

Canada Law Journal.

VOL. XXXII.

APRIL 1, 1896.

NO. 6.

In our last issue we published the names of those who, in addition to the present Benchers, were up to that time brought to the attention of their brethren as desirable candidates. A number of other names have since then been nominated by various law associations or by members of the Bar in their own localities. So far as we have heard these additional names are as follows;—J. T. Garrow, Q.C., Goderich; A. H. Macdonald, Q.C., Guelph; G. C. Gibbons, Q.C., London; A. H. Clarke, Windsor; F. R. Ball, Q.C., Woodstock; J. E. Farewell, Q.C., Whitby.

ANIMUS FURANDI.

We have received several comments, pro and con., as to the conclusion arrived at by one of our contributors on the case of *Reg. v. Ashwell*, (ante page 52). It has been suggested in one of these, that under sec. 305 of the Criminal Code, such an act as was in question in that case would be theft, on the ground that it would be "fraudulently and without colour of right converting" the sovereign received. In answer to this, it is suggested that inasmuch as when the sovereign was given, it was intended that the recipient should have a shilling, it cannot be said that when he changed the sovereign into twenty shillings, he did so "without colour of right"; for when he so converted it, it might be that he did so under a "colour of right," viz., to get the one shilling he was entitled to, so that the subsequent fraudulent determination to keep the balance, could not be deemed a fraudulent converting of the *sovereign* without colour of right; and further, that though, under the Code, he might be successfully indicted for stealing