

FINANCIAL BROKERS
LER & HAMMOND

STOCK BROKERS
H. Gooch, Insurance Broker
and Adjuster.

GORMALY & CO.
STOCKS,
GRAIN AND PROVISIONS

H. TEMPLE,
STOCK BROKER

O'Hara & Co.
STOCK BROKERS

Monte Cristo,
STOCK BROKER

Henry A. King & Co.
STOCKS, GRAIN, PROVISIONS

John Stark & Co.,
STOCKS, GRAIN, PROVISIONS

A. Cummings & Co.,
STOCKS, GRAIN AND PROVISIONS

Fergusson & Blaikie
STOCK BROKERS

E. Ames & Co.,
STOCKS, GRAIN AND PROVISIONS

Frank Cayley,
REAL ESTATE AND FINANCIAL

50.00 TO LOAN AT 4% to 5%
ESTATE SECURITY IN SUMS TO \$10,000

A. Lee & Son
ESTATE, INSURANCE AND FINANCIAL

GENERAL AGENTS
STANDARD FIRE AND MARINE ASSURANCE CO.

MISCELLANEOUS
BRASS KETTLES AND STANDS

GEORGE LEWIS & SON
GRINDING, POLISHING AND PAINTED TRAYS

W. A. Dixon's
GRAPHS, CRUCIBLES, PIPE JOINT PRESS

KENHEAD HARDWARE CO.
6 ADELAIDE STREET EAST

HOFBRAU
A preparation of Malt and Hops, containing the best quality of alcohol

Inghart & Company, Brewers
TORONTO

Wool.
We are paying the Highest Market Prices for Wool, Hides, Skins, etc.

JAMES HALLAM & SONS
109 Front Street East, Toronto

BAILIFF'S OFFICE
Office of Sale, Chattel Mortgages, Rent

INVESTMENTS
Six per cent. per annum guaranteed by the British Empire Mutual Life Assurance Company.

NINETEENTH YEAR
TEN PAGES—WEDNESDAY MORNING AUGUST 10 1898—TEN PAGES

THE WORLD

PARE PUTS IN ANOTHER BIG DAY

Mr. Porter Puts Him Through Severe Trial—The Story of the Cracking of the Bank Told in Court

Napanee, Ont., Aug. 9.—(Special.)—The sensation of the day was created by the World's scoop in the shape of "What Will Miss French Say?" The Crown admits the truth of the story, while the defence says there is another side to it. Today Pare was the only witness. He told his story bluntly, though at times in a faltering way. He is visibly weak when thrown off the main thread of the story, and switches back to isolated days. The defence is pleased with the day's work and thinks that Pare's testimony in regard to the care taken by the robbers to prevent noise is the strongest point advanced. This will overthrow Mrs. McGreer's story of a year ago, which is to the effect that scuffling and heavy breathing were heard by her on the night of the robbery. The testimony of Josie Haycock, which is to be brought in to corroborate Mrs. McGreer, will also run athwart Pare's tale. The defence claim to have found a valuable witness in Miss Mary Beddow and her friend, who will testify to having been in the passage at the head of the stairs leading to Ponton's rooms at the very hour when Pare and his comrade crooks got off with the swing. Dentist Wagar is also prepared to state that he found the passageway strewn with cigar stubs and burnt matches the morning after the robbery. On the other hand it is said that the Crown counsel are satisfied with the way things are going, that Mr. Osler is allowing the defence full swing at present, but will have some surprises to spring later on. The Crown is laying special stress upon the evidence that Pare buried two keys in the police station. Tomorrow morning is expected to reveal the truth of this and be a strong corroboration pointing to the veracity of the witness. The prosecution has departed from its course of last year in several particulars. Nothing is now heard of Manager Baines' calendar bearing the combination, nothing of the slip of paper with figures, found in Mrs. McGreer's room, nothing of the lettered shingle used by Ponton to keep up his window, and nothing of the key impressions found in the ex-teller's room. Yet to its original theory it is still wedded, viz: That some one inside the bank gave out the combination. Pare's evidence to-day showed one or two discrepancies. He said in his original statement that Ponton put the blanket over the window. Today he said Holden and himself tucked it over the blanket. Again, he claimed that he could see Watchman Perry look into the back window of the bank while he (Pare) stood on Centre-street. The World stood in identically the same spot as Pare, but was unable to see any of the back windows of the bank. Inspector Young, who is an expert on safes, told a veracious gentleman that the Trenton express office safe that was cracked shortly after that of the Napanee bank showed remarkable signs of similarity to the latter. There is a thought that the same crooks that worked in Napanee worked also in Trenton. Miss French is at present housed at the home of general Constable Edward Smith.

THE COURT WAS JAMMED.

Women Predominated—Crown Attorney Herrington Decried That Pare Had Been "Coached."

Napanee, Aug. 9.—(Special.)—The magistrate's court opened at 10 o'clock, Prisoner Pare being on deck. The court was jammed. Women were greatly in the majority. The prisoners look well, and were deeply interested in all that was said and done. W. S. Herrington referred to the published statements that Detective Dougherty and others had been trifling with Pare in his story. The lawyer said: "There is not a particle of truth in the statement. No one except myself has interviewed Prisoner Pare. There was no ground whatever for the complaint. I can assure you that nothing improper has taken place." Mr. Porter said he was glad to have the Crown speak in this way, for it cleared up a serious charge. Pare Under Fire Again. Taking up Pare's cross-examination, Mr. Porter pursued his wonted course of going deeply into details. Referring to the night when Pare said that Ponton had told him Manager Baines was fingering with the combination, Pare said on that night he gave Ponton the brass key. For a few minutes the lawyer reviewed Pare's story from Aug. 5, when Pare said he got the combination. "I am positive that both the safe and the vault were on the day lock," said the witness. "The night after that when I left Ponton's rooms I went down John-street to Main-street, and thence to the bridge, where I met Holden. Then we two had a rest," continued the witness. "Where did you go from the bridge?" asked Mr. Porter. "The witness was not sure where he went. He testified a long time, then said: "We went to a barn and slept for that night." The witness' memory seemed under a cloud, for he was not dead sure whether Mackie came or not the next day. That night the witness said he went to Ponton's room. "What route did you go?" asked the lawyer. "I can't remember," said the witness, while the court smiled surprise. But after quizzing he detailed a route street by street. "I asked Ponton what success he had had. He said he had tried the key a couple of times, but that it would not work." If you had the numbers would you have a chance to try it?" I asked. "Yes," he said. Another Lecture on Combination. Here the witness again went into a long lecture upon how the combination is worked. Pare seems to have a mania for explaining the combination working. The numbers he gave Ponton were different from the numbers used by the bank officials, yet those numbers would open the safe, said the witness. "Supposing the numbers had been 40-66-80-40-64, would that work out?" asked Mr. Porter. "No, that would not work it," said Pare, after figuring on a slip of paper. "Supposing the combination you gave him was 30-66-80-40 to 42, would that work it?" "No, it would not work on any of them. I could give you the numbers that would work on them, though," said Pare willingly.

ACCIDENT AT NEWMARKET.

Mr. Martin Robinson Received Several Scalp Wounds—Another Man Shaken Up. Newmarket, Ont., Aug. 9.—At the Newmarket station this evening, the mail train was backing up, when a gentleman in attempting to get on lost his footing, but held on to the rail of the car, his legs dangling over the wheels and platform. Mr. Martin Robinson, shoemaker of this town, was on the train. He stooped outwards watching the gentleman, when his head came in contact with the water tank, causing a severe scalp wound, knocking him off the car. He was taken to the hospital at once, and is now under the doctors' care. The other gentleman got off with a bad fright and some light bruises.

Ladies' Hat Styles at Dinneens.

Something like thirty different styles and varieties of fashionable straw hats for ladies are offered at Dinneens' at lowered prices. There are over two thousand hats in the ladies' department to select from and the assortments include the correct English and American sailor shapes and the newest Alpine, fedora and toque creations by noted man milliners. Many of the styles in ladies' hats are exclusive, but portions at Dinneens', 140 Yonge-street, corner Temperance.

Reserved Seat Plan Opens this morning at Northheimer's this morning.

The popular family excursions to the Highlands of York will be given every Wednesday and Saturday afternoon. Cars leave C.P.R. crossing, Yonge-street, at 1.30, 2.40, 3.30, 5.40 and 7.45 p.m., returning leave Richmond Hill at 2.30, 4.40, 5.30 and 7.15 p.m. Return fares, adults 25c, children 15c.

COOK'S TURKISH BATHS, 204 KING WEST, LADIES' 25c; GENTS' 50c, EVENING 50c.

Feet-ache-Head-ache & Co., patent salt-water, etc., 25c. 1000, Commercial, Toronto.

Armeda Crystal Tea has the Flavor.

Cook's Turkish Baths, 204 King West, Ladies 25c; Gents 50c, evening 50c.

Reported Progress.

"When I returned to the track I met Pare volunteered: 'I had a great study

HIGH COURT OF FORESTERS.

Opening Session Was Only of a Preliminary Nature.

The Court Places Itself on Record as Being Opposed to the Admission of Women Into the Order—Finance Report Shows a Healthy Condition of Affairs.

Chatham, Aug. 9.—The High Court of Ontario West of the Independent Order of Foresters, in session here. The proceedings opened this morning at 10 o'clock with the High Chief Ruler, in the chair. The attendance of delegates is nearly 300, and with this evening that number will be exceeded. The High Court continued business this afternoon and evening. The several working committees were appointed, and business was soon under way. The reports of officers were received. It was decided that immediately after the nomination of representatives to the Supreme Court the list of names to be placed in nomination are to be printed on slips, to be given to the delegates to select therefrom the names of persons they wish to vote for, and write them on blank ballots. This motion was the result of a very lengthy discussion, many forcible speeches being made. Judging from some of the remarks of some of the speakers favorable to the motion, considerable dissatisfaction existed at that time as to the methods adopted in selecting the delegates to said Supreme Court. The motion was put to the meeting and carried by an overwhelming majority.

Is Anxious to Have Better Business Relations With Canada.

Over Two Thousand Firms Belong to the Organization and They Have Sent a Resolution for Presentation at the Quebec Conference—B. O. Sealers Want Compensation. Philadelphia, Aug. 9.—(Special.)—At a special meeting of the Board of Managers of the Trades League of Philadelphia, held Aug. 5, the following communication was prepared and forwarded to Hon. Chandler F. Anderson, Secretary of the Joint High Commission, State Department, Washington, D. C.: Sir,—On Dec. 9, 1897, the Board of Managers of the Trades League of Philadelphia passed the following resolution, a copy of which was sent as such member of the House of Representatives and the Senate of the United States; also to His Excellency the Governor-General of the Dominion of Canada: Resolved, That the Trades League of Philadelphia, an organization of upwards of two thousand firms, merchants with deep interest in the overtures now being made by the Dominion of Canada for the purpose of securing a closer commercial union between that country and the United States. The common interests of business and of international comity demand that our relations with our closest neighbor should be as reciprocal as the best interests of our country at large will warrant. The common interests of humanity, civilization, commerce and progress of the American continent, demand that such overtures, offered in good faith, should be received with good-will, and an effort made to reach a basis of reciprocity which would be alike fair to the United States and to the Dominion of Canada, and conducive to increased trade relations between the two countries. We note that you have invited correspondence or suggestions for the consideration of the Joint High Commission, appointed to settle the differences between the United States and the Dominion of Canada, and, therefore, the Board of Managers of the Trades League of Philadelphia, at a special meeting, held Aug. 5, 1908, take the opportunity thus offered of reaffirming the recommendations contained in the above resolution, and to further state that, as recent events have shown that the Government and the people of Great Britain and Ireland are both friendly and helpful sympathy with the United States in the present war, in our judgment, it would be the best of good policy to recognize this generous sentiment by bringing the trade relations of the two countries as closely together as possible. In the above resolution, and in the restrictions, we reduce possible frictions and inconveniences that are liable to arise between ourselves and Canada (separated as we are by only a border line of 3000 miles) to a minimum, and that such action will tend to the material welfare and prosperity of both countries.

SEALERS PUT IN A PETITION.

The Commissioners Will Be Asked to Revoke the Restrictive Regulations. Victoria, B. C., Aug. 9.—In view of the approaching conference at Quebec, the sealers have forwarded a petition to the Governor-General, urging that no further adjusting or arrangement of the sealing question be agreed upon which ignores the causes and reasons for the present unfortunate and unsatisfactory condition of those engaged in the fur seal industry. "It is believed that a sum of not less than \$200 per ton gross registered tonnage of each vessel be allowed as compensation to cover money invested and losses sustained in the world's splendid fishing in such a spot, which was treated as property."

THE GRAVES TO BE OPENED.

To Find Out Whether the Portland Title Is Properly Held.

Sir Francis Jenne has granted the Application of Mrs. Anna Maria Druce for an Order to Have the Alleged Remains of Her Husband Disinterred—Sensation in English Aristocratic Circles. New York, Aug. 9.—The Journal has the following copyrighted cable from London: A decisive point was reached to-day in the most sensational case involving the English aristocracy since that of the fictitious Sir Roger Tielboorn. Sir Francis Jenne held court in the Probate Division of the High Court of Justice with closed doors to hear the testimony of a woman whose name is withheld, but whose testimony is considered absolutely reliable in the famous Druce case, which threatens the title of the Duke of Portland. This witness testified that she had seen and known the man who figured as Thomas Charles Druce and was alleged to have been buried 24 years ago, for years after the date of his alleged death and burial. On the strength of her testimony Sir Francis issued a letter of request to the Chancellor of the Consistory Court of London to make a compulsory order for the exhumation of the coffin buried in 1864, which she claimed to be the body of the late Arnold Statham, claims contains only a lump of lead. The exhumation, which is to be made under the inspection of an officer appointed by the Probate Division of the High Court of Justice, is awaited with almost breathless curiosity by all England, and especially by those members of the aristocracy who are acquainted with the eccentric life of the late fifth Duke of Portland, who spent the last 18 years of his existence in underground cellars and was the uncle of the present Duke of Portland, one of the leaders of English society. The information of the testimony taken before Sir Francis Jenne and of the order for the exhumation of the coffin will not be published in England, since it is contempt of court for any English newspaper to publish proceedings held in camera by English courts. It was in last March that a certain Mrs. Druce claimed that her son-in-law in Australia was the rightful Duke of Portland, and that the father of her late husband was the fifth Duke, who was supposed to have died unmarried. She further claimed that the death and burial of the man calling himself Druce were a sham, and that the Duke who passed under the name of Druce lived for many years after the supposed burial as an inmate of a lunatic asylum. The cases for the sham burial and masquerading, Mrs. Druce affirmed, were the eccentricity of the Duke and the desire of his nominal but not real widow to obtain his property. It is to settle once for all whether the fifth Duke of Portland was really buried under the name of Druce, or whether he died and was buried elsewhere.

THE PRISONERS' TESTIMONY.

Mr. Crawford said, "It is tit and no tat." Others justified and admired the Premier, because he could turn a corner quickly and knew how to hold on to office. "I hope," said he, "the day will never come when the Premier of this province is admired and respected because he can turn a corner quickly."

THE PRISONERS' TESTIMONY.

The Government, he continued, had been driven to a hole largely by the legislation now in force, notably by the two back-downs, once to the liquor vote on the proposed prohibitory law and again in the case of the proposed amendments to the Lord's Day Act, where influential corporations had dominated the Ministry. Mr. Hardy's references to the defeated Conservative ex-members he went on to characterize as unkind, unfair and ungentlemanly, while the defeated Ministers were criticized, he claimed, because they had not been called, simply to talk about it. The fact was the Government were in a hole and they called the House together to help themselves and their party out of it. Among the many views of the Attorney-General's course was the one, said to be shared by certain of the Opposition press, that Mr. Hardy was returning it for tat.

THE PRISONERS' TESTIMONY.

Mr. Crawford said, "It is tit and no tat." Others justified and admired the Premier, because he could turn a corner quickly and knew how to hold on to office. "I hope," said he, "the day will never come when the Premier of this province is admired and respected because he can turn a corner quickly."

THE PRISONERS' TESTIMONY.

The Government, he continued, had been driven to a hole largely by the legislation now in force, notably by the two back-downs, once to the liquor vote on the proposed prohibitory law and again in the case of the proposed amendments to the Lord's Day Act, where influential corporations had dominated the Ministry. Mr. Hardy's references to the defeated Conservative ex-members he went on to characterize as unkind, unfair and ungentlemanly, while the defeated Ministers were criticized, he claimed, because they had not been called, simply to talk about it. The fact was the Government were in a hole and they called the House together to help themselves and their party out of it. Among the many views of the Attorney-General's course was the one, said to be shared by certain of the Opposition press, that Mr. Hardy was returning it for tat.

THE PRISONERS' TESTIMONY.

Mr. Crawford said, "It is tit and no tat." Others justified and admired the Premier, because he could turn a corner quickly and knew how to hold on to office. "I hope," said he, "the day will never come when the Premier of this province is admired and respected because he can turn a corner quickly."

THE PRISONERS' TESTIMONY.

The Government, he continued, had been driven to a hole largely by the legislation now in force, notably by the two back-downs, once to the liquor vote on the proposed prohibitory law and again in the case of the proposed amendments to the Lord's Day Act, where influential corporations had dominated the Ministry. Mr. Hardy's references to the defeated Conservative ex-members he went on to characterize as unkind, unfair and ungentlemanly, while the defeated Ministers were criticized, he claimed, because they had not been called, simply to talk about it. The fact was the Government were in a hole and they called the House together to help themselves and their party out of it. Among the many views of the Attorney-General's course was the one, said to be shared by certain of the Opposition press, that Mr. Hardy was returning it for tat.

THE PRISONERS' TESTIMONY.

Mr. Crawford said, "It is tit and no tat." Others justified and admired the Premier, because he could turn a corner quickly and knew how to hold on to office. "I hope," said he, "the day will never come when the Premier of this province is admired and respected because he can turn a corner quickly."

THE PRISONERS' TESTIMONY.

The Government, he continued, had been driven to a hole largely by the legislation now in force, notably by the two back-downs, once to the liquor vote on the proposed prohibitory law and again in the case of the proposed amendments to the Lord's Day Act, where influential corporations had dominated the Ministry. Mr. Hardy's references to the defeated Conservative ex-members he went on to characterize as unkind, unfair and ungentlemanly, while the defeated Ministers were criticized, he claimed, because they had not been called, simply to talk about it. The fact was the Government were in a hole and they called the House together to help themselves and their party out of it. Among the many views of the Attorney-General's course was the one, said to be shared by certain of the Opposition press, that Mr. Hardy was returning it for tat.

THE GRAVES TO BE OPENED.

To Find Out Whether the Portland Title Is Properly Held.

Sir Francis Jenne has granted the Application of Mrs. Anna Maria Druce for an Order to Have the Alleged Remains of Her Husband Disinterred—Sensation in English Aristocratic Circles. New York, Aug. 9.—The Journal has the following copyrighted cable from London: A decisive point was reached to-day in the most sensational case involving the English aristocracy since that of the fictitious Sir Roger Tielboorn. Sir Francis Jenne held court in the Probate Division of the High Court of Justice with closed doors to hear the testimony of a woman whose name is withheld, but whose testimony is considered absolutely reliable in the famous Druce case, which threatens the title of the Duke of Portland. This witness testified that she had seen and known the man who figured as Thomas Charles Druce and was alleged to have been buried 24 years ago, for years after the date of his alleged death and burial. On the strength of her testimony Sir Francis issued a letter of request to the Chancellor of the Consistory Court of London to make a compulsory order for the exhumation of the coffin buried in 1864, which she claimed to be the body of the late Arnold Statham, claims contains only a lump of lead. The exhumation, which is to be made under the inspection of an officer appointed by the Probate Division of the High Court of Justice, is awaited with almost breathless curiosity by all England, and especially by those members of the aristocracy who are acquainted with the eccentric life of the late fifth Duke of Portland, who spent the last 18 years of his existence in underground cellars and was the uncle of the present Duke of Portland, one of the leaders of English society. The information of the testimony taken before Sir Francis Jenne and of the order for the exhumation of the coffin will not be published in England, since it is contempt of court for any English newspaper to publish proceedings held in camera by English courts. It was in last March that a certain Mrs. Druce claimed that her son-in-law in Australia was the rightful Duke of Portland, and that the father of her late husband was the fifth Duke, who was supposed to have died unmarried. She further claimed that the death and burial of the man calling himself Druce were a sham, and that the Duke who passed under the name of Druce lived for many years after the supposed burial as an inmate of a lunatic asylum. The cases for the sham burial and masquerading, Mrs. Druce affirmed, were the eccentricity of the Duke and the desire of his nominal but not real widow to obtain his property. It is to settle once for all whether the fifth Duke of Portland was really buried under the name of Druce, or whether he died and was buried elsewhere.

THE GRAVES TO BE OPENED.

To Find Out Whether the Portland Title Is Properly Held.

Sir Francis Jenne has granted the Application of Mrs. Anna Maria Druce for an Order to Have the Alleged Remains of Her Husband Disinterred—Sensation in English Aristocratic Circles. New York, Aug. 9.—The Journal has the following copyrighted cable from London: A decisive point was reached to-day in the most sensational case involving the English aristocracy since that of the fictitious Sir Roger Tielboorn. Sir Francis Jenne held court in the Probate Division of the High Court of Justice with closed doors to hear the testimony of a woman whose name is withheld, but whose testimony is considered absolutely reliable in the famous Druce case, which threatens the title of the Duke of Portland. This witness testified that she had seen and known the man who figured as Thomas Charles Druce and was alleged to have been buried 24 years ago, for years after the date of his alleged death and burial. On the strength of her testimony Sir Francis issued a letter of request to the Chancellor of the Consistory Court of London to make a compulsory order for the exhumation of the coffin buried in 1864, which she claimed to be the body of the late Arnold Statham, claims contains only a lump of lead. The exhumation, which is to be made under the inspection of an officer appointed by the Probate Division of the High Court of Justice, is awaited with almost breathless curiosity by all England, and especially by those members of the aristocracy who are acquainted with the eccentric life of the late fifth Duke of Portland, who spent the last 18 years of his existence in underground cellars and was the uncle of the present Duke of Portland, one of the leaders of English society. The information of the testimony taken before Sir Francis Jenne and of the order for the exhumation of the coffin will not be published in England, since it is contempt of court for any English newspaper to publish proceedings held in camera by English courts. It was in last March that a certain Mrs. Druce claimed that her son-in-law in Australia was the rightful Duke of Portland, and that the father of her late husband was the fifth Duke, who was supposed to have died unmarried. She further claimed that the death and burial of the man calling himself Druce were a sham, and that the Duke who passed under the name of Druce lived for many years after the supposed burial as an inmate of a lunatic asylum. The cases for the sham burial and masquerading, Mrs. Druce affirmed, were the eccentricity of the Duke and the desire of his nominal but not real widow to obtain his property. It is to settle once for all whether the fifth Duke of Portland was really buried under the name of Druce, or whether he died and was buried elsewhere.

THE GRAVES TO BE OPENED.

To Find Out Whether the Portland Title Is Properly Held.

Sir Francis Jenne has granted the Application of Mrs. Anna Maria Druce for an Order to Have the Alleged Remains of Her Husband Disinterred—Sensation in English Aristocratic Circles. New York, Aug. 9.—The Journal has the following copyrighted cable from London: A decisive point was reached to-day in the most sensational case involving the English aristocracy since that of the fictitious Sir Roger Tielboorn. Sir Francis Jenne held court in the Probate Division of the High Court of Justice with closed doors to hear the testimony of a woman whose name is withheld, but whose testimony is considered absolutely reliable in the famous Druce case, which threatens the title of the Duke of Portland. This witness testified that she had seen and known the man who figured as Thomas Charles Druce and was alleged to have been buried 24 years ago, for years after the date of his alleged death and burial. On the strength of her testimony Sir Francis issued a letter of request to the Chancellor of the Consistory Court of London to make a compulsory order for the exhumation of the coffin buried in 1864, which she claimed to be the body of the late Arnold Statham, claims contains only a lump of lead. The exhumation, which is to be made under the inspection of an officer appointed by the Probate Division of the High Court of Justice, is awaited with almost breathless curiosity by all England, and especially by those members of the aristocracy who are acquainted with the eccentric life of the late fifth Duke of Portland, who spent the last 18 years of his existence in underground cellars and was the uncle of the present Duke of Portland, one of the leaders of English society. The information of the testimony taken before Sir Francis Jenne and of the order for the exhumation of the coffin will not be published in England, since it is contempt of court for any English newspaper to publish proceedings held in camera by English courts. It was in last March that a certain Mrs. Druce claimed that her son-in-law in Australia was the rightful Duke of Portland, and that the father of her late husband was the fifth Duke, who was supposed to have died unmarried. She further claimed that the death and burial of the man calling himself Druce were a sham, and that the Duke who passed under the name of Druce lived for many years after the supposed burial as an inmate of a lunatic asylum. The cases for the sham burial and masquerading, Mrs. Druce affirmed, were the eccentricity of the Duke and the desire of his nominal but not real widow to obtain his property. It is to settle once for all whether the fifth Duke of Portland was really buried under the name of Druce, or whether he died and was buried elsewhere.

THE GRAVES TO BE OPENED.

To Find Out Whether the Portland Title Is Properly Held.

Sir Francis Jenne has granted the Application of Mrs. Anna Maria Druce for an Order to Have the Alleged Remains of Her Husband Disinterred—Sensation in English Aristocratic Circles. New York, Aug. 9.—The Journal has the following copyrighted cable from London: A decisive point was reached to-day in the most sensational case involving the English aristocracy since that of the fictitious Sir Roger Tielboorn. Sir Francis Jenne held court in the Probate Division of the High Court of Justice with closed doors to hear the testimony of a woman whose name is withheld, but whose testimony is considered absolutely reliable in the famous Druce case, which threatens the title of the Duke of Portland. This witness testified that she had seen and known the man who figured as Thomas Charles Druce and was alleged to have been buried 24 years ago, for years after the date of his alleged death and burial. On the strength of her testimony Sir Francis issued a letter of request to the Chancellor of the Consistory Court of London to make a compulsory order for the exhumation of the coffin buried in 1864, which she claimed to be the body of the late Arnold Statham, claims contains only a lump of lead. The exhumation, which is to be made under the inspection of an officer appointed by the Probate Division of the High Court of Justice, is awaited with almost breathless curiosity by all England, and especially by those members of the aristocracy who are acquainted with the eccentric life of the late fifth Duke of Portland, who spent the last 18 years of his existence in underground cellars and was the uncle of the present Duke of Portland, one of the leaders of English society. The information of the testimony taken before Sir Francis Jenne and of the order for the exhumation of the coffin will not be published in England, since it is contempt of court for any English newspaper to publish proceedings held in camera by English courts. It was in last March that a certain Mrs. Druce claimed that her son-in-law in Australia was the rightful Duke of Portland, and that the father of her late husband was the fifth Duke, who was supposed to have died unmarried. She further claimed that the death and burial of the man calling himself Druce were a sham, and that the Duke who passed under the name of Druce lived for many years after the supposed burial as an inmate of a lunatic asylum. The cases for the sham burial and masquerading, Mrs. Druce affirmed, were the eccentricity of the Duke and the desire of his nominal but not real widow to obtain his property. It is to settle once for all whether the fifth Duke of Portland was really buried under the name of Druce, or whether he died and was buried elsewhere.

THE GRAVES TO BE OPENED.

To Find Out Whether the Portland Title Is Properly Held.

Sir Francis Jenne has granted the Application of Mrs. Anna Maria Druce for an Order to Have the Alleged Remains of Her Husband Disinterred—Sensation in English Aristocratic Circles. New York, Aug. 9.—The Journal has the following copyrighted cable from London: A decisive point was reached to-day in the most sensational case involving the English aristocracy since that of the fictitious Sir Roger Tielboorn. Sir Francis Jenne held court in the Probate Division of the High Court of Justice with closed doors to hear the testimony of a woman whose name is withheld, but whose testimony is considered absolutely reliable in the famous Druce case, which threatens the title of the Duke of Portland. This witness testified that she had seen and known the man who figured as Thomas Charles Druce and was alleged to have been buried 24 years ago, for years after the date of his alleged death and burial. On the strength of her testimony Sir Francis issued a letter of request to the Chancellor of the Consistory Court of London to make a compulsory order for the exhumation of the coffin buried in 1864, which she claimed to be the body of the late Arnold Statham, claims contains only a lump of lead. The exhumation, which is to be made under the inspection of an officer appointed by the Probate Division of the High Court of Justice, is awaited with almost breathless curiosity by all England, and especially by those members of the aristocracy who are acquainted with the eccentric life of the late fifth Duke of Portland, who spent the last 18 years of his existence in underground cellars and was the uncle of the present Duke of Portland, one of the leaders of English society. The information of the testimony taken before Sir Francis Jenne and of the order for the exhumation of the coffin will not be published in England, since it is contempt of court for any English newspaper to publish proceedings held in camera by English courts. It was in last March that a certain Mrs. Druce claimed that her son-in-law in Australia was the rightful Duke of Portland, and that the father of her late husband was the fifth Duke, who was supposed to have died unmarried. She further claimed that the death and burial of the man calling himself Druce were a sham, and that the Duke who passed under the name of Druce lived for many years after the supposed burial as an inmate of a lunatic asylum. The cases for the sham burial and masquerading, Mrs. Druce affirmed, were the eccentricity of the Duke and the desire of his nominal but not real widow to obtain his property. It is to settle once for all whether the fifth Duke of Portland was really buried under the name of Druce, or whether he died and was buried elsewhere.

THE GRAVES TO BE OPENED.

To Find Out Whether the Portland Title Is Properly Held.

Sir Francis Jenne has granted the Application of Mrs. Anna Maria Druce for an Order to Have the Alleged Remains of Her Husband Disinterred—Sensation in English Aristocratic Circles. New York, Aug. 9.—The Journal has the following copyrighted cable from London: A decisive point was reached to-day in the most sensational case involving the English aristocracy since that of the fictitious Sir Roger Tielboorn. Sir Francis Jenne held court in the Probate Division of the High Court of Justice with closed doors to hear the testimony of a woman whose name is withheld, but whose testimony is considered absolutely reliable in the famous Druce case, which threatens the title of the Duke of Portland. This witness testified that she had seen and known the man who figured as Thomas Charles Druce and was alleged to have been buried 24 years ago, for years after the date of his alleged death and burial. On the strength of her testimony Sir Francis issued a letter of request to the Chancellor of the Consistory Court of London to make a compulsory order for the exhumation of the coffin buried in 1864, which she claimed to be the body of the late Arnold Statham, claims contains only a lump of lead. The exhumation, which is to be made under the inspection of an officer appointed by the Probate Division of the High Court of Justice, is awaited with almost breathless curiosity by all England, and especially by those members of the aristocracy who are acquainted with the eccentric life of the late fifth Duke of Portland, who spent the last 18 years of his existence in underground cellars and was the uncle of the present Duke of Portland, one of the leaders of English society. The information of the testimony taken before Sir Francis Jenne and of the order for the exhumation of the coffin will not be published in England, since it is contempt of court for any English newspaper to publish proceedings held in camera by English courts. It was in last March that a certain Mrs. Druce claimed that her son-in-law in Australia was the rightful Duke of Portland, and that the father of her late husband was the fifth Duke, who was supposed to have died unmarried. She further claimed that the death and burial of the man calling himself Druce were a sham, and that the Duke who passed under the name of Druce lived for many years after the supposed burial as an inmate of a lunatic asylum. The cases for the sham burial and masquerading, Mrs. Druce affirmed, were the eccentricity of the Duke and the desire of his nominal but not real widow to obtain his property. It is to settle once for all whether the fifth Duke of Portland was really buried under the name of Druce, or whether he died and was buried elsewhere.

THE GRAVES TO BE OPENED.

To Find Out Whether the Portland Title Is Properly Held.

Sir Francis Jenne has granted the Application of Mrs. Anna Maria Druce for an Order to Have the Alleged Remains of Her Husband Disinterred—Sensation in English Aristocratic Circles. New York, Aug. 9.—The Journal has the following copyrighted cable from London: A decisive point was reached to-day in the most sensational case involving the English aristocracy since that of the fictitious Sir Roger Tielboorn. Sir Francis Jenne held court in the Probate Division of the High Court of Justice with closed doors to hear the testimony of a woman whose name is withheld, but whose testimony is considered absolutely reliable in the famous Druce case, which threatens the title of the Duke of Portland. This witness testified that she had seen and known the man who figured as Thomas Charles Druce and was alleged to have been buried 24 years ago, for years after the date of his alleged death and burial. On the strength of her testimony Sir Francis issued a letter of request to the Chancellor of the Consistory Court of London to make a compulsory order for the exhumation of the coffin buried in 1864, which she claimed to be the body of the late Arnold Statham, claims contains only a lump of lead. The exhumation, which is to be made under the inspection of an officer appointed by the Probate Division of the High Court of Justice, is awaited with almost breathless curiosity by all England, and especially by those members of the aristocracy who are acquainted with the eccentric life of the late fifth Duke of Portland, who spent the last 18 years of his existence in underground cellars and was the uncle of the present Duke of Portland, one of the leaders of English society. The information of the testimony taken before Sir Francis Jenne and of the order for the exhumation of the coffin will not be published in England, since it is contempt of court for any English newspaper to publish proceedings held in camera by English courts. It was in last March that a certain Mrs. Druce claimed that her son-in-law in Australia was the rightful Duke of Portland, and that the father of her late husband was the fifth Duke, who was supposed to have died unmarried. She further claimed that the death and burial of the man calling himself Druce were a sham, and that the Duke who passed under the name of Druce lived for many years after the supposed burial as an inmate of a lunatic asylum. The cases for the sham burial and masquerading, Mrs. Druce affirmed, were the eccentricity of the Duke and the desire of his nominal but not real widow to obtain his property. It is to settle once for all whether the fifth Duke of Portland was really buried under the name of Druce, or whether he died and was buried elsewhere.