

THE CITY AND VICINITY.

THE DAILY ROUND OF LIFE IN AND ABOUT TORONTO.

What the People are Doing and Thinking About. Brief Notes Gathered Everywhere by World Reporters.

The board of public school trustees met to-night. A number of bogus 10 and 25 cent pieces are being circulated in the city.

The Toronto corn exchange adjourned yesterday without transacting any business on account of the death of President Garfield.

Burglars tried to break into Mr. Alex. Manning's premises yesterday morning. They were surprised, but managed to make their escape.

Thos. Wilson is at No. 2 police station charged with having stolen a suit of clothes. He was trying to dispose of them on Queen street when arrested.

There are seventeen non-jury and twenty-nine jury cases on the docket for the present fall session. Among the latter are two breach of promise cases.

Thos. Wright, colored, was arrested about 1.30 p.m. yesterday and found in No. 2 station, for stealing fruit from an orchard belonging to Thos. Best on Murray street.

Yorkville police court: George Hackett, trespass, fined \$2 and costs; James Ross, George Hackett, burglary at Roseville, Ross was committed for trial, Hackett was discharged.

A man calling himself W. Hermann has been sending a large number of persons out of jewellery, trading in the name of a well-known Hamilton jeweller named W. Hermann.

Early yesterday morning an attempt was made to burglarize the residence of Mr. Wm. Marston, Lippincott street. An entrance was made but no booty secured. The burglars escaped.

A meeting of the Women's Christian Temperance Union was called for Wednesday afternoon. It was so poorly attended that it was adjourned to give the committee a chance to notify the members.

A resident of Blacker street writes complaining of nuisance in the shape of a cowshed in that neighborhood, from which an unhealthy odor emanates. He alleges that the cowshed is in violation of the city by-laws.

The city commissioner should enquire into the matter. At St. Stephen's church yesterday, Miss Charlotte Morrison, daughter of Mrs. E. B. Lotte Morrison, was married to Mr. E. B. Brown, son of Mr. Gordon Brown.

The bridemaids were Miss Agnes Morrison, Miss Jessie Marlow, Miss Emily Bronghail and Miss Etie Nickerson. The groomsmen were Mr. T. Langton, Mr. R. D. Gamble, Mr. Fred Moffat and Master Stuart Morrison.

An inquest was held yesterday afternoon on the body of the infant of Sarah Howe. The jury after hearing the evidence returned the following verdict: That the jury are of the opinion that the child died of cholera.

July last, at the girl's home on the 20th of the month, at the girl's home on the 20th of the month, at the girl's home on the 20th of the month, at the girl's home on the 20th of the month.

specifying the evil system of back farming, Chris and Lena" was again performed at the Royal last night to a splendid house.

Raker and Farron are such old favorites that it is superfluous to say anything about their usual "form." The musical selections, some of which were new, were well received, and some were attempted, which were absorbed by the unwillingness of the performers to do justice to the music.

public wanting about double what was promised and paid for. The piece will run through the week.

The Toronto Turkish Bath, 233 Queen street west, are open every day from 7 a.m. to 9 p.m.

If you are desirous of patronizing a first-class restaurant, where you can enjoy a good meal, try Wilkinson's, 187 Yonge street. Dinner from full table for five cents, including all the delicacies of the season.

Those in want of sewing machines ought to inspect the Wheeler & Wilson at No. 83 King street west previous to their deciding to buy elsewhere. A visit to Mr. C. C. Fomeroy's office would not be out of place.

Are you a dyspeptic? Have you indigestion? Is your appetite all gone? Do you feel trouble you? Is your appetite and energy gone? Zepes (from Dr. Zepes) will restore you to your normal state.

It is a gentle purgative, acts as a tonic upon the digestive organs, and energy to the digestive apparatus. It is strongly recommended, carries off all surplus acids, and is the most reliable of all purgatives.

Among the many attractions of the city do not fail to visit the handsome tailoring establishment of M. Maloney & Son, 59 Bay street, where you will find a superb stock of select wools, comprising the latest styles of the new tailored tweed, French and West of England worsteds, fine trousers, etc., at prices which will commend them to the public.

THE ASSIZES. The assizes opened yesterday, Mr. Justice Cameron on the bench. The case of Lichtenheim v. Ullman was postponed until the next assizes.

Rathburn v. City was an action brought against the city by James Rathburn and his wife for injuries sustained by the latter. The facts as stated by her were, that on the evening of the 6th of December last she was walking down the west side of Yonge street. When opposite a store near the corner she stepped upon a grating her foot slipped through, and she fell to the ground and was badly injured. She was in bed about five weeks and unable to attend to her work for three months. Dr. Richardson testified to the serious nature of the injury, which, however, he did not regard as permanent.

He had entered in his book a charge for services of \$18. Conroy, who fixed the grate some time during the winter, said that at that time it projected about an inch and a half over the sidewalk, and was also broken. Richard Atsey said the grate was broken on the Saturday before the Monday on which the accident happened. Before that it was not broken, but projected above the sidewalk. Henry Wickham, a clerk in the office of the plaintiff's attorney, said the grating in the latter part of January. It was then broken and projected above the sidewalk. Since that time it had been let in flush with the sidewalk. At the conclusion of the case Mr. Williams applied for a writ of mandamus, on the ground that the city had been given of negligence on the part of the corporation. His lordship decided to let the matter go to the jury. Mr. John Rogers, of Madelin, Rogers & Co., parliamentary printers at Ottawa, is in town.

It is stated that Hon. Alexander Macleod will appear before the Pacific railway commission next Monday.

STAR VS. MAIL.

The Question of Circulation—How the Paper with the Largest Circulation in Canada Dodged the Star's Challenge.

A good deal of interest has been aroused in the contest between the Montreal Star and the Toronto Mail on the question of circulation. After long hesitation, the Mail at last makes a pretence of meeting the Star's challenge, but it is only a pretence. Instead of straightforwardly ward manfully accepting the issue and unconditionally accepting the issue which was presented by the Star which squarely and directly as it was possible to present it, the Mail attempts to escape by a subterfuge which is unworthy of the head of a paper which boasts itself to be the "leading paper in the Dominion." After a long and windy dissertation about nothing in particular and not having the remotest connection with the Star's challenge, the Mail proposes that a comparison shall be left in the hands of the public.

We propose that a comparison shall be left in the hands of the public. Instead of unconditionally accepting the issue which was presented by the Star which squarely and directly as it was possible to present it, the Mail attempts to escape by a subterfuge which is unworthy of the head of a paper which boasts itself to be the "leading paper in the Dominion." After a long and windy dissertation about nothing in particular and not having the remotest connection with the Star's challenge, the Mail proposes that a comparison shall be left in the hands of the public.

It is not fair to say that the Mail is in the hands of the public. It is not fair to say that the Mail is in the hands of the public. It is not fair to say that the Mail is in the hands of the public.

It is not fair to say that the Mail is in the hands of the public. It is not fair to say that the Mail is in the hands of the public. It is not fair to say that the Mail is in the hands of the public.

It is not fair to say that the Mail is in the hands of the public. It is not fair to say that the Mail is in the hands of the public. It is not fair to say that the Mail is in the hands of the public.

It is not fair to say that the Mail is in the hands of the public. It is not fair to say that the Mail is in the hands of the public. It is not fair to say that the Mail is in the hands of the public.

It is not fair to say that the Mail is in the hands of the public. It is not fair to say that the Mail is in the hands of the public. It is not fair to say that the Mail is in the hands of the public.

It is not fair to say that the Mail is in the hands of the public. It is not fair to say that the Mail is in the hands of the public. It is not fair to say that the Mail is in the hands of the public.

It is not fair to say that the Mail is in the hands of the public. It is not fair to say that the Mail is in the hands of the public. It is not fair to say that the Mail is in the hands of the public.

It is not fair to say that the Mail is in the hands of the public. It is not fair to say that the Mail is in the hands of the public. It is not fair to say that the Mail is in the hands of the public.

It is not fair to say that the Mail is in the hands of the public. It is not fair to say that the Mail is in the hands of the public. It is not fair to say that the Mail is in the hands of the public.

It is not fair to say that the Mail is in the hands of the public. It is not fair to say that the Mail is in the hands of the public. It is not fair to say that the Mail is in the hands of the public.

It is not fair to say that the Mail is in the hands of the public. It is not fair to say that the Mail is in the hands of the public. It is not fair to say that the Mail is in the hands of the public.

It is not fair to say that the Mail is in the hands of the public. It is not fair to say that the Mail is in the hands of the public. It is not fair to say that the Mail is in the hands of the public.

It is not fair to say that the Mail is in the hands of the public. It is not fair to say that the Mail is in the hands of the public. It is not fair to say that the Mail is in the hands of the public.

It is not fair to say that the Mail is in the hands of the public. It is not fair to say that the Mail is in the hands of the public. It is not fair to say that the Mail is in the hands of the public.

It is not fair to say that the Mail is in the hands of the public. It is not fair to say that the Mail is in the hands of the public. It is not fair to say that the Mail is in the hands of the public.

It is not fair to say that the Mail is in the hands of the public. It is not fair to say that the Mail is in the hands of the public. It is not fair to say that the Mail is in the hands of the public.

It is not fair to say that the Mail is in the hands of the public. It is not fair to say that the Mail is in the hands of the public. It is not fair to say that the Mail is in the hands of the public.

It is not fair to say that the Mail is in the hands of the public. It is not fair to say that the Mail is in the hands of the public. It is not fair to say that the Mail is in the hands of the public.

It is not fair to say that the Mail is in the hands of the public. It is not fair to say that the Mail is in the hands of the public. It is not fair to say that the Mail is in the hands of the public.

It is not fair to say that the Mail is in the hands of the public. It is not fair to say that the Mail is in the hands of the public. It is not fair to say that the Mail is in the hands of the public.

It is not fair to say that the Mail is in the hands of the public. It is not fair to say that the Mail is in the hands of the public. It is not fair to say that the Mail is in the hands of the public.

It is not fair to say that the Mail is in the hands of the public. It is not fair to say that the Mail is in the hands of the public. It is not fair to say that the Mail is in the hands of the public.

It is not fair to say that the Mail is in the hands of the public. It is not fair to say that the Mail is in the hands of the public. It is not fair to say that the Mail is in the hands of the public.

It is not fair to say that the Mail is in the hands of the public. It is not fair to say that the Mail is in the hands of the public. It is not fair to say that the Mail is in the hands of the public.

It is not fair to say that the Mail is in the hands of the public. It is not fair to say that the Mail is in the hands of the public. It is not fair to say that the Mail is in the hands of the public.

It is not fair to say that the Mail is in the hands of the public. It is not fair to say that the Mail is in the hands of the public. It is not fair to say that the Mail is in the hands of the public.

HOLY TRINITY CHURCH.

A LIVELY BUT GOOD-TEMPERED MEETING LAST NIGHT.

Mr. Pearson Declines to Compromise—A Proposal to Submit the Matter to Some Legal Authority—A Young Lady with a High Opinion of the Prayer Book—A Letter from Mr. Darling.

The adjourned meeting of the vestry or congregation of Trinity church (about which it is there is some difference of opinion) was held in Trinity church last night. It will be remembered that at the previous meeting a committee was appointed to wait on Mr. Pearson, with a view to ascertaining whether some arrangement could be effected as to the services.

The chairman, Mr. Brown, called upon Mr. C. J. Campbell, the secretary, to report on the meeting. He reported that the committee had waited on Mr. Pearson, and had presented to him a proposal that some other clergyman should take the early morning service. This, after a long discussion, was declined. This, after a long discussion, was declined.

Mr. Campbell said the committee had waited on Mr. Pearson, and had presented to him a proposal that some other clergyman should take the early morning service. This, after a long discussion, was declined. This, after a long discussion, was declined.

Mr. Campbell said the committee had waited on Mr. Pearson, and had presented to him a proposal that some other clergyman should take the early morning service. This, after a long discussion, was declined. This, after a long discussion, was declined.

Mr. Campbell said the committee had waited on Mr. Pearson, and had presented to him a proposal that some other clergyman should take the early morning service. This, after a long discussion, was declined. This, after a long discussion, was declined.

Mr. Campbell said the committee had waited on Mr. Pearson, and had presented to him a proposal that some other clergyman should take the early morning service. This, after a long discussion, was declined. This, after a long discussion, was declined.

Mr. Campbell said the committee had waited on Mr. Pearson, and had presented to him a proposal that some other clergyman should take the early morning service. This, after a long discussion, was declined. This, after a long discussion, was declined.

Mr. Campbell said the committee had waited on Mr. Pearson, and had presented to him a proposal that some other clergyman should take the early morning service. This, after a long discussion, was declined. This, after a long discussion, was declined.

Mr. Campbell said the committee had waited on Mr. Pearson, and had presented to him a proposal that some other clergyman should take the early morning service. This, after a long discussion, was declined. This, after a long discussion, was declined.

Mr. Campbell said the committee had waited on Mr. Pearson, and had presented to him a proposal that some other clergyman should take the early morning service. This, after a long discussion, was declined. This, after a long discussion, was declined.

Mr. Campbell said the committee had waited on Mr. Pearson, and had presented to him a proposal that some other clergyman should take the early morning service. This, after a long discussion, was declined. This, after a long discussion, was declined.

Mr. Campbell said the committee had waited on Mr. Pearson, and had presented to him a proposal that some other clergyman should take the early morning service. This, after a long discussion, was declined. This, after a long discussion, was declined.

Mr. Campbell said the committee had waited on Mr. Pearson, and had presented to him a proposal that some other clergyman should take the early morning service. This, after a long discussion, was declined. This, after a long discussion, was declined.

Mr. Campbell said the committee had waited on Mr. Pearson, and had presented to him a proposal that some other clergyman should take the early morning service. This, after a long discussion, was declined. This, after a long discussion, was declined.

Mr. Campbell said the committee had waited on Mr. Pearson, and had presented to him a proposal that some other clergyman should take the early morning service. This, after a long discussion, was declined. This, after a long discussion, was declined.

Mr. Campbell said the committee had waited on Mr. Pearson, and had presented to him a proposal that some other clergyman should take the early morning service. This, after a long discussion, was declined. This, after a long discussion, was declined.

Mr. Campbell said the committee had waited on Mr. Pearson, and had presented to him a proposal that some other clergyman should take the early morning service. This, after a long discussion, was declined. This, after a long discussion, was declined.

Mr. Campbell said the committee had waited on Mr. Pearson, and had presented to him a proposal that some other clergyman should take the early morning service. This, after a long discussion, was declined. This, after a long discussion, was declined.

Mr. Campbell said the committee had waited on Mr. Pearson, and had presented to him a proposal that some other clergyman should take the early morning service. This, after a long discussion, was declined. This, after a long discussion, was declined.

Mr. Campbell said the committee had waited on Mr. Pearson, and had presented to him a proposal that some other clergyman should take the early morning service. This, after a long discussion, was declined. This, after a long discussion, was declined.

Mr. Campbell said the committee had waited on Mr. Pearson, and had presented to him a proposal that some other clergyman should take the early morning service. This, after a long discussion, was declined. This, after a long discussion, was declined.

Mr. Campbell said the committee had waited on Mr. Pearson, and had presented to him a proposal that some other clergyman should take the early morning service. This, after a long discussion, was declined. This, after a long discussion, was declined.

Mr. Campbell said the committee had waited on Mr. Pearson, and had presented to him a proposal that some other clergyman should take the early morning service. This, after a long discussion, was declined. This, after a long discussion, was declined.

Mr. Campbell said the committee had waited on Mr. Pearson, and had presented to him a proposal that some other clergyman should take the early morning service. This, after a long discussion, was declined. This, after a long discussion, was declined.

Mr. Campbell said the committee had waited on Mr. Pearson, and had presented to him a proposal that some other clergyman should take the early morning service. This, after a long discussion, was declined. This, after a long discussion, was declined.

Mr. Campbell said the committee had waited on Mr. Pearson, and had presented to him a proposal that some other clergyman should take the early morning service. This, after a long discussion, was declined. This, after a long discussion, was declined.

Mr. Campbell said the committee had waited on Mr. Pearson, and had presented to him a proposal that some other clergyman should take the early morning service. This, after a long discussion, was declined. This, after a long discussion, was declined.

GENERAL SESSIONS.

William E. Hopkins Convicted of Shooting His Brother—The Trial of William H. Hopkins for Shooting his Brother Alfred came on yesterday.

Mr. Fletcher appeared for the prisoner, and Mr. Hopkins gave an account of the shooting. The latter told the jury that he was in the room with his brother Alfred when the shooting took place. He said that he saw his brother shoot his brother William, and that he was the only person in the room at the time.

The jury returned a verdict of guilty, and the judge sentenced the prisoner to the penitentiary for a term of years. The judge said that the prisoner had shown a complete lack of remorse, and that he was a dangerous man.

The judge said that the prisoner had shown a complete lack of remorse, and that he was a dangerous man. The judge said that the prisoner had shown a complete lack of remorse, and that he was a dangerous man.

The judge said that the prisoner had shown a complete lack of remorse, and that he was a dangerous man. The judge said that the prisoner had shown a complete lack of remorse, and that he was a dangerous man.

The judge said that the prisoner had shown a complete lack of remorse, and that he was a dangerous man. The judge said that the prisoner had shown a complete lack of remorse, and that he was a dangerous man.

The judge said that the prisoner had shown a complete lack of remorse, and that he was a dangerous man. The judge said that the prisoner had shown a complete lack of remorse, and that he was a dangerous man.

The judge said that the prisoner had shown a complete lack of remorse, and that he was a dangerous man. The judge said that the prisoner had shown a complete lack of remorse, and that he was a dangerous man.

The judge said that the prisoner had shown a complete lack of remorse, and that he was a dangerous man. The judge said that the prisoner had shown a complete lack of remorse, and that he was a dangerous man.

The judge said that the prisoner had shown a complete lack of remorse, and that he was a dangerous man. The judge said that the prisoner had shown a complete lack of remorse, and that he was a dangerous man.

The judge said that the prisoner had shown a complete lack of remorse, and that he was a dangerous man. The judge said that the prisoner had shown a complete lack of remorse, and that he was a dangerous man.

The judge said that the prisoner had shown a complete lack of remorse, and that he was a dangerous man. The judge said that the prisoner had shown a complete lack of remorse, and that he was a dangerous man.

The judge said that the prisoner had shown a complete lack of remorse, and that he was a dangerous man. The judge said that the prisoner had shown a complete lack of remorse, and that he was a dangerous man.

The judge said that the prisoner had shown a complete lack of remorse, and that he was a dangerous man. The judge said that the prisoner had shown a complete lack of remorse, and that he was a dangerous man.

The judge said that the prisoner had shown a complete lack of remorse, and that he was a dangerous man. The judge said that the prisoner had shown a complete lack of remorse, and that he was a dangerous man.

The judge said that the prisoner had shown a complete lack of remorse, and that he was a dangerous man. The judge said that the prisoner had shown a complete lack of remorse, and that he was a dangerous man.

The judge said that the prisoner had shown a complete lack of remorse, and that he was a dangerous man. The judge said that the prisoner had shown a complete lack of remorse, and that he was a dangerous man.

The judge said that the prisoner had shown a complete lack of remorse, and that he was a dangerous man. The judge said that the prisoner had shown a complete lack of remorse, and that he was a dangerous man.

The judge said that the prisoner had shown a complete lack of remorse, and that he was a dangerous man. The judge said that the prisoner had shown a complete lack of remorse, and that he was a dangerous man.

The judge said that the prisoner had shown a complete lack of remorse, and that he was a dangerous man. The judge said that the prisoner had shown a complete lack of remorse, and that he was a dangerous man.

The judge said that the prisoner had shown a complete lack of remorse, and that he was a dangerous man. The judge said that the prisoner had shown a complete lack of remorse, and that he was a dangerous man.

The judge said that the prisoner had shown a complete lack of remorse, and that he was a dangerous man. The judge said that the prisoner had shown a complete lack of remorse, and that he was a dangerous man.

The judge said that the prisoner had shown a complete lack of remorse, and that he was a dangerous man. The judge said that the prisoner had shown a complete lack of remorse, and that he was a dangerous man.

The judge said that the prisoner had shown a complete lack of remorse, and that he was a dangerous man. The judge said that the prisoner had shown a complete lack of remorse, and that he was a dangerous man.

The judge said that the prisoner had shown a complete lack of remorse, and that he was a dangerous man. The judge said that the prisoner had shown a complete lack of remorse, and that he was a dangerous man.

The judge said that the prisoner had shown a complete lack of remorse, and that he was a dangerous man. The judge said that the prisoner had shown a complete lack of remorse, and that he was a dangerous man.

The judge said that the prisoner had shown a complete lack of remorse, and that he was a dangerous man. The judge said that the prisoner had shown a complete lack of remorse, and that he was a dangerous man.

The judge said that the prisoner had shown a complete lack of remorse, and that he was a dangerous man. The judge said that the prisoner had shown a complete lack of remorse, and that he was a dangerous man.

The judge said that the prisoner had shown a complete lack of remorse, and that he was a dangerous man. The judge said that the prisoner had shown a complete lack of remorse, and that he was a dangerous man.

THE GRAPE SUGAR COMPANY.

A general meeting of this company held yesterday the following directors were elected: Hon. T. N. Gibbs, James Leys, John Lewis & Son, James Motie, James Nichol and S. G. Beatty.

The following were appointed a building committee: J. M. McKee, John Leys, S. G. Beatty and J. H. Holt, the secretary, Mr. T. G. Blackstock was appointed solicitor. The services of Mr. F. P. Straker of Halifax, a gentleman of large experience, have been secured as superintendent.

M. W. GABE & CO., 125 QUEEN STREET WEST, TORONTO. ORDERS ATTENDED TO NIGHT AND DAY.

V. P. HUMPHREY, UNDERTAKER, 199 Yonge St., Opp. Agnes St. Night calls promptly attended to.

M. NOYAN, 573 Queen St. West. Funerals supplied in First-Class style, 148 Toronto Street. The best Home in Toronto. Telephone furnished with all parts of the City.

BIRTH. Year—At 71 Gould street, on Sept. 17th, the wife of A. J. of a daughter.

DEATH. Hagarston—Toronto, on the 20th Sept., 1881, Ed. Hagarston, youngest son of Catherine and James Hagarston, aged six months and twenty-two days. Buried in the cemetery of St. James street, Toronto.

DEATH. Hagarston—Toronto, on the 20th Sept., 1881, Ed. Hagarston, youngest son of Catherine and James Hagarston, aged six months and twenty-two days. Buried in the cemetery of St. James street, Toronto.

DEATH. Hagarston—Toronto, on the 20th Sept., 1881, Ed. Hagarston, youngest son of Catherine and James Hagarston, aged six months and twenty-two days. Buried in the cemetery of St. James street, Toronto.

DEATH. Hagarston—Toronto, on the 20th Sept., 1881, Ed. Hagarston, youngest son of Catherine and James Hagarston, aged six months and twenty-two days. Buried in the cemetery of St. James street, Toronto.

DEATH. Hagarston—Toronto, on the 20th Sept., 1881, Ed. Hagarston, youngest son of Catherine and James Hagarston, aged six months and twenty-two days. Buried in the cemetery of St. James street, Toronto.

DEATH. Hagarston—Toronto, on the 20th Sept., 1881, Ed. Hagarston, youngest son of Catherine and James Hagarston, aged six months and twenty-two days. Buried in the cemetery of St. James street, Toronto.

DEATH. Hagarston—Toronto, on the 20th Sept., 1881, Ed. Hagarston, youngest son of Catherine and James Hagarston, aged six months and twenty-two days. Buried in the cemetery of St. James street, Toronto.

DEATH. Hagarston—Toronto, on the 20th Sept., 1881, Ed. Hagarston, youngest son of Catherine and James Hagarston, aged six months and twenty-two days. Buried in the cemetery of St. James street, Toronto.

DEATH. Hagarston—Toronto, on the 20th Sept., 1881, Ed. Hagarston, youngest son of Catherine and James Hagarston, aged six months and twenty-two days. Buried in the cemetery of St. James street, Toronto.

DEATH. Hagarston—Toronto, on the 20th Sept., 1881, Ed. Hagarston, youngest son of Catherine and James Hagarston, aged six months and twenty-two days. Buried in the cemetery of St. James street, Toronto.

DEATH. Hagarston—Toronto, on the 20th Sept., 1881, Ed. Hagarston, youngest son of Catherine and James Hagarston, aged six months and twenty-two days. Buried in the cemetery of St. James street, Toronto.

DEATH. Hagarston—Toronto, on the 20th Sept., 1881, Ed. Hagarston, youngest son of Catherine and James Hagarston, aged six months and twenty-two days. Buried in the cemetery of St. James street, Toronto.

DEATH. Hagarston—Toronto, on the 20th Sept., 1881, Ed. Hagarston, youngest son of Catherine and James Hagarston, aged six months and twenty-two days. Buried in the cemetery of St. James street, Toronto.

DEATH. Hagarston—Toronto, on the 20th Sept., 1881, Ed. Hagarston, youngest son of Catherine and James Hagarston, aged six months and twenty-two days. Buried in the cemetery of St. James street, Toronto.

DEATH. Hagarston—Toronto, on the 20th Sept., 1881, Ed. Hagarston, youngest son of Catherine and James Hagarston, aged six months and twenty-two days. Buried in the cemetery of St. James street, Toronto.

DEATH. Hagarston—Toronto, on the 20th Sept., 1881, Ed. Hagarston, youngest son of Catherine and James Hagarston, aged six months and twenty-two days. Buried in the cemetery of St. James street, Toronto.

DEATH. Hagarston—Toronto, on the 20th Sept., 1881, Ed. Hagarston, youngest son of Catherine and James Hagarston, aged six months and twenty-two days. Buried in the cemetery of St. James street, Toronto.

DEATH. Hagarston—Toronto, on the 20th Sept., 1881, Ed. Hagarston, youngest son of Catherine and James Hagarston, aged six months and twenty-two days. Buried in the cemetery of St. James street, Toronto.

DEATH. Hagarston—Toronto, on the 20th Sept., 1881, Ed. Hagarston, youngest son of Catherine and James Hagarston, aged six months and twenty-two days. Buried in the cemetery of St. James street, Toronto.

DEATH. Hagarston—Toronto, on the 20th Sept., 1881, Ed. Hagarston, youngest son of Catherine and James Hagarston, aged six months and twenty-two days. Buried in the cemetery of St. James street, Toronto.

DEATH. Hagarston—Toronto, on the 20th Sept., 1881, Ed. Hagarston, youngest son of Catherine and James Hagarston, aged six months and twenty-two days. Buried in the cemetery of St. James street, Toronto.