

APPENDIX III—continued.

Prof. G. Thompson : 64 years as in the army.

Prof. Stockton : Yes, 60 years.

Prof. L. F. Barker : Yes. Am not prepared to suggest a limit. The army and navy limit might be considered.

Prof. Geo. Dock : An age limit not necessary if proper selection is made in the beginning and competition provided for a well-graded staff.

Prof. Osler : Yes, 60 years.

Prof. Byrom Bramwell : Yes, 65 years.

Prof. Stewart : Probably desirable—about 65.

Prof. Allbutt : Yes, I think for surgeons 60 ; physicians might well go on to 65 if this was not found to check promotion unduly.

Prof. Bradford : Both an age and service limit are desirable. Probably 60 to 65 is a suitable age limit, and 20 years service in charge of wards.

Prof. Mott : Yes, 60 years.

10. Should Dermatology and Neurology be in separate departments, or in connection with general medicine ?

Prof. Fitz : Yes ; the former.

Prof. G. Thompson : No.

Prof. Stockton : In connection therewith.

Prof. L. F. Barker : These should be sub-departments of the department of medicine, and under the general supervision of the latter, though men should be chosen to head these sub-departments who could with safety be given a free hand.

Prof. George Dock : Should be under general medicine unless the former services are very large and can command the appointment of men of eminence in their respective lines.

Prof. Osler : Separate.

Prof. Byrom Bramwell : Dermatology separate ; neurology a part of general medicine.

Prof. Stewart : It may be wise to have dermatology separate, but neurology should be in connection with general medicine.

Prof. Allbutt : Neurology is a part of general medicine ; it should no more have a separate department than heart diseases or any other section of general medicine. The skin and the eye stand on another footing, for