pos

ur,

)pé où

se-

on,

les

les

ice

le-

de

nt ne

n-

u-

la la

la

6

COURT OF APPEAL.

Broker. — Sale on margins. — Mining stock. — Delivery. — Gaming transactions

MONTREAL, - 29 December, 1911.

Archambault, C. J., Trenholme, Lavergne, Carroll, Gervais, JJ.

ALFRED BENNETT vs ALBERT J. ESTES.

Held.—10. That the sale of mining stocks on margin, in the expectation of a rise in the market price of that security, when there is no understanding between the broker and his client that the certificate will not be deliver d., is not a gaming transaction which the law declared null.

20. That the sale in this case was perfect although the respondent, who was a broker and carried on mining operations on the Exchange, instead of buying for appellant shares on the Exchange sold him a certain number of shares which belonged to him.

30. That the non-payment of the tax imposed on transfer of actions by the R. S. Q. articles 1360 and 1631 does not render the transactions invalid.

R. S. .Q articles 1360, 1361, 1363, 1366.

The plaintiff, respondent, avers that on November 5th, 1909, the defendant purchased from plaintiff 4,000 shares of Union Pacific Cobalt Mines, Limited stock, at 21½ cents per share, payable 20 per cent. at the time of purchase, and the balance within thirty days; that on the total price of \$860.00, defendant received \$172.00, to wit, 20 per cent. thereof; that on December 13th, plaintiff tendered a certificate for said 4,000 shares and demanded