which the charity had been established. In 1854 a permanent Home was erected in Sullivan Street, on a site presented by Hon. Robert Baldwin and Hon. William Cayley jointly, through the intercession of Dr. Rees, to whose unwearied exertions in the cause of humanity the City is indebted for many of its Institutions, and 60 children are at present enjoying a home and education within its walls. The institution is managed by a committee of ladies, chosen annually, at a meeting of the members of the society, that is, of those who have contributed £1 and upwards to the charity. The office-bearers for the present year are—

The institution receives an annual grant of £200 from the Government, and the balance necessary to the working of the charity is made up by private subscription. At its commencement the Home was catholic in its management, being supported and conducted by Protestants of various denominations, indiscriminately; but by the somewhat unscrupulous energy of the chaplain, the institution became an adjunct of the Church of England, a clause being inserted in the 7th section of the By-laws, providing that "a school shall always form part of the establishment, and religious instruction of the Church of England shall be included in the daily education of the school." The chaplain and some of his supporters determined to have the new Home opened by the Bishop of the Diocese, in order to determine its episcopal character; but in this he was disappointed. His motion was carried at a small meeting, and the Secretary, a young lady belonging to the Kirk of Scotland, in communicating the Resolution to His Lordship, stated also the fact that the feeling of the meeting was not at all unanimous as to the propriety of His Lordship opening the institution—thus making it strictly episcopal The result was that the Bishop refused to comply in its character. with the resolution. At a subsequent meeting an attempt was made to pass a vote of censure on the Secretary, but it was defeated. The Secretary, however, resigned her office. A correspondence was opened up with Mr. Bowes as to his ideas of the character of the institution, but the replies of Mr. Bowes were very vague and indefinite. A committee was then deputed to wait upon the Bishop