luation: do students have the right?

les, or rambles along in a 'hat's the point of going to what's being said? absent-minded professor

eparedness.
ar to have lecture material
he wants to say. Uncertain
I in the previous lecture, he
r skips large bodies of
in Walt Disney movies, but

at the level indicated in the ery Made Easy 130 requires and Indonesian History, but requisites have no difficulties Physics Made Easy 450 ebra, but by the end of the ar Physics Made Easy 350

suitable prerequisite too hard or too easy; or is ral sections of the same it provides an appearance or substitute for adequate ork.

incompetent in the subject s been known to happen. He e's talking about. reflect the concerns of the

dity which the student is wants to get the most for his ly a reasonable request, he extremely high cost of year's tuition is now about as od used car. While it seems y will be hauled up before the , many students consider a way to make sure that is pitch.

role of course unions in f education is based on the evaluations usually take the anded out to students at the se, asking for answers to course was organized and asked on course evaluation u knew last September what urse now, would you have ould you rate this lecturer's his material?"; "Were the is course too easy or too are then compiled and s will have some idea of what course. course evaluation is reported

ourse evaluation is reported, reflecting the breakdown of answer to the question "How as an aid to understanding the ourse?", 26.2 percent of the se said "very helpful", 13.2 lpful", no one said "useless", confusing".

doubt that what one student useless" to another student with widely differing aims, so that the appearance of the doubt statistical course ling one. Most course unions culty, and use the statistics as ubjective and interpretive ar course by one student. epancies among students' rs occur when a professor's

s is taken into account. The

fessor speaks loudly enough

er; but by contrast, what one more on the part of a professor nulating intellectual style by answers to questions on essor's willingness to answer alternate points of view on a ne student's own conception of a A student who feels students dren will react differently than are to be treated as adults.

es are difficult to pin down s. Few are as forthright about aching as the senior professor eting of an upper-year seminar dents why they shouldn't take ous student reported, "He was very alienating. He said he hadn't done any reading in the subject area for the past three years. I've done lots of reading he hadn't done. He made it very clear he was much more interested in departmental

One professor who got very poor ratings on course evaluations, year after year pinned them to his door underlining the most damning comments with a kind of perverse pride.

"Most specialists in the subject have to take courses from him whether they want to or not, and he knows it," a student involved in the course union said.

But more common than outright contempt for undergraduates is indifference to teaching. One student complained that, although she was in a small

decisions which have, in effect, already been made.

If this view is accepted, students interested in improving the quality of teaching are best advised to learn techniques of corridor manipulation themselves;

improving the quality of teaching are best advised to learn techniques of corridor manipulation themselves; to refrain from "alienating" professors by making "unreasonable" demands; and to forget about student representation on "boring" committees which don't have any real power anyway.

Such a position, is in effect an admission that students will not achieve significant influence on the decision-making process. But influence based on lobbying is no influence at all. Any success such an approach may have is only on the professors' sufferance; there is no guarantee that the student position will be taken into account.

One such decision-making body is the tenure committee, the body which decides who is to get permanent appointments and who is not. If students gain representation on these committees, their concerns about teaching quality cannot be ignored as they can be under the present system, and they can be under even the most sophisticated lobbying system.

Finally, it must be realized that the phrase "quality of teaching" has a broader application than the consumer's rights objections indicate. That is, students are concerned not only with how well certain specified objectives are carried out in the classroom, but also with what objectives are to be pursued. Students have a stake in the content of their education, as well as in its style

Only students can define for themselves what they hope to get out of a university education. But they should be encouraged to make a positive decision and not passively accept decisions made for them by

Students have no interests more immediate than those relating to the quality of their education. Student representation on tenure committees will ensure that these interests are adequately defended.

SRC sends protest to senate

Course evaluation is an issue now under consideration at the University of New Brunswick.

The UNB senate formed a committee in the late spring of 1974 which began work in the fall. It is

spring of 1974 which began work in the fall. It is comprised of three faculty--Peter Kepros, D.G. MacNeil and Marian Weinstein--and three students including Heather Conners, John Malcolm and chairman Mike Mepham.

Its work was termed a student course opinion survey since it was not considered an evaluation by experts.

The senate Jan. 11 declared if professors refused to have opinions expressed about their courses, this

The senate Jan. 11 declared if professors refused to have opinions expressed about their courses, this should not be recorded in the publication of the results since it would represent coercion.

The former president of the UNB Students'

Representative Council, Peter Galoska, Feb. 12 sent a seminar course, it was not until halfway through the

year that the teacher became aware of the students'

Traditionally, professors have seen themselves as

"scholars" rather than "teachers". The main thrust of

the present student campaign for parity representation

on tenure committees is to ensure that this imbalance

is corrected. Paradoxically, sometimes the professors

who have succeeded in mechanizing their courses to

the greatest extent are evaluated most highly. This

occurs when it is the aim of both student and teacher to

have the course proceed above all as painlessly as

Thus the evaluation of a professor's attitude depends

on the student's reasons for being at university. For the

student who is solely interested in getting good marks

on tests, a course may seem well-organized; while a student who has broader interests will find it dull.

Attitudes are not so easily modified as marking

schemes. A particular manifestation of an attitude

may be eliminated, but the attitude remains, to be

expressed in different ways. Yet some student

organizations feel lobbying tactics will be most

successful in increasing professors' concern with

This approach is based on a frankly cynical view of

the possiblities for democratic decision-making within

the university (and ultimately, in any institution). Real

decisions are made, according to one course union

executive, through "the door-to-door network";

corridor manipulation is the rule, and the university's

formal governing structures serve merely to legitimize

teaching quality at the university.

letter to the senate—through university secretary D.C. Blue-protesting that no warning had been given students that such a motion would be considered.

Despite the fact that the UNB students' union was financing half the cost of the survey's publication, the SRC had received no warning and the issue, the letter said, had been buried in the senate agenda under the nebulous section titled "other" in "Business Arising from the Minutes."

SRC president Warren McKenzie Monday described the letter as "one of the most strongly worded letters" sent by the council to senate.

Former SRC comptroller Chris Gilliss Monday recommended in his outgoing report the union should be prepared to underwrite its own survey if there was any "interference" from senate or any other group.

On the contrary, if the university is a legally constituted institution, which it is, certain of its decision-making bodies have specific powers which only they can exercise

