

May 17.

Blanchard & Canadian Mutual Fire Insurance Co.—Motion for leave to appeal from interlocutory judgment, rejected.

Lawes & Bank of B. N. A.—Heard on motion for leave to appeal from interlocutory judgment. C. A.V.

Mooney & Imperial Fire Insurance Co.—Motion for leave to appeal from interlocutory judgment ordering new trial. Granted.

Cie. du Chemin du Pacifique & Chalifoux.—Petition of respondent for leave to proceed *in forma pauperis*. Granted.

Barnard & Molson.—Heard on motion of respondent for precedence. C. A.V.

Pinkerton & Côté.—Part heard on merits.

May 18.

Exchange Bank of Canada & Canadian Bank of Commerce.—Heard on merits. C. A.V.

Normandeau & McDonell.—Heard on merits. C. A.V.

Central Vermont Railroad & Lareau.—Heard on merits. C. A.V.

Canadian Pacific Railway Co. & Goyette.—Heard on merits. C. A.V.

The Same & Tremblay.—Heard on merits. C. A.V.

The Same & Beauchamp.—Heard on merits. C. A.V.

The Same & Payette.—Heard on merits. C. A.V.

May 19.

Barnard & Molson.—Motion for precedence rejected.

Lawes & Bank of B. N. A.—Motion for leave to appeal from interlocutory judgment. Granted.

Pinkerton & Côté.—Hearing on merits concluded. C. A.V.

Lewis & Osborn.—Part heard on merits.

May 20.

Gadoua & Pigeon.—Motion for new security, granted for costs. Motion for dismissal of appeal in default of return of writ, granted for costs.

Smith & Wheeler.—Petition of respondent for alimentary allowance, and for temporary possession of the children. Rejected.

Lewis & Osborn.—Hearing on merits concluded. C. A.V.

McGreevey & Sentcal.—Heard on merits. C. A.V.

Lambert & Scott.—Heard on merits. C. A.V.

Vineberg & Ransom.—Heard on merits. C. A.V.

May 21.

Cadot & Ouimet.—Judgment confirmed.

Waldron & White.—Heard on merits. C. A.V.

Exchange Bank of Canada & Rivard.—The appellant not appearing, the appeal is dismissed.

Brown & Saunders.—Heard on merits. C. A.V.

Schwob & Baker.—Heard on merits. C. A.V.

Nordheimer & Leclair et al.—Part heard on merits. C. A.V.

May 22.

Breckon & Kane.—Heard on motion for leave to appeal from interlocutory judgment. C. A.V.

Nordheimer & Leclair et al.—Hearing on merits concluded. C. A.V.

May 25.

Jeffery & Webb.—Heard on merits. C. A.V.
Corporation Episcopale C. R. du D. de St. Hyacinthe & Eastern Townships Bank.—Heard on merits. C. A.V.

Stephen & Banque d'Hochelaga, & Montreal P. & B. Railway Co.—Heard on merits. C. A.V.

Whitehead & Kieffer & White.—Part heard on merits.

Kieffer & Whitehead.—Part heard on merits.

DECISIONS AT QUEBEC. (11 Q.L.R.).

Succession—Héritier—Action pétitoire.

Jugé : Qu'un seul de plusieurs héritiers indivis peut porter l'action pétitoire contre le tiers qui n'a aucun droit à la succession, et revendiquer, par elle, la totalité d'un immeuble lui appartenant, que ce tiers détient.
—*Bell v. Bédard, C. S., Casault, J., 23 nov. 1885.*

Procédure—Exception à la forme.

Après l'émanation du bref et avant le jour de l'entrée, le demandeur a fait changer la date du rapport par le greffier de la Cour. Le défendeur a plaidé à la forme que ce changement rendait le bref nul.