Questions

2. The members are elected by the student body.

YELLOWKNIFE, N.W.T.—USE OF AKAITCHO HALL GYMNASIUM

Question No. 1,592-Mr. Orlikow:

1. Do the regulations applicable to students residing at Akaitcho Hall, Yellowknife, require students using the gym to check with the Supervisor before going into the school?

2. Do the regulations require students to file their leave cards with their Supervisors before leaving for the gym and to pick them up after leaving the gym, and are they subject to a penalty if they fail to do so?

Hon. Jean Chrétien (Minister of Indian Affairs and Northern Development): 1. The regulations concerning admittance to the school gymnasium are made by the school principal, and apply to town students as well as residence students.

2. No.

YELLOWKNIFE, N.W.T.-NATURE OF PROHIBITIONS AT AKAITCHO HALL

Question No. 1,593-Mr. Orlikow:

Do the regulations applicable to students residing at Akaitcho Hall, Yellowknife (a) prohibit swearing and can infraction of this rule lead to dismissal from the residence and have any students been dismissed for this reason (b) prohibit the chewing of gum within the Residence (c) prohibit smoking during the period of their residence for those students who did not smoke before coming to Akaitcho Hall (d) provide that leave privileges for all residents can be cancelled if one student is guilty of unacceptable behavior (e) provide that each and every leave must be authorized by the Supervisors (f) provide that students must sign a leave sheet every time they both go out of and come back into the building (g) grant evening leave only in exceptional circumstances and where they are specifically cleared with the Supervisors?

Hon. Jean Chrétien (Minister of Indian Affairs and Northern Development): (a) No. Students are encouraged to refrain from use of obscene language; (b) No; (c) No. Smoking is confined to certin specific rooms; (d) No; (e) No; (f) No; (g) No.

SPONSORSHIP OF POLITICAL BROADCASTS

Question No. 1,632-Mr. Skoberg:

Do both federal and provincial partisan political broadcasts require sponsorship announcements at times other than during an election campaign?
If not, has the Act been changed and, if so,

when?

[Mr. Chrétien.]

3. Has the Commission authority to enact regulations as to the manner in which controversial programmes shall be broadcast and, if so, has any change been made in these regulations and when was the change made?

4. When does the Commission consider partian political broadcasts, other than during an election campaign, to be controversial?

5. What authority determines specific rulings regarding programmes of a controversial partisan political character?

Hon. Gérard Pelletier (Secretary of State): I am informed by the Canadian Radio-Television Commission as follows: 1 and 2. Yes. Licensees were required by Section 17(2) of the former Broadcasting Act to identify the sponsor and political party for broadcasts of a partisan political character. Licensees are required by Section 28(2) of the Broadcasting Act to identify the sponsor and political party of broadcasts of programs, advertisements or announcements of a partisan character.

3. Yes. No changes made as yet.

4. This is a matter for the exercise of the regulatory and supervisory functions of the Commission as authorized by Section 15,2(d), and 16(1)(b)(i) of the Broadcasting Act.

5. See 4.

TOLLS ON DETROIT-WINDSOR TUNNEL

Question No. 1,672-Mr. Danforth:

Were any negotiations held between the Government of Canada and the officials of the Detroit and Windsor Subway Company re the increase in tolls on the tunnel between Detroit and Windsor (a) if so, in what manner were the rates determined that provided for such a drastic increase (b) if not, were any protests made officially by the Canadian Government (i) over the amount of increase (ii) over the sudden imposition without any warning to the trade (c) if the Canadian Government did not have a part in the negotiations or has not launched a protest, will the government now review the new schedule with a view to protesting that such an increase be reduced to a more reasonable level or disallowed entirely?

Hon. Paul Hellyer (Minister of Transport): The Canadian Transport Commission advises as follows: There were no negotiations between the Commission and the Company. (a) Not applicable; (b) (i) No protests were received by the Canadian Transport Commission; (ii) No protests were received by the Canadian Transport Commission; (c) It is open to anyone, at any time, to make application to the Commission regarding any tolls of the Company.