

Transport 2000 — A Plan to Maintain the Double-Spine Transcontinental Passenger Rail Service—An Analysis of the economic impact of bi-level equipment—August, 1981

Appendices

Consists and Marshalling Instructions, Supercontinental, CNR, 1975 (# III), 18A: 18, 48, 50

Consists and Marshalling Instructions of Trains, Sudbury-Vancouver, VIA Rail, 1980 (# I), 18A: 12, 42, 44

List, **18A: 3**

Preferred Plan for Western Transcontinental Passenger Service, Railway Transport Committee of the CTC, 1977, p. 42-3 (# II), 18A: 18, 46-7

Double-spine transcontinental service

Historical background, **18A: 4, 5**

Routes proposed (Diagram I), **18A: 8, 9**

Schedules proposed (Schedule 1), **18A: 8**

Service proposed and service before cutback program 1981

East, **18A: 5-7, 8, 9**

West, **18A: 5, 7, 8, 9**

Equipment

Bi-level trains

AMTRAK (United States), comparison, **18A: 12, 13, 18, 19**

Benefits, **18A: 6, 12, 14-5, 16, 17-8**

Possible builders, **18A: 19**

Conventional single-level trains, disadvantages, **18A: 4, 16-7**

Conventional single-level trains and bi-level trains, comparison

Operating costs, **15: 13; 18A: 14-5**

Train consists and revenue passenger capacity, **18A: 12**

Cost development, methodology, **18A: 12-4**

Light, Rapid and Comfortable (LRC) trains, **18A: 5-6, 7, 8, 9**

Modernization, benefits, **18A: 4-5**

Options, evaluation criteria, **18A: 5**

Rebuilt single-level trains and bi-level trains, comparison

Cost of rebuilding versus cost of purchase, **18A: 15-6**

Operating costs, **18A: 6, 16**

Table of Contents, **18A: 2**

Tables

Additional Cars Which May Be Added to Basic Bi-Level Train Consists Without Requiring Additional Meal-Cars During The Peak Period (# XVII), **18A: 19, 40**

Additional Cars Which May Be Added to Bi-Level Trains Without Requiring Additional Diesel Locomotives During The Peak Period (# XVI), **18A: 19, 38**

Annual Operating Cost of Single-Level and Bi-Level Trains and the Annual Reduction in Operating Costs of Bi-Level Versus Single-Level Trains Between 1980 and 2003 (# XIII), **18A: 16, 34**

Bi-Level Car Configuration and Passenger Carrying Capacity (# II), **18A: 12, 14, 17, 20**

Comparison of Peak and Off-Peak Diesel and Car Requirements for Conventional Single-Level and Bi-Level Trains (# X), **18A: 14, 32**

Eastern and Western Transcontinental Services Comparison of 1980 Conventional and Bi-Level Equipped Train Output Units and Operating Costs for Full-Year Period (# IX), **18A: 14, 30**

Eastern Transcontinental Comparison of Conventional and Bi-Level Equipped Train Output Units and Operating Costs for Peak, Off-Peak and Full-Year Period (# VII), **18A: 14, 28**

Eastern Transcontinental Number of Conventional Cars Operated and Seating Capacity Provided for the Peak and Off-Peak Seasons Compared to the Number of Bi-Level Cars Required to Provide Equivalent Seating Capacity (# V), **18A: 12, 26**

List, **18A: 2-3**

Thompson, honorable Andrew, sénateur (Dovercourt)

VIA Rail Canada Inc., L'étude portant sur le service ferroviaire national de transport de voyageurs assuré par, **16: 35-6, 39, 40-1**

Thompson, M. K.W., conseiller principal, Comité des transports par chemin de fer, Commission canadienne des transports

Bill C-155, teneur

Discussion, **34: 15-21, 25-9**

Exposé, **34: 6-14**

VIA Rail Canada Inc., L'étude portant sur le service ferroviaire national de transport de voyageurs assuré par

Discussion, **20: 21-5, 27, 29, 30, 31-2; 23: 16-27, 30-1; 28: 28, 29, 32-5**

Exposé, **28: 30-1**

Lettre à l'honorable sénateur Smith, **28: 14, 16, 21, 22, 23, 29, 30**

Témoignage devant le Comité mixte des Règlements et autres textes réglementaires, citations, **26: 6, 8**

Titre 49

Voir

États-Unis—*Code of Federal Regulations 49*

Tittley, M. Robert, directeur général, Services ferroviaires-voyageurs, Transports Canada

VIA Rail Canada Inc., L'étude portant sur le service ferroviaire national de transport de voyageurs assuré par, **28: 7, 10, 27**

Tokyo, Sommet, 1979

Voir sous Sommets économiques des pays industrialisés

Toronto Star

Document ébruité

Article par Carol Goar, **19: 34-5**

Référence, **15: 27-8**

Voir aussi

Solution de rechange à la nouvelle politique relative à VIA Rail Canada présentée le 27 juillet 1981 par le gouvernement

Tourisme

Chemins de fer, réductions du service-voyageurs, effet, **15: 36; 16: 11; 17: 7, 17, 18, 36; 18: 10**

Transport des grains dans l'Ouest: rapport sur les consultations et recommandations (Rapport Gilson)

Audiences et Rapport, **34: 7, 12, 22, 26-7, 33-4; 38: 17, 34; 39: 32-3**

Recommandations

Agence centrale de coordination, **34: 7, 8, 23; 38: 17, 19**

Groupes de travail, **34: 22-3; 38: 17**

Nid-de-Corbeau, subvention

Mode de versement, **34: 10, 23, 26, 28; 36: 37; 37: 5, 7, 9-10**

Montant, **35: 9-10; 36: 12, 18; 39: 19-21**

Taux, partage des coûts entre le gouvernement et les producteurs, **34: 23, 33; 38: 28-9; 39: 9, 16**

Transport des marchandises dangereuses

Comités consultatifs, **3: 9-10; 4: 9-10, 12-4, 22-3; 10: 7, 19**

Consultations fédérales-provinciales, **3: 6, 7-9, 14-5; 4: 6-7, 10-2, 20-1; 13: 5-6, 8, 10-1; 14: 6**

Transport maritime, règlements, **31: 31**

Transports Canada, consultations avec associations industrielles, **4: 9-10; 8: 5-6, 13-4, 18-22, 24-5, 27; 10: 15-6, 20-1; 11: 7, 11-3, 14; 13: 8-9**

Voir aussi

Conteneurs

Navires-citernes