

parts, and in which also *Denys* was concerned, the second, which was given to *La Tour*, contained half, or perhaps more, of the peninsula : for “ *be bad*, says the jesuit, *Acadia*, properly so “ called, from *Port Royal* to *Camceaux* :” that is, as it must be understood, by a line drawn from one place to the other ; so that all to the south of it belonged to *La Tour*.

That there was such a division as this we shall not dispute : but supposing this to have been the earliest of the two divisions (which we are at liberty to do, since there is nothing said in the place which requires the contrary) it overthrows *Charlevoix*’s assertion, that *Acadia* was only a bare coast ; much more his affirming that it extended only from *Cape Sable* to *Camceaux*. It goes farther, and, from the expression *Acadia proper*, implies, what we have above suggested, that this was only a part of a larger country, which went by the name of *Acadia*, in general, according to a known rule in geography.

It is not at all unjustifiable in us, to suppose this to have been the first division of the two ; since it was in the time of *Razilly*, to whom it was granted in 1633, and *Charlevoix* does not tell us which was the first. But the truth is, that the quadrupartite division was a forgery contrived by that jesuitical historian, only to corroborate his misconstruction of the words of *Champlain*, and support one falsehood by another : for *Denys* mentions no such division of the country, much less under the name of *Canada*, as *Charlevoix* affirms ; nor indeed any division at all of it, either in his first book, or the map pre-  
fixed