Schreiber has called in question some minds of the majority of the House, but I points in the report of Mr. Light, but in understand the Bill is leaving to the the subsequent report Mr. Light takes up Government a certain margin of disthese points and shows that not only by cretion, and I hope even after the Bill is his own survey, but by reports of other passed that they will reconsider this engineers which are not questioned, Mr. Schreiber's criticism of his report is not well founded, and this reply, to my mind, defies contradiction. As to the length of the several lines there is not much differ-I think that the combination line, ence. which unites all the advantages of a through line, which favors the interests of wish to detain the House on this Bill, but Quebec as well as the interests of Halifax I should like to make one or two observaand St. John, is 27 or 28 miles shorter than the one selected, while the grades are much more favorable. Mr. Light shows that in the line which has been selected by the Government there are there is a part of it which is calculated to grades which prevent it being a commercial route, and it cannot be used advantageously for the carrying of heavy freight. I know that the accuracy or value of Mr. Light's report has been questioned, but this House should bear in mind that Mr. Light cannot be accused of favoring any private interest. He has been employed by the Province of Quebec to examine would pay, I considered it was our duty, this line in his capacity as engineer for as the Parliament of Canada, to see that the province, and his report, based upon the trade of the west should not go to the figures which are proved to be correct by United States but should be carried to the reports of other engineers, forces us Canadian sea ports, and consequently to the conclusion that he is right in his that we ought not to allow the St. Lawrence view. this Bill? It is to vote public money to build a short line which is objected to by that we ought not to allow the Canadian competent engineers as not being the Pacific Railway to cross at Montreal shortest line, and, moreover, as being a and reach Halifax, St. John, or St. route which passes so near American Andrews by passing as near to Portland cities that it will carry our trade to foreign as the proposed road will do. shipping ports, giving them the profits mentioned in this Bill were the shortest which we should derive from our enor-possible route, I would say that it was a mous expenditures upon the Pacific Rail-strong argument in favor of it, and that way. While we are pursuing a policy to we must give the commerce of the country develop our trade and build up our own the shortest route to the sea-board. cities, we are about to aid a line I regret to say that my conviction is that which will carry our trade to Ameri- that short line, improperly so-called, is not can seaports, and they will gain the the shortest practicable route. My conprincipal benefit to be derived from viction is that a road to Montreal, to St our large expenditures. Under these John and Halifax, by way of Quebec is circumstances I regret to say I am ob the shortest. That is the conclusion to liged to protest against this Bill. sincerely believe that the policy to the reports, and a letter of Mr Sullivan Government is not in the interests of the and another letter which I have seen tocountry, and I trust that they will recon- day by a civil engineer of Halifax. sider the matter. Of course I do not and a letter from Mr. expect to create any conviction in the the

matter and ask themselves whether this is not a departure from their policy, and whether this appropriation should not be suspended until at least an instrumental survey shall be made.

HON. MR. BELLEROSE-I do not tions. I regret that the Bill, as it stands, is one which I cannot support. It contains some things which I consider are not in the interests of the country, and retard the development of the Dominion and to injure the prospects of the Lower Provinces Some two years ago I voted against a bill which proposed to grant a a company power to build a bridge over the St. Lawrence, west of Montreal. The reason which I gave for my vote on that occasion was that until our great highway Now what is proposed to us by to be bridged west of Montreal. My opinions are the same to day. I believe If the line But I which I have come from reading the Smith and opinions expressed by others.