

Your Board of Examiners beg to report that at the 40th semi-annual examination held in the College building, from the 18th to the 22nd of December last, 28 candidates presented themselves—11 in part subjects, and 17 on the whole. The following are the results.

Passed in order of merit—Walter B. Scott, G. A. Buchanan, George C. Park, Thomas Rowland, William H. Rutledge, Ralph T. Shepherd.

Passed in subjects now and on previous occasion, completing their examinations—Dougald Campbell, Harry W. Love, Alex. Ray, Colin McIntyre, Arthur S. Hopkins, H. F. Gordon.

Passed in four subjects—John Srigley.

Passed in one subject—Leon Albert Godiere and Jas. M. A. Waugh.

In the submission of the Botany Examiners' report, numbers 12 and 22 were reported detected copying, and marks in both cases were refused. The Board sustained the examiner's course by passing a resolution approving of his action.

All of which is respectfully submitted.

W. MURCHISON,
Chairman.

The President read the following letter from Messrs. Edgar & Malone, the council's solicitors, in reference to the disputed agreement with the publisher of the *Pharmaceutical Journal*—

We beg to advise you that in accordance with instructions of the last president, Mr. Hall, and of the members of the committee who waited on us, various questions submitted by your committee to us were in your instructions placed before Mr. N. W. Hoyle, Q. C., of this city, and after taking same into deliberation for the past few weeks, he has at length forwarded to us his opinion, which we have the honor of advising you of as follows. "He is of the opinion that the College is not bound to go into an arbitration regarding the time and terms of a renewal of the contract with Mr. Shuttleworth, that it lies with the College to say what time a renewal shall be given, for which, if Mr. Shuttleworth is satisfied with, negotiations can then be entered into regarding the terms of such renewal for said time, and if you do not happen to agree on such terms, it is the question of terms alone that shall be submitted to arbitration. If however, Mr. Shuttleworth is not satisfied with the time for which you are willing to grant a renewal or extension, then the whole contract is at an end, as there is nothing to arbitrate upon. He also states that the ownership of the Journal is in the College and in no other person, and on the conclusion of the agreement with Mr. Shuttleworth, same still remains the property of the College."

We think we have given you the substance of his opinion. You will no doubt know how to act upon the same.

Yours truly,

Signed, EDGAR & MALONE.

Toronto, Sept. 6, 1893.

In reply to a question from a member of the Board, the President stated that the present agreement with the publisher of the *Journal* would expire on the 15th inst.

Mr. Watters reported verbally respecting an interview which he had had with N. Clarke Wallace, the Comptroller of Customs, in reference to the admission free of duty of a stereopticon purchased by the Council for College purposes. The Comptroller had explained that the department regulations provided that only those articles of scientific apparatus which were not manufactured in Canada could be exempt from customs duty, and he produced a list of such articles which included stereopticons. Consequently the

department was unable to remit the charges made upon the goods in question.

Mr. Hall asked if any steps were being taken to protect the Pharmacy Act from change or amendment at the approaching session of the Local Legislature. He said that he did not consider that the Government had treated them fairly by their action last session in amending the Act at the eleventh hour, and he wanted to be sure that the interests of the trade would be watched and protected this session.

A lengthy discussion ensued upon this question, which the President characterized as a most important one, and finally it was decided that the resolution passed at the last meeting of Council instructing the Committee on Legislation to look after the matter should continue in force, and in that case no further steps were necessary.

There being no other business ready for the consideration of the Council, an adjournment was made at 1.30 o'clock.

SECOND DAY'S SESSION.

The Council assembled again at 10 o'clock on Wednesday morning, but it once adjourned until the afternoon to enable the committees to complete their work.

At 4 o'clock in the afternoon the Council got down to work, and the first business was the reception and consideration of the following report of the Infringement Committee presented by Mr. Watters:—

Your committee would report in reference to communication of J. W. Binks, that as the writer had not paid his renewal fee for the year beginning May 1st, 1894, at which time he was in business, he cannot be allowed exemption from payment of \$4 as claimed by him, and we would recommend that the Registrar-Treasurer notify him accordingly.

Your committee would also report that several cases of infringement were brought to their notice, some of which were disposed of by the offending persons bringing themselves into harmony with the Pharmacy Act. In other cases, your committee considered it necessary to send a detective to try and bring the offenders to justice, but owing to refusal or inability of complaining druggists to assist the detective in his operations, he was unable to bring the offending vendors of poisons to trial; he would therefore recommend that in future no action be taken in cases, wherein the druggist reporting the violation of the Act, declines to assist in the prosecution, and we would further recommend that the Registrar-Treasurer be instructed to write druggists reporting cases of infringement to the effect that, unless they are prepared to lend their assistance by giving information to detective, and otherwise by promoting the success of his efforts, it will be impossible to prosecute. This applies particularly to small places, where alone we have found this difficulty to arise.

We would also suggest that a printed notice be procured for the use of the Registrar-Treasurer to notify all druggists of their liability to pay the yearly registration fee of \$4, citing the clause of the Act relating thereto, and the penalty attaching to neglect of payment of same. This notice to be mailed about the middle of April of each year.

All of which is respectfully submitted.

HENRY WATTERS, Chairman.
C. D. DANIEL.
J. McKEE.
D. H. MACLAREN.
J. G. SMITH.

The report was adopted after a short discussion.

C. D. Daniel then moved the following resolution:

That the Chairman of Committee on Education and the Faculty, together with the Registrar, be a committee to receive applications from any person, whether apprentices or otherwise, desiring to attend sessions of our college, and if found satisfactory, that the applicant be permitted to attend college on payment of usual fees, but that no certificate of any kind be presented, merely a letter from the Dean to the effect that the student has attended the sessions, and that no examination be given.

This proposal gave rise to a brief but animated discussion, in which most of the members took part. In submitting it Mr. Daniel contended that the Council should be ready to extend their sphere of work and usefulness to the furthest legitimate limit, and that a provision, such as his resolution suggested, would do much to popularize the College.

Mr. Watters objected to the proviso allowing the Dean to give a letter to the students attending the lectures, as suggested in the resolution.

Mr. Clarke said it would not be fair to the regular students to put them in competition with this new class of men. Moreover the College had about all it could accommodate now, and there would not be room for these extra men without overcrowding. The College was not a money making concern, and the Council must not be attracted by the prospect of increasing their revenue by any such means as this.

Mr. Daniel pointed out that when the College was enlarged it was hoped that students would be attracted from all over the world, and as to the objection that the operation of his proposal would overcrowd the College, he was satisfied that a strong committee could be relied upon to guard against that and fully protect the interests of their regular students. He was quite willing to accept Mr. Watters' suggestion and strike out the clause giving the Dean power to grant a letter of attendance.

Mr. Hall was opposed to the proposal on the same grounds as those taken by Mr. Clarke. He felt that the great aim of the Council, now that the College had been firmly established upon a solid foundation, should be to improve and develop it in every possible manner so that it would put it out of the power of anyone, whether private individual or corporation to compete with them in their work. The Council must legislate for their College first, last and all the time, and not concern itself with the outside public. The public had nothing to do with it.

At this point in the discussion the Council resolved itself into a committee of the whole, with Mr. D'Avignon in the chair.

Mr. McGregor continued the debate. He did not anticipate any danger from opposition. The College was already in a position to regard any attempt of that nature with equanimity.

President Petrie referred to the Gibson case as bearing upon the question at issue.