'clock tomorrow morning?

**Mr. Drew:** Dissolve parliament and really face the issue.

**Mr. Knowles:** Mr. Chairman—

[Mr. Speaker.]

**Mr. Fulton:** On a point of order, I submit to you, sir, that this motion made by the Prime Minister is not in order and cannot be received or put to the committee. In what I shall have to say I shall be asking hon. members of the committee to consider the position of parliament itself. We have all witnessed scenes here in the past ten days or so about which all of us must be gravely concerned. It is my earnest submission to you, sir, and through you to the committee and through the committee to the house, that the house itself, in connection with the point of order I am about to raise, should give very serious consideration to its position with respect to the procedure we are now being asked to follow and must assess the point of order I raise from the point of view of the interests of parliament itself which, I submit to you, sir, transcend the interests of party or of government and are inherent and essential to the interests of the nation itself.

My point of order is based upon standing order 33, and I shall read standing order 33 because it is necessary to consider the terms of that whole order in order to appreciate just what the Prime Minister is asking us to do and to what extent it is out of order and a contravention of our rules by which the government is bound just as much as any member or other party in the house.

Standing order 33 reads as follows:

Immediately before the order of the day for resuming an adjourned debate is called, or if the house be in committee of the whole, or of supply, or of ways and means, any minister of the Crown who, standing in his place, shall have given notice at a previous sitting of his intention so to do, may move that the debate shall not be further adjourned, or that the further consideration of any resolution or resolutions, clause or clauses, section or sections, preamble or preambles, title or titles, shall be the first business of the committee, and shall not further be postponed;

Now, sir, I have not read the whole of the standing order. I have read the part of it which is applicable to the point of order I intend to raise. I wish to emphasize to you and to the committee the operative words. Since we are in committee of the whole considering a bill of seven clauses, of which we have only had three called and immediately postponed without consideration and then embarked upon the consideration of the fourth clause leaving three clauses and the title not yet having been called, I wish in that context to emphasize the operative words that the minister moves that further consideration of any clause or clauses, title or titles shall be the first business of the committee and shall not be further postponed.