

among the people of Leeds. The trustees and other members endeavored to bring about a re-union...

The occasion was improved by the writer of this imperfect sketch, to a very large and deeply affected congregation...

There is Life Everywhere.

Life everywhere! The air is crowded with birds—beautiful, tender, intelligent birds, to whom life is a song and a thrilling anxiety...

Provincial Wesleyan

WEDNESDAY, MAY 2, 1860. In consequence of the official relation which the present issue to the Conference of Bishops...

Consecration of Bishop Sweeney.

The sacramental obligation of implicit and unreserved allegiance to the Pope, imposed upon all Bishops of the Roman Communion...

This oath, instead of relaxing, by degrees, its despotic exaction of unlimited submission to Papal authority, has actually expanded its range...

One significant clause must not, however, be passed over. Our reference is to the stipulation by which Archbishops, Bishops, and in fact all who receive any dignity from the Pope...

The regalities of St. Peter may, however, be demanded to dilate or contract, as circumstances may demand or expediency suggest.

The regalities of St. Peter may, however, be demanded to dilate or contract, as circumstances may demand or expediency suggest.

The regalities of St. Peter may, however, be demanded to dilate or contract, as circumstances may demand or expediency suggest.

The regalities of St. Peter may, however, be demanded to dilate or contract, as circumstances may demand or expediency suggest.

The regalities of St. Peter may, however, be demanded to dilate or contract, as circumstances may demand or expediency suggest.

The regalities of St. Peter may, however, be demanded to dilate or contract, as circumstances may demand or expediency suggest.

The regalities of St. Peter may, however, be demanded to dilate or contract, as circumstances may demand or expediency suggest.

The regalities of St. Peter may, however, be demanded to dilate or contract, as circumstances may demand or expediency suggest.

The regalities of St. Peter may, however, be demanded to dilate or contract, as circumstances may demand or expediency suggest.

The regalities of St. Peter may, however, be demanded to dilate or contract, as circumstances may demand or expediency suggest.

The regalities of St. Peter may, however, be demanded to dilate or contract, as circumstances may demand or expediency suggest.

The regalities of St. Peter may, however, be demanded to dilate or contract, as circumstances may demand or expediency suggest.

The regalities of St. Peter may, however, be demanded to dilate or contract, as circumstances may demand or expediency suggest.

The regalities of St. Peter may, however, be demanded to dilate or contract, as circumstances may demand or expediency suggest.

The regalities of St. Peter may, however, be demanded to dilate or contract, as circumstances may demand or expediency suggest.

The regalities of St. Peter may, however, be demanded to dilate or contract, as circumstances may demand or expediency suggest.

The regalities of St. Peter may, however, be demanded to dilate or contract, as circumstances may demand or expediency suggest.

The regalities of St. Peter may, however, be demanded to dilate or contract, as circumstances may demand or expediency suggest.

After partaking of some refreshment with our host, we were informed that certain individuals were wishing to come in and speak with us...

Each stated the manner in which he had been converted, and in two out of three had been converted with deep conviction of sin...

On the following day we went, accompanied by our host, to Port Kettle which is about a mile and a half from Colleen.

This was found to be a fishing village with all the usual accompaniments, and the only place of worship in the parish was connected with the Free Church...

The schoolmaster of the place and the Female Teacher were among the first affected, in connection with the efforts of Mr. T. and having themselves found the Jesuit at home...

The work is dedicated to Sir Edmund Head. We find it containing six chapters, devoted to: Introduction—Character and Authority of Hebrew Cosmogony—Views of Nature contained in the Hebrew Scriptures—The Beginning—The Void—Light—Days of Creation—The Atmosphere—The Dry Land—The First Vegetation—Luminaries—The Lower Animals—The Higher Animals—Man—The Rest of the Creation—Unity, etc., of Man—Conclusion.

The introduction is beautifully written; the style is as chaste and fascinating as that of Goldsmith or MacClay; it opens an apology for his exploration of subjects which to many would be "found new and startling."

In the second chapter the author deals with the question, How was the order of the creation revealed? and, with Kurz and Miller, he regards "a series of prophetic visions" as "beyond question the most simple solution of the origin of the document."

Book of Genesis. The third chapter is still introductory and designed to induce a favorable opinion in the reader's mind regarding the character of the Mosiac narrative.

The fourth chapter is entitled "The Beginning." It is here the author originates that logical chain of cause and effect which he has followed throughout the work.

That true religion and sound philosophy unite in proving the "productions of non-existence of the material universe by the eternal, self-existent God," is admirably demonstrated on the soundest principles of philosophical interpretation.

The author's theory of the creation is Elohim, or God in his general respect to Nature, and not in that special respect to the Jewish cosmogony indicated by the name Jahveh.

We need not enter into the doubtful etymology of the word, but may say that the author's theory is supported by many particulars in the text, which give it the meaning of "object of adoration," or what is preferred by Genesis, which makes it mean the "Strong or Mighty One."

Its plural form has also greatly tried the ingenuity of commentators. After carefully considering the various hypotheses, we are of opinion that the author's theory is such as that of the plural of Majesty, and which our Saviour and his apostles specialized in that Trinitarian doctrine which enables both John and Paul explicitly to ascribe the agency of the second person of the Trinity in the creative work.

I rather wonder at the squeamishness which induced even Calvin to make light of this manifest correspondence between Moses and the Apostles. p. 70.

In chapter five we have a clear critical analysis of the second verse of Genesis. The different theories held on this point of the Bible are discussed, and the conclusion arrived at is thus expressed:—"At a far distant time, Elohim, the true God, created the materials of the heavens and the earth. After its creation, the earth was still without organized inhabitants. It was covered with dense vapor, and destitute of solid ground; but processes preparatory to its being inhabited were in progress."

The sixth chapter treats of that celebrated passage which constitutes one of the most sublime in the Bible. Let there be light! This it was which inspired John Milton when he wrote the apostrophe:—"Hail bright light offering, &c."

And assuredly Pope had this line in his mind when he wrote his memorable couplet on Sir Isaac Newton:—"Suns and Saturn's laws lay hid in night; God said, Let Newton be, and all was light."

But Mr. Dawson has seen more than poetry in these words; he is astonished that the philosophical propriety of a term ("light," including all the imponderables, light, heat, electricity, does not commit the sacred writer to the idea of light being that which the sun—an idea which, however true, might be worked into a false cosmogony, would be totally incongruous with the evidence afforded by Geology in forming a true one.)

The author discusses very fully the probability of the theory that the sun's light is not a monopoly possessed by that luminary, but that planets and satellites contain in small degree the elements of that primeval light which God pronounced "very good."

At a further stage of our history, the sun of earth may become the luminary of a cloud which shall constitute our planet in the firmament, inferior in bulk but equal in brilliancy to the central orb himself. Let me say, however, that Mr. Dawson has not permitted himself to run into idle speculations when dealing with earth's future—his conjectures are all based on probability.

The "Days of Creation" form the subject treated of in the following chapter. To his point all the lines of controversy converge, and on this part of the work our author has put forth his strength. Acknowledging his obligation to writers of both schools—viz: the day period, advocates and the other, who refer to the "longer" period, he rather directs his view to the record itself. In this he has done well. It is by the plain grammatical construction of the sacred text itself that the author has shown that the "days" are ultimately decided; and this, and shapes his course accordingly. We need not be kept long in suspense as to the conclusion arrived at; Mr. Dawson believes that the day of creation is not the day of popular speech. Nay, more, that by a severe precision of studied diction he has guarded against any loose interpretation of this part of the sacred record.

The work reflects credit upon two Nova Scotians, both bearing the name of Dawson, though unconnected, we believe, with each other by the closer ties of consanguinity. The intellect of the one has produced a volume of no ordinary interest and of a very high degree of merit, and the enterprise of the other has placed it before the world. It is published in Canada, Principal Dawson explains, "the writer desires to contribute his mite to the growing literature of British America, and has found in Montreal a house sufficiently enterprising to undertake the risk of publication."

The work will, we think, be universally regarded by Christian men as a noble contribution towards the reconciliation of geology with the Bible; a service greatly demanded in this day, when some of the profoundest students of science, followed by a host of shallow pretenders, strive with satanic persistence to present the facts of the one in conflict with the statements of the other.

Before this volume came to our hands, we had received a full and elaborate estimate of its merits from a frequent correspondent, who has himself been no inattentive student of both Science and Scripture. We have considered the whole review too lengthy for our columns, but we shall take the liberty now of extracting that portion which is descriptive of the contents of the work before us, in the hope that the summary of our correspondent may induce many of our readers to seek a personal acquaintance with the pages of "Archæia."

The work is dedicated to Sir Edmund Head. We find it containing six chapters, devoted to: Introduction—Character and Authority of Hebrew Cosmogony—Views of Nature contained in the Hebrew Scriptures—The Beginning—The Void—Light—Days of Creation—The Atmosphere—The Dry Land—The First Vegetation—Luminaries—The Lower Animals—The Higher Animals—Man—The Rest of the Creation—Unity, etc., of Man—Conclusion.

The introduction is beautifully written; the style is as chaste and fascinating as that of Goldsmith or MacClay; it opens an apology for his exploration of subjects which to many would be "found new and startling."

In the second chapter the author deals with the question, How was the order of the creation revealed? and, with Kurz and Miller, he regards "a series of prophetic visions" as "beyond question the most simple solution of the origin of the document."

Book of Genesis. The third chapter is still introductory and designed to induce a favorable opinion in the reader's mind regarding the character of the Mosiac narrative.

The fourth chapter is entitled "The Beginning." It is here the author originates that logical chain of cause and effect which he has followed throughout the work.

After partaking of some refreshment with our host, we were informed that certain individuals were wishing to come in and speak with us...

Each stated the manner in which he had been converted, and in two out of three had been converted with deep conviction of sin...

On the following day we went, accompanied by our host, to Port Kettle which is about a mile and a half from Colleen.

This was found to be a fishing village with all the usual accompaniments, and the only place of worship in the parish was connected with the Free Church...

The schoolmaster of the place and the Female Teacher were among the first affected, in connection with the efforts of Mr. T. and having themselves found the Jesuit at home...

The work is dedicated to Sir Edmund Head. We find it containing six chapters, devoted to: Introduction—Character and Authority of Hebrew Cosmogony—Views of Nature contained in the Hebrew Scriptures—The Beginning—The Void—Light—Days of Creation—The Atmosphere—The Dry Land—The First Vegetation—Luminaries—The Lower Animals—The Higher Animals—Man—The Rest of the Creation—Unity, etc., of Man—Conclusion.

The introduction is beautifully written; the style is as chaste and fascinating as that of Goldsmith or MacClay; it opens an apology for his exploration of subjects which to many would be "found new and startling."

In the second chapter the author deals with the question, How was the order of the creation revealed? and, with Kurz and Miller, he regards "a series of prophetic visions" as "beyond question the most simple solution of the origin of the document."

Book of Genesis. The third chapter is still introductory and designed to induce a favorable opinion in the reader's mind regarding the character of the Mosiac narrative.

The fourth chapter is entitled "The Beginning." It is here the author originates that logical chain of cause and effect which he has followed throughout the work.

That true religion and sound philosophy unite in proving the "productions of non-existence of the material universe by the eternal, self-existent God," is admirably demonstrated on the soundest principles of philosophical interpretation.

The author's theory of the creation is Elohim, or God in his general respect to Nature, and not in that special respect to the Jewish cosmogony indicated by the name Jahveh.

We need not enter into the doubtful etymology of the word, but may say that the author's theory is supported by many particulars in the text, which give it the meaning of "object of adoration," or what is preferred by Genesis, which makes it mean the "Strong or Mighty One."

Its plural form has also greatly tried the ingenuity of commentators. After carefully considering the various hypotheses, we are of opinion that the author's theory is such as that of the plural of Majesty, and which our Saviour and his apostles specialized in that Trinitarian doctrine which enables both John and Paul explicitly to ascribe the agency of the second person of the Trinity in the creative work.

I rather wonder at the squeamishness which induced even Calvin to make light of this manifest correspondence between Moses and the Apostles. p. 70.

In chapter five we have a clear critical analysis of the second verse of Genesis. The different theories held on this point of the Bible are discussed, and the conclusion arrived at is thus expressed:—"At a far distant time, Elohim, the true God, created the materials of the heavens and the earth. After its creation, the earth was still without organized inhabitants. It was covered with dense vapor, and destitute of solid ground; but processes preparatory to its being inhabited were in progress."

The sixth chapter treats of that celebrated passage which constitutes one of the most sublime in the Bible. Let there be light! This it was which inspired John Milton when he wrote the apostrophe:—"Hail bright light offering, &c."

And assuredly Pope had this line in his mind when he wrote his memorable couplet on Sir Isaac Newton:—"Suns and Saturn's laws lay hid in night; God said, Let Newton be, and all was light."

But Mr. Dawson has seen more than poetry in these words; he is astonished that the philosophical propriety of a term ("light," including all the imponderables, light, heat, electricity, does not commit the sacred writer to the idea of light being that which the sun—an idea which, however true, might be worked into a false cosmogony, would be totally incongruous with the evidence afforded by Geology in forming a true one.)

The author discusses very fully the probability of the theory that the sun's light is not a monopoly possessed by that luminary, but that planets and satellites contain in small degree the elements of that primeval light which God pronounced "very good."

At a further stage of our history, the sun of earth may become the luminary of a cloud which shall constitute our planet in the firmament, inferior in bulk but equal in brilliancy to the central orb himself. Let me say, however, that Mr. Dawson has not permitted himself to run into idle speculations when dealing with earth's future—his conjectures are all based on probability.

The "Days of Creation" form the subject treated of in the following chapter. To his point all the lines of controversy converge, and on this part of the work our author has put forth his strength. Acknowledging his obligation to writers of both schools—viz: the day period, advocates and the other, who refer to the "longer" period, he rather directs his view to the record itself. In this he has done well. It is by the plain grammatical construction of the sacred text itself that the author has shown that the "days" are ultimately decided; and this, and shapes his course accordingly. We need not be kept long in suspense as to the conclusion arrived at; Mr. Dawson believes that the day of creation is not the day of popular speech. Nay, more, that by a severe precision of studied diction he has guarded against any loose interpretation of this part of the sacred record.

The work reflects credit upon two Nova Scotians, both bearing the name of Dawson, though unconnected, we believe, with each other by the closer ties of consanguinity. The intellect of the one has produced a volume of no ordinary interest and of a very high degree of merit, and the enterprise of the other has placed it before the world. It is published in Canada, Principal Dawson explains, "the writer desires to contribute his mite to the growing literature of British America, and has found in Montreal a house sufficiently enterprising to undertake the risk of publication."

The work will, we think, be universally regarded by Christian men as a noble contribution towards the reconciliation of geology with the Bible; a service greatly demanded in this day, when some of the profoundest students of science, followed by a host of shallow pretenders, strive with satanic persistence to present the facts of the one in conflict with the statements of the other.

Before this volume came to our hands, we had received a full and elaborate estimate of its merits from a frequent correspondent, who has himself been no inattentive student of both Science and Scripture. We have considered the whole review too lengthy for our columns, but we shall take the liberty now of extracting that portion which is descriptive of the contents of the work before us, in the hope that the summary of our correspondent may induce many of our readers to seek a personal acquaintance with the pages of "Archæia."

The work is dedicated to Sir Edmund Head. We find it containing six chapters, devoted to: Introduction—Character and Authority of Hebrew Cosmogony—Views of Nature contained in the Hebrew Scriptures—The Beginning—The Void—Light—Days of Creation—The Atmosphere—The Dry Land—The First Vegetation—Luminaries—The Lower Animals—The Higher Animals—Man—The Rest of the Creation—Unity, etc., of Man—Conclusion.

The introduction is beautifully written; the style is as chaste and fascinating as that of Goldsmith or MacClay; it opens an apology for his exploration of subjects which to many would be "found new and startling."

In the second chapter the author deals with the question, How was the order of the creation revealed? and, with Kurz and Miller, he regards "a series of prophetic visions" as "beyond question the most simple solution of the origin of the document."

Book of Genesis. The third chapter is still introductory and designed to induce a favorable opinion in the reader's mind regarding the character of the Mosiac narrative.

The fourth chapter is entitled "The Beginning." It is here the author originates that logical chain of cause and effect which he has followed throughout the work.

After partaking of some refreshment with our host, we were informed that certain individuals were wishing to come in and speak with us...

Each stated the manner in which he had been converted, and in two out of three had been converted with deep conviction of sin...

On the following day we went, accompanied by our host, to Port Kettle which is about a mile and a half from Colleen.

This was found to be a fishing village with all the usual accompaniments, and the only place of worship in the parish was connected with the Free Church...

The schoolmaster of the place and the Female Teacher were among the first affected, in connection with the efforts of Mr. T. and having themselves found the Jesuit at home...

The work is dedicated to Sir Edmund Head. We find it containing six chapters, devoted to: Introduction—Character and Authority of Hebrew Cosmogony—Views of Nature contained in the Hebrew Scriptures—The Beginning—The Void—Light—Days of Creation—The Atmosphere—The Dry Land—The First Vegetation—Luminaries—The Lower Animals—The Higher Animals—Man—The Rest of the Creation—Unity, etc., of Man—Conclusion.

The introduction is beautifully written; the style is as chaste and fascinating as that of Goldsmith or MacClay; it opens an apology for his exploration of subjects which to many would be "found new and startling."

In the second chapter the author deals with the question, How was the order of the creation revealed? and, with Kurz and Miller, he regards "a series of prophetic visions" as "beyond question the most simple solution of the origin of the document."

Book of Genesis. The third chapter is still introductory and designed to induce a favorable opinion in the reader's mind regarding the character of the Mosiac narrative.

The fourth chapter is entitled "The Beginning." It is here the author originates that logical chain of cause and effect which he has followed throughout the work.

That true religion and sound philosophy unite in proving the "productions of non-existence of the material universe by the eternal, self-existent God," is admirably demonstrated on the soundest principles of philosophical interpretation.

The author's theory of the creation is Elohim, or God in his general respect to Nature, and not in that special respect to the Jewish cosmogony indicated by the name Jahveh.

We need not enter into the doubtful etymology of the word, but may say that the author's theory is supported by many particulars in the text, which give it the meaning of "object of adoration," or what is preferred by Genesis, which makes it mean the "Strong or Mighty One."

Its plural form has also greatly tried the ingenuity of commentators. After carefully considering the various hypotheses, we are of opinion that the author's theory is such as that of the plural of Majesty, and which our Saviour and his apostles specialized in that Trinitarian doctrine which enables both John and Paul explicitly to ascribe the agency of the second person of the Trinity in the creative work.

I rather wonder at the squeamishness which induced even Calvin to make light of this manifest correspondence between Moses and the Apostles. p. 70.

In chapter five we have a clear critical analysis of the second verse of Genesis. The different theories held on this point of the Bible are discussed, and the conclusion arrived at is thus expressed:—"At a far distant time, Elohim, the true God, created the materials of the heavens and the earth. After its creation, the earth was still without organized inhabitants. It was covered with dense vapor, and destitute of solid ground; but processes preparatory to its being inhabited were in progress."

The sixth chapter treats of that celebrated passage which constitutes one of the most sublime in the Bible. Let there be light! This it was which inspired John Milton when he wrote the apostrophe:—"Hail bright light offering, &c."

And assuredly Pope had this line in his mind when he wrote his memorable couplet on Sir Isaac Newton:—"Suns and Saturn's laws lay hid in night; God said, Let Newton be, and all was light."

But Mr. Dawson has seen more than poetry in these words; he is astonished that the philosophical propriety of a term ("light," including all the imponderables, light, heat, electricity, does not commit the sacred writer to the idea of light being that which the sun—an idea which, however true, might be worked into a false cosmogony, would be totally incongruous with the evidence afforded by Geology in forming a true one.)

The author discusses very fully the probability of the theory that the sun's light is not a monopoly possessed by that luminary, but that planets and satellites contain in small degree the elements of that primeval light which God pronounced "very good."

At a further stage of our history, the sun of earth may become the luminary of a cloud which shall constitute our planet in the firmament, inferior in bulk but equal in brilliancy to the central orb himself. Let me say, however, that Mr. Dawson has not permitted himself to run into idle speculations when dealing with earth's future—his conjectures are all based on probability.

The "Days of Creation" form the subject treated of in the following chapter. To his point all the lines of controversy converge, and on this part of the work our author has put forth his strength. Acknowledging his obligation to writers of both schools—viz: the day period, advocates and the other, who refer to the "longer" period, he rather directs his view to the record itself. In this he has done well. It is by the plain grammatical construction of the sacred text itself that the author has shown that the "days" are ultimately decided; and this, and shapes his course accordingly. We need not be kept long in suspense as to the conclusion arrived at; Mr. Dawson believes that the day of creation is not the day of popular speech. Nay, more, that by a severe precision of studied diction he has guarded against any loose interpretation of this part of the sacred record.

The work reflects credit upon two Nova Scotians, both bearing the name of Dawson, though unconnected, we believe, with each other by the closer ties of consanguinity. The intellect of the one has produced a volume of no ordinary interest and of a very high degree of merit, and the enterprise of the other has placed it before the world. It is published in Canada, Principal Dawson explains, "the writer desires to contribute his mite to the growing literature of British America, and has found in Montreal a house sufficiently enterprising to undertake the risk of publication."

The work will, we think, be universally regarded by Christian men as a noble contribution towards the reconciliation of geology with the Bible; a service greatly demanded in this day, when some of the profoundest students of science, followed by a host of shallow pretenders, strive with satanic persistence to present the facts of the one in conflict with the statements of the other.

Before this volume came to our hands, we had received a full and elaborate estimate of its merits from a frequent correspondent, who has himself been no inattentive student of both Science and Scripture. We have considered the whole review too lengthy for our columns, but we shall take the liberty now of extracting that portion which is descriptive of the contents of the work before us, in the hope that the summary of our correspondent may induce many of our readers to seek a personal acquaintance with the pages of "Archæia."

The work is dedicated to Sir Edmund Head. We find it containing six chapters, devoted to: Introduction—Character and Authority of Hebrew Cosmogony—Views of Nature contained in the Hebrew Scriptures—The Beginning—The Void—Light—Days of Creation—The Atmosphere—The Dry Land—The First Vegetation—Luminaries—The Lower Animals—The Higher Animals—Man—The Rest of the Creation—Unity, etc., of Man—Conclusion.

The introduction is beautifully written; the style is as chaste and fascinating as that of Goldsmith or MacClay; it opens an apology for his exploration of subjects which to many would be "found new and startling."

In the second chapter the author deals with the question, How was the order of the creation revealed? and, with Kurz and Miller, he regards "a series of prophetic visions" as "beyond question the most simple solution of the origin of the document."

Book of Genesis. The third chapter is still introductory and designed to induce a favorable opinion in the reader's mind regarding the character of the Mosiac narrative.

The fourth chapter is entitled "The Beginning." It is here the author originates that logical chain of cause and effect which he has followed throughout the work.

After partaking of some refreshment with our host, we were informed that certain individuals were wishing to come in and speak with us...

Each stated the manner in which he had been converted, and in two out of three had been converted with deep conviction of sin...

On the following day we went, accompanied by our host, to Port Kettle which is about a mile and a half from Colleen.

This was found to be a fishing village with all the usual accompaniments, and the only place of worship in the parish was connected with the Free Church...

The schoolmaster of the place and the Female Teacher were among the first affected, in connection with the efforts of Mr. T. and having themselves found the Jesuit at home...

The work is dedicated to Sir Edmund Head. We find it containing six chapters, devoted to: Introduction—Character and Authority of Hebrew Cosmogony—Views of Nature contained in the Hebrew Scriptures—The Beginning—The Void—Light—Days of Creation—The Atmosphere—The Dry Land—The First Vegetation—Luminaries—The Lower Animals—The Higher Animals—Man—The Rest of the Creation—Unity, etc., of Man—Conclusion.

The introduction is beautifully written; the style is as chaste and fascinating as that of Goldsmith or MacClay; it opens an apology for his exploration of subjects which to many would be "found new and startling."

In the second chapter the author deals with the question, How was the order of the creation revealed? and, with Kurz and Miller, he regards "a series of prophetic visions" as "beyond question the most simple solution of the origin of the document."

Book of Genesis. The third chapter is still introductory and designed to induce a favorable opinion in the reader's mind regarding the character of the Mosiac narrative.

The fourth chapter is entitled "The Beginning." It is here the author originates that logical chain of cause and effect which he has followed throughout the work.

That true religion and sound philosophy unite in proving the "productions of non-existence of the material universe by the eternal, self-existent God," is admirably demonstrated on the soundest principles of philosophical interpretation.

The author's theory of the creation is Elohim, or God in his general respect to Nature, and not in that special respect to the Jewish cosmogony indicated by the name Jahveh.

We need not enter into the doubtful etymology of the word, but may say that the author's theory is supported by many particulars in the text, which give it the meaning of "object of adoration," or what is preferred by Genesis, which makes it mean the "Strong or Mighty One."

Its plural form has also greatly tried the ingenuity of commentators. After carefully considering the various hypotheses, we are of opinion that the author's theory is such as that of the plural of Majesty, and which our Saviour and his apostles specialized in that Trinitarian doctrine which enables both John and Paul explicitly to ascribe the agency of the second person of the Trinity in the creative work.

I rather wonder at the squeamishness which induced even Calvin to make light of this manifest correspondence between Moses and the Apostles. p. 70.

In chapter five we have a clear critical analysis of the second verse of Genesis. The different theories held on this point of the Bible are discussed, and the conclusion arrived at is thus expressed:—"At a far distant time, Elohim, the true God, created the materials of the heavens and the earth. After its creation, the earth was still without organized inhabitants. It was covered with dense vapor, and destitute of solid ground; but processes preparatory to its being inhabited were in progress."

The sixth chapter treats of that celebrated passage which constitutes one of the most sublime in the Bible. Let there be light! This it was which inspired John Milton when he wrote the apostrophe:—"Hail bright light offering, &c."

And assuredly Pope had this line in his mind when he wrote his memorable couplet on Sir Isaac Newton:—"Suns and Saturn's laws lay hid in night; God said, Let Newton be, and all was light."

But Mr. Dawson has seen more than poetry in these words; he is astonished that the philosophical propriety of a term ("light," including all the imponderables, light, heat, electricity, does not commit the sacred writer to the idea of light being that which the sun—an idea which, however true, might be worked into a false cosmogony, would be totally incongruous with the evidence afforded by Geology in forming a true one.)

The author discusses very fully the probability of the theory that the sun's light is not a monopoly possessed by that luminary, but that planets and satellites contain in small degree the elements of that primeval light which God pronounced "very good."

At a further stage of our history, the sun of earth may become the luminary of a cloud which shall constitute our planet in the firmament, inferior in bulk but equal in brilliancy to the central orb himself. Let me say, however, that Mr. Dawson has not permitted himself to run into idle speculations when dealing with earth's future—his conjectures are all based on probability.

The "Days of Creation" form the subject treated of in the following chapter. To his point all the lines of controversy converge, and on this part of the work our author has put forth his strength. Acknowledging his obligation to writers of both schools—viz: the day period, advocates and the other, who refer to the "longer" period, he rather directs his view to the record itself. In this he has done well. It is by the plain grammatical construction of the sacred text itself that the author has shown that the "days" are ultimately decided; and this, and shapes his course accordingly. We need not be kept long in suspense as to the conclusion arrived at; Mr. Dawson believes that the day of creation is not the day of popular speech. Nay, more, that by a severe precision of studied diction he has guarded against any loose interpretation of this part of the sacred record.

The work reflects credit upon two Nova Scotians, both bearing the name of Dawson, though unconnected, we believe, with each other by the closer ties of consanguinity. The intellect of the one has produced a volume of no ordinary interest and of a very high degree of merit, and the enterprise of the other has placed it before the world. It is published in Canada, Principal Dawson explains, "the writer desires to contribute his mite to the growing literature of British America, and has found in Montreal a house sufficiently enterprising to undertake the risk of publication."

The work will, we think, be universally regarded by Christian men as a noble contribution towards the reconciliation of geology with the Bible; a service greatly demanded in this day, when some of the profoundest students of science, followed by a host of shallow pretenders, strive with satanic persistence to present the facts of the one in conflict with the statements of the other.

Before this volume came to our hands, we had received a full and elaborate estimate of its merits from a frequent correspondent, who has himself been no inattentive student of both Science and Scripture. We have considered the whole review too lengthy for our columns, but we shall take the liberty now of extracting that portion which is descriptive of the contents of the work before us, in the hope that the summary of our correspondent may induce many of our readers to seek a personal acquaintance with the pages of "Archæia."

The work is dedicated to Sir Edmund