ga

de

on

ag

sto

the

ag

to

mo

no

eve

sec

the mi

aft

evi

me

tha

J,,

the

me

sec

giv

2

Por

-1

mo

land

som

abo

fron

bloc

mor

88 8

have

ties

so to lift a stone which had by the increase their liability on implied foreman's orders been prepared in a particular way for lifting with "dogs," directed the plaintiff to assist in lifting the stone with the "dogs," instead of having it wrapped in chains as would have been proper, and the stone fell and injured the plaintiff :-

Held, that A. was a person in the service of the employer to whose orders the plaintiff "was bound to conform and did conform" within the meaning of 55 Vict. ch. 30, sec. 3 (O.), sub-sec. 3. Reversed on Appeal, 23 A. R. 238.] Garland v. Corporation of City of Toronto, 154.

2. General Hiring—Hiring for a Year—Question of Fact—Corpor-ations—Implied Contract of Comparty.]-The plaintiff having been for many years superintendent of a factory at a salary, was still under engagement for the current year when the factory and business were purchased by a joint stock company, the employment of the plaintiff continuing without further express agreement until after the expiration of the year, when he was dismissed on refusing to submit to a reduction of salary :-

Held, that whether the plaintiff's hiring at the time of his dismissal. was for a year or not, and whether it was terminable by written notice or not, both of which were questions of fact and not of law, no reasonable notice had been given in this case, and he was entitled to damages. A general hiring is not necessarily

to be considered a hiring for a year. The increase in the extent, importance, and variety of corporate dealings which has taken place in modern times has modified the law as to contracts of trading corpor-

contracts.

Finlay v. The Bristol and Exeter R. W. Co., 7 Exch. 409, considered. Bain v. Anderson, 369.

MECHANICS' LIEN.

Prior Mortgage-Increased Value -Rights by Lien-holder-Destruction by Fire-Ascertainment of Increased Value.] - See LIEN, 2.

Repairs by Lessee - Deduction from Rent - Interest of Lessor -" Owner "-Scenje Artist-R. S. O. ch. 126, sec. 2, sub-sec. 3, sec. 6 (1).] - See LIEN, 1.

MEDICAL PRACTITIONER.

Practising Medicine — Ontario Medical Act, R. S. O. ch. 148, sec. 45.] -The defendant was convicted under the Ontario Medical Act, R. S. O. ch. 148, sec. 45, for practising medicine for hire. The evidence shewed that when the complainant went to the defendant he told him his symptoms; that he did not know what was the matter with himself; that he left it to the defendant to choose the medicine, after learning the symptoms; and that, upon the advice of the defendant, he took his medicine, went under a course of treatment extending over some months, and paid the price agreed

Held, that there was evidence to

support the conviction. Regina v. Coulson, 24 O. R. 246,

distinguished. Regina v. Howarth, ib. 561, folations, so as to correspondingly lowed. Regina v. Coulson, 59.