still in this land. The noighbours know it, educated men know it, editors know it, yot there is litde public protest. If it were in England and America, the whole world would know it. Why are not these facta brought forward until the whole country is stirred aud reform Wrought ?
It looks hopeloss. It has gone on so many, many years. It mas here the story of the Maine came to our mind and spoke oourage and hope, May be some day some sooial Maino will come to our help-some social tragedy deeper and more terrible than Phulmani's, or more unjust than Rakhmabai's, that will so otir mon'a hearts and set forces in action that will in a short time bring womanhood a glorious deliverance. Men alraady asy, that women aro not ready or fit for a ohange, thast they are only ohildren. And they never will be aught else in the preeent position. Mare the change, and then better the mistakes of freedom, a thuasand times ovor, than the oruel wrongs of oppression and degradation.

The real difficulty of these wrongs, and what stande in the way of reform is this: that these wronge are done in the name of religion and vindicated as custom. As long as a people's gods sot an example of immorality and wife-besting, these aots are never going to have the samblance of crime or wrong to moat of their worahippers. As long as the Bhantras give a low estimate of woman, she is not going to have much honour or reverence from most of its readers. Manu says: "For women no sacramental rite is performed with aacred tosta," thus the law in mettled: women who are destitute of strength, and destitute of the knowledge of Vedic toxts are as impure as falsehood itsolf, that is a fixed rule.

Ramsbai asya: "Suoh distrast and such low entimace of woman's nature and charsater in general is at the root of the custom of the saclusion of women. Those who diligently and impartially read Sanscrit literature in the original, cannot fail to reoognize the law giver, Manu, as one of those hundrods who have done their beat to make woman hateful

I can aay honeatly and truth. fully that I have never read any eacred book in Sanscrit literature without meoting this kind of hat ofnl sontiment about women."

In profane literature, Ratnabai found the following catochism.

## 1. What is cruol?

The heart of a viper.
2. What is more cruel than that ?

The heart of a woman.
3. What is cruelest of all?

The heart of s sonless, penniless widow.
Agaia:

1. What is the ohief gate to hell?

A woman.
2. What bewitchan like wine?

A woman.
3. Who is the wisest of the wise? He who has not buen deceived by women who may be oumpared to malig. nant tiends.
4. What are fetters to a man?

Womsa.
5. What is that which esnnot be trusted?

Woman.
There are hundreds of men in India who feel these questions deoply who go as far in reform as they dare, who would nobly stand by any measure that would be
brought up, if thay wore alone. But any action on their part involves forty relatives and friends who have not their convictions, but who must share the reformer's ostracism and ill-repute. We would not be hard on them. Mr. Malabari who has asid and written so much on these aubjects is a Parsee, and Ramabai a Ohristian. Both of them can date the beginnings of their interest to sad scones witnessed in their ohildhood in their native place. In a sketch of Mr. Malsbari the writer tells how he heard the shrieks of a little girl like Phulmani Dasi, and those shrieks still ring in his soul. Ramabai tells how in one part of her father's house when she was but nine years old, there lived a poor family. The family consisted of a man of thirty years of age, his girl wife of sixteon, and his-old mother. The mother-in-law was all the worat that is implied by that name in this country, a heartloss old hag, always beating, sbuaing and oruelly treating her daughtor-in-lsw. One dny when the girl was spinning, a monkey stole her cotton. For this carelessness the girl was sbusod by the mother-in-law who nageed the hurband on to buat her. Ramabai adde: "I was an eye witneas to all this. Her piercing cries went right to my hoart, and I seum to hear them now after nearly thirty years. My childish heart was fillod with indignation. I was powerless to help. But I have never forgotten that poor girl's ories for help, snd I suppuse it was the first call I recoived to enter upon the sacred duty of helping my sisters according to the little atrongth I had. But I never realized tho extent of griof and suffering and the need of my sisters just as long as I remained in darkness, and hed po love of God in me.'

That is the socret. The love of God kindled a heart and life to spend and be spent for her sisters. The love of Christ constrains men to suffer for others. "Hereby te love because He laid down His life for us: and we ought to lay down our lives for the brethren!"

The public so soon forgets and needs to be reminded, that we felt perhaps all we could do was to review these wronge one by one, and stir men's hoarts afresh to remember the wronge of Indian womanhood, and perhapes in this way give an added impetus to prayer and effort.

Bombay Ghardiath.

## MURALIS.

We have in our possession a small band of black cloth on which are sown geven cowries, the necklace of the Murali. Yur first knowledge of this clash was given us yeara ago in a very practical way. A servant in whom we were much intereated, bad a little niece of about nine yeara of age who had been married to a aword. We had heard all about the wedding, and how the wee child had, at last, fainted through sheer fatigue during the long feslivities.
But why was she mairied to a aword, and whose aword was it? Slowly the truth dawned upon us. We found that the aword or dagger belonged to the god, Khandoba, and that inevitable mortal ruin awaited the child. She wes a Murali. We were shocked, but to our remonstrances, the eervant had but one reply: "It is our cuatom." We became posseased with a desire to save the child from the life that surely awaited her. The servant finally brought her to us, and she was put in a sehool. A fow years later, in spite of all our efforta to

