against Western-oriented modernizers.

A brief analysis of how negotiations developed in Bogota may serve to illustrate how important such 'institutional' factors can be for foreign ministry crisis managers coping with diplomatic hostage-taking by terrorists.

Who are the M-19 and how did they manage, as a local guerrilla group, to achieve world-wide attention and partial realization of their objectives? Although the group first came to public attention as recently as 1974, the Colombian guerrilla movement from which it springs was about the earliest to emerge in Latin America and grew out of conditions peculiar to Colombia.

In many ways Colombia is a microcosm of all Latin America and combines its varied social, political, economic, racial and geographical peculiarities. Lying athwart the Panamanian isthmus, it stands at the crossroads of Central and South America. It has a Pacific as well as an Atlantic and Caribbean coastline and orientation. It is ribbed not by one but by three Andean cordilleras separated by tropical jungle, rain forest, great plains and high plateaus, which force its 27 million inhabitants to combat some of the most difficult physiography in the Western Hemisphere; as late as the 1920s it took a Colombian foreign minister 12 to 18 days by horse, carriage, paddle-wheeler, rail and barge to reach the Atlantic coast port of Baranquilla to set sail for New York or Europe. The population comprises whites, Indians, blacks, mulattos and mestizos who, alongside much primitive agriculture, have developed some of the largest-scale industry and the finest coffee cultivation in South America. While the oligarchical upper class is as sophisticated and urbane as any in Latin America, it is more cultivated than most; it is for good reason that Bogota has been known as the 'Athens' of South America. There is a mass of impoverished and illiterate peasantry and the per capita income barely reaches mid-way on the low Latin American scale. The small middle class is diffused in numerous medium-sized cities and the capital does not dominate the nation-more like Italy and Germany than Britain or France.

Democratic system

What distinguishes Colombia from the other South American countries is that it has managed remarkably to maintain a democratic system of government for virtually all of this century, although its political life has been dominated by the traditional Liberal and Conservative parties and the general socio-political process is not as entirely unblemished as the oligarchy and the government would like to believe; they would not always find the reports of Amnesty International and the International Press Institute entirely to their liking.

only military regime during this The

century—and it was not a very harsh one—lasted from acron 1953 to 1957. Its vaguely populist leader, General Guerhe tavo Rojas Pinilla, was no old fashioned caudillo blas osting his way to power by a military coup. As head of the mublic armed forces, he was invited by the Liberal and Contract servative leadership to take power as v cor alternative-or third force-to quell La Violencia, a Boliva insensate, internecine and fratricidal civil war. L la, ar Violencia, which left tens of thousands of casualtie weeks was not a class struggle pitting impoverished peasar Munic against absentee landlord but a war between Liber hers O and Conservative villages and peasants, and its cause dynamics have continued to fascinate political scient Hood tists and sociologists to this day. It abated slowly afte ban to 1958, when the warring Liberal and Conservativ nsur leaders, fearing total disintegration of their country erpar again came together-this time to remove the General one oi who wanted a second, unelected term. They re sharp instituted elections and a democratic regime through bublic unique 20-year power-sharing experiment just ended to that

One by-product of that era was an extraordinar next t rise in petty, and not-so-petty crime, especially kidnap bian ping by criminals for ransom, which has remained en electri demic in Colombia. Another legacy of La Violencia warmemb the birth of three native guerrilla groups, who were a larg forerunners of the better-known Tupamaros in Uru the ol guay, Montoneros in Argentina and other violence happe prone Latin American activists. The Revolutionar za's re Armed Forces of Colombia (FARC), Moscow-oriented Texac and with ties to the local Communist Party, is based in month the remote mountainous areas of south eastern Colom earlie bia, occasionally displaying strength in villages un ism w comfortably close to the capital. The National Libera for the tion Army (ELN), Castro-oriented, operates in the h jungle and mountains of the 'Medio Magdalena'autho about half way down the Magdalena River, the S M-19-Unite Lawrence of Colombia. The People's Liberation Army (EPL) is vaguely Maoist, and surfaces fitfully in the After mountains of the state of Antioquia in the northwest. forme

Still another legacy was the creation by Gener Rojas Pinilla of a vaguely populist party, Anapo, as vehicle for a political comeback after his 1958 oust from the presidency, and it was welded by his redou table daughter, Maria Eugenia, into a potent cha lenger to the Liberal and Conservative power-sharin monopoly. The General nearly won the 1970 presiden tial election but Maria Eugenia, who in the 1974 elec tion became the first woman to seek the presidency any Latin American country, did not fare well at th polls. The party began to decline, but both the Genera and his daughter denounced the use of force to achiev power.

Origins of M-19

M-19 was formed as a clandestine military wing of gime Anapo by some left-of-centre academic activists, ex mini army officers and middle class professionals; their The

he a seizin kas. 7 fensiv whick arms suspe lectu N.Y tenti tribu tions ture rebel of M

that

B