

I note that there is no mention of this in the statement.

To conclude what I had to say on this matter, I think that it is in order to repeat here what I said in 1963, since the last salary raise dates back to that year.

I have in hands the full text of what I said on a practically similar occasion, not very long after the salary raise which had been granted then, and I quote:

Has a second thought been given to the feeling of fathers who can barely make both ends meet with incomes of \$1,000, \$1,500 or \$2,000 a year, to the feelings of those who, in their sickness, must accept the restrictions imposed by social service officials regarding their own subsistence and that of their families, and even worse, to the feelings of the unemployed? There are thousands of them, as everybody knows, who live in constant fear.

What was their reaction—

—or what will be their reaction—

—when they saw that legislators were looking after their own interests instead of settling urgent problems such as unemployment, family allowance increases, boosts in old age pensions—

—in this respect, there already has been clear improvement—

and in pensions to veterans who, more than any others, have given their all for the survival of democracy, for which their countrymen should have been ever grateful—

—members of Parliament thought of themselves first.

I could continue enumerating quite a number of undeserved cases of destitution. Have we considered those unsettled cases before granting ourselves an increase of indemnities?

It is unfortunate, but such actions contribute to increase dissatisfaction among the people. Canadian citizens are always ready to pay their administrators handsomely,—

—provided that they render good services—

—as long as they do not forget to give them in the first place the necessary means to earn a decent living.

Let us compare the imbalance between the increasing rise of salaries of the average Canadian heads of families and the unchanged rates of family allowances.

I said this in 1963, and there is nothing I have to withdraw. I have no reason for changing my opinion because the system has not improved.

This is what I had to say concerning the statement we just heard.

* * *

[English]

POST OFFICE ACT

AMENDMENTS RESPECTING STAMP AGENTS' COMMISSION, LETTER MAIL PREPARATION ARRANGEMENTS, EXTRAORDINARY SERVICES, MEMBERS' MAIL, ETC.

Hon. Allan J. MacEachen (for the minister responsible for the Post Office) moved for leave to introduce Bill C-240, to amend the Post Office Act.

Motion agreed to, bill read the first time and ordered to be printed.

24081—49

Questions

QUESTIONS ON THE ORDER PAPER

(Questions answered orally are indicated by an asterisk.)

CBC—SPORTS BROADCAST TIME

Question No. 965—**Mr. Rowland:**

In each of the years 1969 and 1970, what was the total broadcast time devoted by (a) CBC radio (English network) (b) CBC television (English network) (c) CBC radio (French network) (d) CBC television (French network) to (i) American professional sports events (ii) Canadian professional sports events (iii) international professional sports events (iv) Canadian amateur sports events (v) other amateur sports events?

[Translation]

Hon. Gérard Pelletier (Secretary of State): I am informed by the Canadian Broadcasting Corporation as follows:

	1969	1970
	hours	hours
(a) American professional sports events	14	15½
Canadian professional sports events	74½	66½
International professional sports events	2½	4½
Canadian amateur sports events	13	3½
Other amateur sports events	5	11
(In addition many short telephone reports from domestic and international sports events were broadcast in the body of studio sports programs).		
(b) American professional sports events	111½	98½
Canadian professional sports events	205	259
International professional sports events	5	9½
Canadian amateur sports events	121	65
Other amateur sports events	36	18½
(c) Canadian professional sports events 100 hours in 1969 and 1970.		
(d) American professional sports events	84½	109½
Canadian professional sports events	263	251½
International professional sports events	3	4
Canadian amateur sports events	100	96½
Other amateur sports events	3½	6

[English]

PEI—UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE BENEFITS AND MANPOWER TRAINING

Question No. 1,079—**Mr. McQuaid:**

- How many residents of the Province of Prince Edward Island were drawing unemployment insurance benefits as of (a) January 31, 1969 (b) January 31, 1970 (c) January 31, 1971?
- What was the total amount paid in the Province of Prince Edward Island in unemployment insurance benefits on each date?
- During the months of October, November and December, 1970 and January, 1971, how many applications for unemployment insurance were rejected and for what reasons?
- As of January 31, 1971, how many people in the Province of Prince Edward Island were enrolled in the various upgrading courses sponsored by the Department of Manpower and Immigration?
- What was the total amount paid during the month of January, 1971, to those enrolled in the various upgrading courses sponsored by the Department of Manpower and Immigration?

Mr. J. A. Jerome (Parliamentary Secretary to President of the Privy Council): I am informed by the Un-