

SHORT STORY. COTTOLENE is the best Shortening for all cooking purposes.

A TRUE STORY. COTTOLENE is the only healthful Shortening made. Physicians endorse it.

An OLD STORY. That uncomfortable feeling of "too much richness" from food cooked in lard.

A NEW STORY. Food cooked in COTTOLENE is delicate, delicious, healthful, comforting. Do YOU use COTTOLENE?

SHARP'S BALSAM OF HOREHOUND AND ANISEED. FOR COUGH, WHOOPING COUGH, COUGHS AND COLDS.

ARMSTRONG & CO. PROPRIETORS. ST. JOHN, N. B.

9 CORDS IN 10 HOURS. SHERIFF'S SALE.

UNDERWEAR FOR FALL & WINTER. FLANNEL AND KNITTED T-SHIRTS.

W. S. LOGGIE, Manchester House. Sleighs, New Style, Double AND SINGLE.

I WILL SELL CHEAP. TO GIVE SATISFACTION.

HOUSE TO RENT. GEO. W. CUTTER.

FOR SALE.

Miramichi Advance.

The Municipal Council.

The Municipal Council of Northumberland, which commenced its annual January session on Tuesday, is the first under the new biennial system.

The Telegraph appeared to experience a feeling of sadness last Friday because it is, to publish the news from Ottawa, in which was a statement by Sir Herbert Tupper, Minister of Marine, that he had caused the question of alleged infractions of the steamboat law by the St. John corporation ferryboats, to be referred to the Minister of Justice.

MAJOR CHAPMAN, of St. Stephen, has announced that he does not intend to seek re-election.

Encouraging Crime.

The case of the three young men, Pelland, Demarq and Mercier, who, early in December, attempted to blow up the Nelson monument on Jacques Cartier square, Montreal, with dynamite was before the provincial law examiners of Quebec on 11th, on a resolution that the three young men, who are all law students, should not be allowed to undergo examination for admission to study. The motion was defeated by a vote of three to four.

Business in Gloucester County.

Advices from Gloucester County indicate that the severity of this winter's weather will tell upon the business of that locality.

THE SHATFORD CASE. Judge Tuck gives the custody of the children to Mr. Shatford.

Ernest Blair of Chatham, abducts one of the children and is caught at Moncton. Shatford now has all the children.

THE SHATFORD CASE. Judge Tuck gives the custody of the children to Mr. Shatford.

Ernest Blair of Chatham, abducts one of the children and is caught at Moncton. Shatford now has all the children.

THE SHATFORD CASE. Judge Tuck gives the custody of the children to Mr. Shatford.

Ernest Blair of Chatham, abducts one of the children and is caught at Moncton. Shatford now has all the children.

THE SHATFORD CASE. Judge Tuck gives the custody of the children to Mr. Shatford.

against him were true then he was not fit to bring up the children.

For the present the children remain with Mr. Shatford. It is probable that in the event of Shatford getting the children today he will be required to give bonds for their production when necessary.

Mr. Charles Campbell was the only representative of the Campbell family present in Judge Tuck's court on Saturday morning when the consideration of Mr. Curry's application for a stay of proceedings was on, pending an appeal to the full Supreme Court came up. The Record says:—

Mr. Shatford, as usual, sat behind his counsel. His Honor asked Mr. Curry what he had to say, and after that gentleman had commenced his argument Judge Tuck had to say to Mr. Curry, "I have no objection to your staying on until the full Supreme Court comes up."

Mr. Curry pointed out that although the act of 1885 provided for an appeal, it made no provision for a stay of proceedings. He therefore argued from principle that this was one of the cases where a stay should be allowed as a matter of right.

His Honor intimated that he thought it was in his discretion to grant or withhold a stay, but that he would not grant a stay unless the stay was allowed Mr. Shatford's appeal would allow nothing even if successful, because in the meanwhile Mr. Shatford would have had the children before the jurisdiction of the court, whence they could not be recovered.

His Honor said the rule works both ways, for suppose a stay is granted and the appeal comes up before the appellate court and the appellant is successful, the appellant would be bound to give up the children, and if it did it would be some time before judgment, and suppose the appellant is successful, the appellant would be bound to give up the children.

Mr. Curry referred to the Ellis case and stated that if there was anything in point in the appeal in that case it was in his favor. In that case he argued that the court ready to appeal, which was not the case here. The appeal in that case was never argued on its merits, but was quashed on the question of jurisdiction. Therefore there was no similarity between the two cases.

His Honor said that he found in the statute nothing more than was expressed—that is simply that the judge's decision was not to be appealed from. He thought that Mr. Shatford with the little girl Leslie had taken refuge in Mrs. Grace Robinson's house. The warrants for the arrest of Chas. Campbell and Mrs. Shatford have been placed in the hands of several constables and will be immediately executed if such arrangements is not made soon.

The following despatch was received last evening:—

MONCTON, Jan. 14.—By this morning's freight from St. John, Ernest Blair arrived in charge of J. D. Shatford's son, Eric, on route it is supposed to Nova Scotia—beyond the jurisdiction of the New Brunswick court.

Mr. Shatford and J. D. Hazen had wired Lawyer Sweeney to intercept them on arrival. Judge Worton, acting on Mr. Sweeney's instructions, authorized Constable Coffey to take young Shatford in charge and bring him to St. John.

On this morning's express, it is rumored that Mrs. Shatford has also in the city.

Last evening a number of rumors were about the city. One stated that Mrs. Shatford was in Moncton; another that she was in Hampton and others to the same effect but when investigated none had any foundation. Another rumor was abroad during the evening to the effect that Campbell's house would be broken into at midnight last night and the place searched. This apparently had a little foundation as the others.

The home of Charles Campbell, from Saturday night until late last night, was a state of siege.

The blinds were closed, and in the street in front of the house a big coat and armed with a heavy stick, while the side and rear of the house were guarded by his assistants.

A reporter called on Mr. Campbell during the evening. That gentleman would make no statement, but promptly referred him to Mr. Curry.

Mr. Curry when asked was as usual quite ready to tell all he knew. In answer to an inquiry he said that Mrs. Shatford was in the city and he believed the child would probably be given up.

often and had shown every disposition to do the right thing with the Campbell family that he felt that after the way he had been treated nothing but the sternest measures would avail.

Mr. Shatford was the only representative of the Campbell family present in Judge Tuck's court on Saturday morning when the consideration of Mr. Curry's application for a stay of proceedings was on, pending an appeal to the full Supreme Court came up. The Record says:—

Mr. Shatford, as usual, sat behind his counsel. His Honor asked Mr. Curry what he had to say, and after that gentleman had commenced his argument Judge Tuck had to say to Mr. Curry, "I have no objection to your staying on until the full Supreme Court comes up."

Mr. Curry pointed out that although the act of 1885 provided for an appeal, it made no provision for a stay of proceedings. He therefore argued from principle that this was one of the cases where a stay should be allowed as a matter of right.

His Honor intimated that he thought it was in his discretion to grant or withhold a stay, but that he would not grant a stay unless the stay was allowed Mr. Shatford's appeal would allow nothing even if successful, because in the meanwhile Mr. Shatford would have had the children before the jurisdiction of the court, whence they could not be recovered.

His Honor said the rule works both ways, for suppose a stay is granted and the appeal comes up before the appellate court and the appellant is successful, the appellant would be bound to give up the children, and if it did it would be some time before judgment, and suppose the appellant is successful, the appellant would be bound to give up the children.

Mr. Curry referred to the Ellis case and stated that if there was anything in point in the appeal in that case it was in his favor. In that case he argued that the court ready to appeal, which was not the case here. The appeal in that case was never argued on its merits, but was quashed on the question of jurisdiction. Therefore there was no similarity between the two cases.

His Honor said that he found in the statute nothing more than was expressed—that is simply that the judge's decision was not to be appealed from. He thought that Mr. Shatford with the little girl Leslie had taken refuge in Mrs. Grace Robinson's house. The warrants for the arrest of Chas. Campbell and Mrs. Shatford have been placed in the hands of several constables and will be immediately executed if such arrangements is not made soon.

The following despatch was received last evening:—

MONCTON, Jan. 14.—By this morning's freight from St. John, Ernest Blair arrived in charge of J. D. Shatford's son, Eric, on route it is supposed to Nova Scotia—beyond the jurisdiction of the New Brunswick court.

Mr. Shatford and J. D. Hazen had wired Lawyer Sweeney to intercept them on arrival. Judge Worton, acting on Mr. Sweeney's instructions, authorized Constable Coffey to take young Shatford in charge and bring him to St. John.

On this morning's express, it is rumored that Mrs. Shatford has also in the city.

Last evening a number of rumors were about the city. One stated that Mrs. Shatford was in Moncton; another that she was in Hampton and others to the same effect but when investigated none had any foundation. Another rumor was abroad during the evening to the effect that Campbell's house would be broken into at midnight last night and the place searched. This apparently had a little foundation as the others.

The home of Charles Campbell, from Saturday night until late last night, was a state of siege.

The blinds were closed, and in the street in front of the house a big coat and armed with a heavy stick, while the side and rear of the house were guarded by his assistants.

A reporter called on Mr. Campbell during the evening. That gentleman would make no statement, but promptly referred him to Mr. Curry.

Mr. Curry when asked was as usual quite ready to tell all he knew. In answer to an inquiry he said that Mrs. Shatford was in the city and he believed the child would probably be given up.

against him were true then he was not fit to bring up the children.

For the present the children remain with Mr. Shatford. It is probable that in the event of Shatford getting the children today he will be required to give bonds for their production when necessary.

Mr. Charles Campbell was the only representative of the Campbell family present in Judge Tuck's court on Saturday morning when the consideration of Mr. Curry's application for a stay of proceedings was on, pending an appeal to the full Supreme Court came up. The Record says:—

Mr. Shatford, as usual, sat behind his counsel. His Honor asked Mr. Curry what he had to say, and after that gentleman had commenced his argument Judge Tuck had to say to Mr. Curry, "I have no objection to your staying on until the full Supreme Court comes up."

Mr. Curry pointed out that although the act of 1885 provided for an appeal, it made no provision for a stay of proceedings. He therefore argued from principle that this was one of the cases where a stay should be allowed as a matter of right.

His Honor intimated that he thought it was in his discretion to grant or withhold a stay, but that he would not grant a stay unless the stay was allowed Mr. Shatford's appeal would allow nothing even if successful, because in the meanwhile Mr. Shatford would have had the children before the jurisdiction of the court, whence they could not be recovered.

His Honor said the rule works both ways, for suppose a stay is granted and the appeal comes up before the appellate court and the appellant is successful, the appellant would be bound to give up the children, and if it did it would be some time before judgment, and suppose the appellant is successful, the appellant would be bound to give up the children.

Mr. Curry referred to the Ellis case and stated that if there was anything in point in the appeal in that case it was in his favor. In that case he argued that the court ready to appeal, which was not the case here. The appeal in that case was never argued on its merits, but was quashed on the question of jurisdiction. Therefore there was no similarity between the two cases.

His Honor said that he found in the statute nothing more than was expressed—that is simply that the judge's decision was not to be appealed from. He thought that Mr. Shatford with the little girl Leslie had taken refuge in Mrs. Grace Robinson's house. The warrants for the arrest of Chas. Campbell and Mrs. Shatford have been placed in the hands of several constables and will be immediately executed if such arrangements is not made soon.

The following despatch was received last evening:—

MONCTON, Jan. 14.—By this morning's freight from St. John, Ernest Blair arrived in charge of J. D. Shatford's son, Eric, on route it is supposed to Nova Scotia—beyond the jurisdiction of the New Brunswick court.

Mr. Shatford and J. D. Hazen had wired Lawyer Sweeney to intercept them on arrival. Judge Worton, acting on Mr. Sweeney's instructions, authorized Constable Coffey to take young Shatford in charge and bring him to St. John.

On this morning's express, it is rumored that Mrs. Shatford has also in the city.

Last evening a number of rumors were about the city. One stated that Mrs. Shatford was in Moncton; another that she was in Hampton and others to the same effect but when investigated none had any foundation. Another rumor was abroad during the evening to the effect that Campbell's house would be broken into at midnight last night and the place searched. This apparently had a little foundation as the others.

The home of Charles Campbell, from Saturday night until late last night, was a state of siege.

The blinds were closed, and in the street in front of the house a big coat and armed with a heavy stick, while the side and rear of the house were guarded by his assistants.

A reporter called on Mr. Campbell during the evening. That gentleman would make no statement, but promptly referred him to Mr. Curry.

often and had shown every disposition to do the right thing with the Campbell family that he felt that after the way he had been treated nothing but the sternest measures would avail.

Mr. Shatford was the only representative of the Campbell family present in Judge Tuck's court on Saturday morning when the consideration of Mr. Curry's application for a stay of proceedings was on, pending an appeal to the full Supreme Court came up. The Record says:—

Mr. Shatford, as usual, sat behind his counsel. His Honor asked Mr. Curry what he had to say, and after that gentleman had commenced his argument Judge Tuck had to say to Mr. Curry, "I have no objection to your staying on until the full Supreme Court comes up."

Mr. Curry pointed out that although the act of 1885 provided for an appeal, it made no provision for a stay of proceedings. He therefore argued from principle that this was one of the cases where a stay should be allowed as a matter of right.

His Honor intimated that he thought it was in his discretion to grant or withhold a stay, but that he would not grant a stay unless the stay was allowed Mr. Shatford's appeal would allow nothing even if successful, because in the meanwhile Mr. Shatford would have had the children before the jurisdiction of the court, whence they could not be recovered.

His Honor said the rule works both ways, for suppose a stay is granted and the appeal comes up before the appellate court and the appellant is successful, the appellant would be bound to give up the children, and if it did it would be some time before judgment, and suppose the appellant is successful, the appellant would be bound to give up the children.

Mr. Curry referred to the Ellis case and stated that if there was anything in point in the appeal in that case it was in his favor. In that case he argued that the court ready to appeal, which was not the case here. The appeal in that case was never argued on its merits, but was quashed on the question of jurisdiction. Therefore there was no similarity between the two cases.

His Honor said that he found in the statute nothing more than was expressed—that is simply that the judge's decision was not to be appealed from. He thought that Mr. Shatford with the little girl Leslie had taken refuge in Mrs. Grace Robinson's house. The warrants for the arrest of Chas. Campbell and Mrs. Shatford have been placed in the hands of several constables and will be immediately executed if such arrangements is not made soon.

The following despatch was received last evening:—

MONCTON, Jan. 14.—By this morning's freight from St. John, Ernest Blair arrived in charge of J. D. Shatford's son, Eric, on route it is supposed to Nova Scotia—beyond the jurisdiction of the New Brunswick court.

Mr. Shatford and J. D. Hazen had wired Lawyer Sweeney to intercept them on arrival. Judge Worton, acting on Mr. Sweeney's instructions, authorized Constable Coffey to take young Shatford in charge and bring him to St. John.

On this morning's express, it is rumored that Mrs. Shatford has also in the city.

Last evening a number of rumors were about the city. One stated that Mrs. Shatford was in Moncton; another that she was in Hampton and others to the same effect but when investigated none had any foundation. Another rumor was abroad during the evening to the effect that Campbell's house would be broken into at midnight last night and the place searched. This apparently had a little foundation as the others.

The home of Charles Campbell, from Saturday night until late last night, was a state of siege.

The blinds were closed, and in the street in front of the house a big coat and armed with a heavy stick, while the side and rear of the house were guarded by his assistants.

A reporter called on Mr. Campbell during the evening. That gentleman would make no statement, but promptly referred him to Mr. Curry.

Mr. Curry when asked was as usual quite ready to tell all he knew. In answer to an inquiry he said that Mrs. Shatford was in the city and he believed the child would probably be given up.

against him were true then he was not fit to bring up the children.

For the present the children remain with Mr. Shatford. It is probable that in the event of Shatford getting the children today he will be required to give bonds for their production when necessary.

Mr. Charles Campbell was the only representative of the Campbell family present in Judge Tuck's court on Saturday morning when the consideration of Mr. Curry's application for a stay of proceedings was on, pending an appeal to the full Supreme Court came up. The Record says:—

Mr. Shatford, as usual, sat behind his counsel. His Honor asked Mr. Curry what he had to say, and after that gentleman had commenced his argument Judge Tuck had to say to Mr. Curry, "I have no objection to your staying on until the full Supreme Court comes up."

Mr. Curry pointed out that although the act of 1885 provided for an appeal, it made no provision for a stay of proceedings. He therefore argued from principle that this was one of the cases where a stay should be allowed as a matter of right.

His Honor intimated that he thought it was in his discretion to grant or withhold a stay, but that he would not grant a stay unless the stay was allowed Mr. Shatford's appeal would allow nothing even if successful, because in the meanwhile Mr. Shatford would have had the children before the jurisdiction of the court, whence they could not be recovered.

His Honor said the rule works both ways, for suppose a stay is granted and the appeal comes up before the appellate court and the appellant is successful, the appellant would be bound to give up the children, and if it did it would be some time before judgment, and suppose the appellant is successful, the appellant would be bound to give up the children.

Mr. Curry referred to the Ellis case and stated that if there was anything in point in the appeal in that case it was in his favor. In that case he argued that the court ready to appeal, which was not the case here. The appeal in that case was never argued on its merits, but was quashed on the question of jurisdiction. Therefore there was no similarity between the two cases.

His Honor said that he found in the statute nothing more than was expressed—that is simply that the judge's decision was not to be appealed from. He thought that Mr. Shatford with the little girl Leslie had taken refuge in Mrs. Grace Robinson's house. The warrants for the arrest of Chas. Campbell and Mrs. Shatford have been placed in the hands of several constables and will be immediately executed if such arrangements is not made soon.

The following despatch was received last evening:—

MONCTON, Jan. 14.—By this morning's freight from St. John, Ernest Blair arrived in charge of J. D. Shatford's son, Eric, on route it is supposed to Nova Scotia—beyond the jurisdiction of the New Brunswick court.

Mr. Shatford and J. D. Hazen had wired Lawyer Sweeney to intercept them on arrival. Judge Worton, acting on Mr. Sweeney's instructions, authorized Constable Coffey to take young Shatford in charge and bring him to St. John.

On this morning's express, it is rumored that Mrs. Shatford has also in the city.

Last evening a number of rumors were about the city. One stated that Mrs. Shatford was in Moncton; another that she was in Hampton and others to the same effect but when investigated none had any foundation. Another rumor was abroad during the evening to the effect that Campbell's house would be broken into at midnight last night and the place searched. This apparently had a little foundation as the others.

The home of Charles Campbell, from Saturday night until late last night, was a state of siege.

The blinds were closed, and in the street in front of the house a big coat and armed with a heavy stick, while the side and rear of the house were guarded by his assistants.

A reporter called on Mr. Campbell during the evening. That gentleman would make no statement, but promptly referred him to Mr. Curry.

often and had shown every disposition to do the right thing with the Campbell family that he felt that after the way he had been treated nothing but the sternest measures would avail.

Mr. Shatford was the only representative of the Campbell family present in Judge Tuck's court on Saturday morning when the consideration of Mr. Curry's application for a stay of proceedings was on, pending an appeal to the full Supreme Court came up. The Record says:—

Mr. Shatford, as usual, sat behind his counsel. His Honor asked Mr. Curry what he had to say, and after that gentleman had commenced his argument Judge Tuck had to say to Mr. Curry, "I have no objection to your staying on until the full Supreme Court comes up."

Mr. Curry pointed out that although the act of 1885 provided for an appeal, it made no provision for a stay of proceedings. He therefore argued from principle that this was one of the cases where a stay should be allowed as a matter of right.

His Honor intimated that he thought it was in his discretion to grant or withhold a stay, but that he would not grant a stay unless the stay was allowed Mr. Shatford's appeal would allow nothing even if successful, because in the meanwhile Mr. Shatford would have had the children before the jurisdiction of the court, whence they could not be recovered.

His Honor said the rule works both ways, for suppose a stay is granted and the appeal comes up before the appellate court and the appellant is successful, the appellant would be bound to give up the children, and if it did it would be some time before judgment, and suppose the appellant is successful, the appellant would be bound to give up the children.

Mr. Curry referred to the Ellis case and stated that if there was anything in point in the appeal in that case it was in his favor. In that case he argued that the court ready to appeal, which was not the case here. The appeal in that case was never argued on its merits, but was quashed on the question of jurisdiction. Therefore there was no similarity between the two cases.

His Honor said that he found in the statute nothing more than was expressed—that is simply that the judge's decision was not to be appealed from. He thought that Mr. Shatford with the little girl Leslie had taken refuge in Mrs. Grace Robinson's house. The warrants for the arrest of Chas. Campbell and Mrs. Shatford have been placed in the hands of several constables and will be immediately executed if such arrangements is not made soon.

The following despatch was received last evening:—

MONCTON, Jan. 14.—By this morning's freight from St. John, Ernest Blair arrived in charge of J. D. Shatford's son, Eric, on route it is supposed to Nova Scotia—beyond the jurisdiction of the New Brunswick court.

Mr. Shatford and J. D. Hazen had wired Lawyer Sweeney to intercept them on arrival. Judge Worton, acting on Mr. Sweeney's instructions, authorized Constable Coffey to take young Shatford in charge and bring him to St. John.

On this morning's express, it is rumored that Mrs. Shatford has also in the city.

Last evening a number of rumors were about the city. One stated that Mrs. Shatford was in Moncton; another that she was in Hampton and others to the same effect but when investigated none had any foundation. Another rumor was abroad during the evening to the effect that Campbell's house would be broken into at midnight last night and the place searched. This apparently had a little foundation as the others.

The home of Charles Campbell, from Saturday night until late last night, was a state of siege.

The blinds were closed, and in the street in front of the house a big coat and armed with a heavy stick, while the side and rear of the house were guarded by his assistants.

A reporter called on Mr. Campbell during the evening. That gentleman would make no statement, but promptly referred him to Mr. Curry.

Mr. Curry when asked was as usual quite ready to tell all he knew. In answer to an inquiry he said that Mrs. Shatford was in the city and he believed the child would probably be given up.

against him were true then he was not fit to bring up the children.

For the present the children remain with Mr. Shatford. It is probable that in the event of Shatford getting the children today he will be required to give bonds for their production when necessary.

Mr. Charles Campbell was the only representative of the Campbell family present in Judge Tuck's court on Saturday morning when the consideration of Mr. Curry's application for a stay of proceedings was on, pending an appeal to the full Supreme Court came up. The Record says:—

Mr. Shatford, as usual, sat behind his counsel. His Honor asked Mr. Curry what he had to say, and after that gentleman had commenced his argument Judge Tuck had to say to Mr. Curry, "I have no objection to your staying on until the full Supreme Court comes up."

Mr. Curry pointed out that although the act of 1885 provided for an appeal, it made no provision for a stay of proceedings. He therefore argued from principle that this was one of the cases where a stay should be allowed as a matter of right.

His Honor intimated that he thought it was in his discretion to grant or withhold a stay, but that he would not grant a stay unless the stay was allowed Mr. Shatford's appeal would allow nothing even if successful, because in the meanwhile Mr. Shatford would have had the children before the jurisdiction of the court, whence they could not be recovered.

His Honor said the rule works both ways, for suppose a stay is granted and the appeal comes up before the appellate court and the appellant is successful, the appellant would be bound to give up the children, and if it did it would be some time before judgment, and suppose the appellant is successful, the appellant would be bound to give up the children.

Mr. Curry referred to the Ellis case and stated that if there was anything in point in the appeal in that case it was in his favor. In that case he argued that the court ready to appeal, which was not the case here. The appeal in that case was never argued on its merits, but was quashed on the question of jurisdiction. Therefore there was no similarity between the two cases.

His Honor said that he found in the statute nothing more than was expressed—that is simply that the judge's decision was not to be appealed from. He thought that Mr. Shatford with the little girl Leslie had taken refuge in Mrs. Grace Robinson's house. The warrants for the arrest of Chas. Campbell and Mrs. Shatford have been placed in the hands of several constables and will be immediately executed if such arrangements is not made soon.

The following despatch was received last evening:—

MONCTON, Jan. 14.—By this morning's freight from St. John, Ernest Blair arrived in charge of J. D. Shatford's son, Eric, on route it is supposed to Nova Scotia—beyond the jurisdiction of the New Brunswick court.

Mr. Shatford and J. D. Hazen had wired Lawyer Sweeney to intercept them on arrival. Judge Worton, acting on Mr. Sweeney's instructions, authorized Constable Coffey to take young Shatford in charge and bring him to St. John.

On this morning's express, it is rumored that Mrs. Shatford has also in the city.

Last evening a number of rumors were about the city. One stated that Mrs. Shatford was in Moncton; another that she was in Hampton and others to the same effect but when investigated none had any foundation. Another rumor was abroad during the evening to the effect that Campbell's house would be broken into at midnight last night and the place searched. This apparently had a little foundation as the others.

The home of Charles Campbell, from Saturday night until late last night, was a state of siege.

The blinds were closed, and in the street in front of the house a big coat and armed with a heavy stick, while the side and rear of the house were guarded by his assistants.

A reporter called on Mr. Campbell during the evening. That gentleman would make no statement, but promptly referred him to Mr. Curry.

The Closing Act in the Shatford Case. The Closing Act in the Shatford Case. The Closing Act in the Shatford Case.